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Abstract: Numerical integral is one of the mathematical branches that connect between analytical mathematics and computer. Numerical integration is a primary tool used by engineers and scientists to obtain an approximate result for definite integrals that cannot be solved analytically. Numerical double integration is widely used in calculating surface area, the intrinsic limitations of flat surfaces and finding the volume under the surface. A wide range of method is applied to solve numerical double integration for equal data space but the difficulty is arisen when the data values are not equal. In this paper we have tried to generate a mathematical formula of numerical double integration for unequal data spaces. Trapezoidal rule for unequal space is used to evaluate the formula. We also verified our proposed model by demonstrating some numerical examples and compared the numerical result with the analytical result.

Index Terms: Numerical Integral, Numerical Double Integration, Newton’s Divided Difference, Trapezoidal Rule

1. Introduction

Numerical integration is the method of predict the value of a definite integral from a set of numerical values of the integrand. Whenever a technical problem leads to a differential equations which cannot be integrated in closed form, approximate methods of solutions must be employed. These methods are based on series expansions or they may be purely numerical methods leading to the evaluation of the unknown integral at specified points of its interval of definitions but simple arithmetic means. Double integrals are widely used to calculate the area of a region, the volume under a surface, and the average value of a function of two variables over a rectangular region. In general, solving the two-fold integral is not easy to do analytically. Therefore, we need a numerical method to get the solution. Numerical methods can only provide solutions that approach true value. To solve numerical double integral the multiple-segment trapezoidal or Simpson’s rule would be applied in the first dimension with each value of the second dimension held constant. Then the method would be applied to integrate the second dimension. A lot of works have been found to solve numerical double integration for equal data sets. But researchers have faced troubles to solve problems when the data sets are not equal. In this paper we mainly focused on formulating a mathematical formula to calculate numerical double integration for unequal data spaces. We are hopeful that our work will be effective for scientists to solve numerical double integration when the data values are unequal.

Md. Nayan Dhali, Mohammad Farhad Bulbul and Umme Sadiya have tried to establish a comparison among Trapezoidal rule, Simpson 1/3 and Simpson 3/8 rule for unequal data space and they found that Simpson’s 1/3 provide better result than the others[6]. Md. Mamun-Ur Rashid khan, M.R. Hossain and Selina Parvin developed a new approach of numerical integration schemes for unequal data space. Romesh Kumar Muthumalai tried to determine the error of numerical integration and differentiation and he also derived some formula for numerical differentiation through divided difference and these new formulas are quite useful to approximate derivatives when additional information about derivatives at some point is given. Safaa M. Aljassas developed a new numerical approach to evaluate Double integrals with Continuous Integrands [11, 12, 13]. Andika Saputra, Rizal Bakri and Ramlan Mahmud have used Romberg method to
analyze double integration for trigonometric function [14]. Rajinder Thukral accelerated the Simpson’s method for solving nonlinear equations. In this purpose he represented an improvement of the classical Simpson’s third order method for finding zeros of nonlinear equations and introduced a new formula for approximating second order derivative [17].

The rest of the paper will be described as follows: In section two, we have derived a mathematical model to solve numerical double integration for unequal data sets. In section three, some numerical example be examined and verified the result with actual result and finally we draw a conclusion.

2. Numerical Double Integration for Unequal Space

In this section we will discuss numerical double integration for unequal space. This formula can be applied to solve double integration when the numeric sets are not equal. We can be obtained this formula by applying trapezoidal rule for unequal space that was derived in this chapter. For do this we consider a double integral is defined by

$$I = \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy$$

Where the distance of each interval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ and $[y_j, y_{j+1}]$ are not equal.

From Newton’s divided difference

$$y = y_0 + (x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2) + \cdots$$

Trapezoidal rule for the unequal space

$$\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} y \, dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (y_0 + (x - x_0)(x_0, x_1))^2 \, dx$$

$$= y_0(x_1 - x_0) + \frac{(x_1 - x_0)^2}{2} [x_0, x_1]$$

Similarly, for the interval $[x_1, x_2]$ we deduce,

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} y \, dx = \frac{(x_2 - x_1)}{2} (y_1 + y_2)$$

Similarly, for the interval $[x_2, x_3]$ we deduce,

$$\int_{x_2}^{x_3} y \, dx = \frac{(x_3 - x_2)}{2} (y_2 + y_3)$$

Similarly for the interval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ we have

$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} y \, dx = \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)}{2} (y_i + y_{i+1})$$

Now from (1) we get

$$I = \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)}{2} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} [f(x_i, y) + f(x_{i+1}, y)] \, dy$$

$$= \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)(y_{j+1} - y_j)}{2} [f(x_i, y) + f(x_{i+1}, y)] + \frac{f(x_{i+1}, y)}{2} (x_{i+1} - x_i)$$

$$= \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)(y_{j+1} - y_j)}{4} [f(x_i, y) + f(x_{i+1}, y)] + \frac{f(x_{i+1}, y)}{4} (x_{i+1} - x_i)$$

$$= \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)(y_{j+1} - y_j)}{4} [f_{i,j} + f_{i+1,j}]$$

Where, $f_{i,j} = f(x_i, y_j)$

This is the general formula of double integral for uneven space.

3. Numerical Examples

In this chapter, we will discuss some numerical examples and using numerical integration formula for unequal data space and we try to find their solutions. Then we compared numerical result with the exact solution to determine corresponding errors and check out which methods provide better results.
3.1 Investigation by First Example

Consider a rectangular double integral,

\[
f(x, y) = \int_0^2 \int_0^3 e^{y-x} dy \, dx
\]

We divide each of the intervals \([0, 2]\) and \([0, 3]\) into 60 subintervals.

Table 1. Different values of \(x\) and \(y\) in interval \([0, 2]\) and \([0, 3]\) respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Points</th>
<th>Points of (x)</th>
<th>Points of (y)</th>
<th>No. of Points</th>
<th>Points of (x)</th>
<th>Points of (y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-1 represents the different unequal values of \(x\) and \(y\) in interval \([0, 2]\) and \([0, 3]\) respectively. Now, we evaluate the integral value by using our proposed formula for unequal data points and make a comparison with exact result.

Table 2. Comparison of actual and numerical result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical Result</th>
<th>Numerical Result</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.5026</td>
<td>16.5105</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 describes the actual and numerical result and also the deviation between them and we have found that numerical result is very close to actual result.

3.2 Investigation by Second Example

Consider a rectangular double integral,

\[
f(x, y) = \int_1^4 \int_1^3 \ln(x + 2y) \, dy \, dx
\]

We divide each of the intervals \([1, 4]\) and \([1, 3]\) into 60 subintervals.
Table 3. Different values of x and y in interval [1, 4] and [1, 3] respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Points</th>
<th>Points of x</th>
<th>Points of y</th>
<th>No. of Points</th>
<th>Points of x</th>
<th>Points of y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-3 represents the different unequal values of x and y in interval [1, 4] and [1, 3] respectively.

Now, we evaluate the integral value by using our proposed formula for unequal data points and make a comparison with exact result.

Table 4. Comparison of actual and numerical result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical Result</th>
<th>Numerical Result</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0733</td>
<td>11.0731</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 describes the actual and numerical result and also the deviation between them and we have found that numerical result is very close to analytical result.

From the above two investigation, we found that our generated mathematical formula is convenient to solve numerical double integration problems when the data values are not equal.

4. Conclusion

Numerical Double integrals are widely used to calculate the area of a region and the volume under a surface when the analytical result cannot be found easily. It is also difficult to find numerical solution when the data values are not equal. In this work we generate a numerical double integration formula for unequal data space. For that, Trapezoidal rule for unequal data space have been used to evaluate double integration formula. We also applied our mathematical model by investigating some numerical examples and we have found a feasible result. Our research will be helpful in many scientific areas where numerical solution is needed.
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