

A Loose Wavelet Nonlinear Regression Neural Network Load Forecasting Model and Error Analysis Based on SPSS

Mi Zhang

College of Electrical Engineering & New Energy of China Three Gorges University, Yichang, China E-mail: zhangmi122@163.com

Changhao Xia

College of Electrical Engineering & New Energy of China Three Gorges University, Yichang, China E-mail: xchwq@ctgu.edu.cn

Abstract—A power system load forecasting method using wavelet neural network with a process of decomposition-forecasting-reconstruction and error analysis based on SPSS is presented in this paper. First of all, the load sequence is decomposed by wavelet transform into each scale wavelet coefficients of navigation. In this step, choosing an appropriate wavelet function decomposition of load is needed. In this paper, by comparing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and the mean square error (MSE) of the different wavelet functions for load after processing; It is concluded that the most suitable wavelet function for the load sequence in this paper is db4 wavelet function. The scale of wavelet coefficients is obtained by load wavelet decomposition. In the process of wavelet coefficient of processing, the db4 wavelet function is used to decompose the original sequence in 3 scales; High frequency and low frequency wavelet coefficient is got through setting threshold. Secondly, these wavelet coefficients are used as the training sample of the input to the nonlinear regression neural network for processing, and then the forecasting result is obtained by the wavelet reconstruction. Finally, the actual and forecasting values are compared by SPSS with a comprehensive statistical charting capability, which is able to draw beautiful charts and is easy to edit.

Index Terms—Power system, short-term load forecasting, wavelet transform, wavelet function, wavelet neural network, SPSS, Wilcoxon signed rank test.

I. INTRODUCTION

Along with the rapid development and improvement of power system, the load forecasting of electrical power has become an independent content in Energy Management System (EMS)^[1-3] and it has become an essential part of electric power market transaction management system under the inevitable trend of current power system marketing. In the practical application, the different parts of power system will have different range and accuracy

of load forecasting, thus the study on inherent laws and load characteristics of load changing and how to normalize the related factors of load changing in forecasting is of great significance to improve prediction accuracy and load forecasting.

At present, a great deal of theoretical research has been done abroad of the load forecasting of power system, which has reached a high level and some have been put into practical application. China's research on this area starts late, and now has a relatively systematic study. Load forecasting methods can be roughly divided into two categories: a parametric model based approach and a nonparametric model based approach. The principle of the method which based on the parametric model is to analyze the qualitative relationship between the load and the factors which impact the load, build the mathematical model or statistical model of load, such as regression model, time series model, trend extrapolation model and so on. The parameters of these models are obtained by estimating the historical data, and the model is evaluated by the residuals of the model, such as the prediction error^[4-6].

Because of the nonlinearity, time variation and the uncertainty of the load forecast, even if the mathematical model can be established, load forecast is also computational complexity, complex structure, difficult to design and implementation and so on.

Application of wavelet analysis theory in the shortterm load forecasting of power system mainly has two aspects^[7]. One is the load forecasting using wavelet neural network based on multi-resolution analysis of wavelet series combined with neural network. The wavelet neural networks divide into loose wavelet neural network and compact wavelet neural network. Another is used the combination forecast method, after wavelet quick calculation, decomposition and reply.

Manuscript received October 13, 2016; revised November 18, 2016; accepted December 10, 2016.

 $No.2006 CB303000, \ No.2007 CB316505, \ corresponding author: Mi Zhang .$

II. LOAD FORECASTING BASED ON LOOSE WAVELET NEURAL NETWORK

Loose wavelet neural network which is combined with the characteristics of wavelet analysis theory and neural network regard wavelet analysis as preprocessing method of conventional neural network^[8-9]. First, decomposing the power load data by wavelet decomposition and get each scale coefficient of the wavelet, then using those coefficients as samples input to the neural network processing. Wavelet analysis and conventional neural network are closely relative but independent each other. Their relationship has shown in Figure 1 as below^[10].



A. Decomposition forecast reconstruction forecasting model

The Decomposition Forecast Reconstruction loose wavelet neural network is called DFR loose wavelet neural network in which original sequence is generally decomposed by wavelet first, each scale wavelet coefficient is used as input of neural network, and then the prediction value is reconstructed by wavelet. The flow chart has been shown in Figure $2^{[11]}$.



Fig.2. DFR forecasting flow chart

First, the original sequence is decomposed at t by wavelet decomposition of which scale is j, c_j represents the low-frequency coefficients sequence in the first j layer at t, d_j represents the high-frequency coefficients sequence in the first j layer at t. Regard the c_j and d_j as the input to neural networks, neural network model being used to forecast for each layer of the wavelet coefficients in order to obtain the predicted value at (t+T), finally these predicted values are used to reconstruct $x(t+T)^{[12]}$.

B. The selection of the wavelet function

The SNR is the larger the better, and MSE is the

smaller the better^[6].

$$SNR = 10*\log 10(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_i^2}{(x_i - y_i)^2})$$
(1)

$$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - x_i)^2$$
(2)

$$SM = \frac{SNR}{MSE}$$
(3)

Where y_i is standard original signal, x_i is processed estimation signal.

Thus according to the evaluation based on a comparison of SNR and MSE, this paper chooses Db4 as the analysis of the original sequence wavelet function, the two filters of Db4 can decompose the signal into two part: one is a translation by the scaling function as determined by the low-pass section; anther is a translation by the wavelet function as determined by the high-pass section.

C. A forecasting based on wavelet coefficients and neural network

The historical load sequence is decomposed by wavelet transform and high and low frequency coefficients are extracted represent different frequencies from each layer is the basic idea of wavelet coefficients and neural networks combined load forecasting^[13]. Data in each scale after decomposition are unstable because of the inherent frequency domain aliasing in Mallet algorithm. However, the training of neural networks requires high stability sample data. Thus the threshold is settled to reduce the number of coefficients to extract useful information and remove invalid information. Then, these wavelet coefficients are predicted by the neural network that after threshold effect and normalized. Finally, the forecast daily load values are obtained by inverse wavelet transform. In short, it is to replace the load forecasting to predict the sequence of wavelet coefficients.

The mother wavelet and the size of scale should be selected according to the characteristics of the load. Under some certain prediction requires, selecting the scale too large to improve the prediction accuracy significantly and will reduce the efficiency of computing. In this paper, we selected approximately symmetrical and smooth compactly supported orthogonal wavelet Db4^[14] as the mother wavelet, and load data decomposition to 3 scales.

Wavelet decomposition and reconstruction uses a multi-resolution analysis Mallat algorithm. Calculation process is implemented by Matlab language.

Wavelet analysis for load forecasting process can be broken down into the following steps:

1) Decomposition process: A wavelet (Db4) and 3 layers were selected for signal wavelet decomposition. Mallat decomposition algorithm:

$$A_O[f(t)] = f(t) \tag{4}$$

$$A_{j}[f(t)] = \sum_{k} H(2t - k)A_{j} - \mathbb{I}[f(t)]$$
(5)

$$D_{j}[f(t)] = \sum_{k} G(2t - k)A_{j} - I[f(t)]$$
(6)

In formula (4) (5) and (6), t is the discrete time sequence number, t = 1, 2, ..., N; f(t) is original signal; jis the number of the layers, j = 1, 2, ..., J, H, G are the wavelet decomposition filter in the time domain, actually are filter coefficients; wavelet coefficients of the approximately part (low-frequency part) of signal f(t) at j-layer is A_j ; wavelet coefficients of the details part (high-frequency part) of signal f(t) at j-layer is D_j . The results obtained are shown in Figure 3.



Fig.3. Db4 3 layers for signal wavelet decomposition

The contents of Mallat decomposition algorithm is: Assumed A_0 is detected by the discrete signal f(t). The approximately part of signal f(t) at j-layer is obtained by point sampling interval through the results of convolution which are wavelet coefficients A_j of lowfrequency which is obtained by the convolution of wavelet coefficients A_{j-1} of the approximately part at j - 1-layer and decomposition filter G. The signal f(t) will be broken down into two parts through decomposing by Mallat algorithm. One is wavelet coefficients A_j of lowfrequency at low-frequency sub-band, another is wavelet coefficients D_j of the details part at high-frequency subband^[15].

2) Threshold was selected for coefficients of each layer after decomposition, details coefficients for soft threshold; due to frequency domain aliasing in the wavelet coefficients obtained by Mallat decomposition algorithm, data in each scale after decomposition are unstable. However, the training of neural networks requires high stability sample data. Thus the threshold was settled to reduce the number of coefficients to extract useful information and remove invalid information.

In practical engineering applications, for nonlinear signal wavelet decomposition, the same threshold was used in each of the scales apparently inappropriate and removes useful information in the lower scales. Therefore, hierarchical threshold was considered to solve this problem. According to characteristics of historical load sequence and results of actual simulation, the following conclusions can be obtained.

Select thresholds for decomposed wavelet coefficients of each layer. No thresholds for low-frequency parts and the corresponding threshold were selected according to their characteristics for high-frequency part.



Fig.4. Wavelet coefficients obtained by wavelet decomposition for the original load

By subdivision of the high-frequency part we can obtain:



Fig.5. High frequency (details) parts of the decomposition coefficients of different scales

3) Wavelet coefficients processing: in order to avoid saturation phenomenon in neurons of neural network, the training data samples for normalizing to guarantee the input data located in [0,1]. The formula for normalizing is as follow:

$$L' = (L - L_{\min}) / (L_{\max} - L_{\min})$$
 (7)

Then, to predict the values of each layer which are normalized by neural networks respectively.

Bring into the self regression neural network to predict. In the input layer with the following formula retranslated back coefficient.

$$L = (L_{\text{max}} - L_{\text{min}})L' + L_{\text{min}}$$
(8)

Among formula (7) and (8), L represents the value normalized, L represents wavelet coefficients, L_{max} , L_{min} represent the maximum and the minimum of wavelet coefficients of each layer respectively.

Reconstruction process: wavelet coefficients which

processed by the neural network can obtain load value of prediction date through the wavelet reconstruction algorithm. Reconstruction algorithm is as follows:

$$A_{j}[f(t)] = 2\left[\sum_{k} h(t-2k)A_{j} + f(t) + \sum_{k} g(t-2k)D_{j} + f(t)\right]$$
(9)

Where *j* is the number of layers of decomposing; $h \leq g$ are wavelet reconstruction filters in the time domain which are actually filter coefficients.

Reconstruction algorithm content^[15]: wavelet coefficients of the approximately part of signal f(t) at 2^{j} -scale (j-layer) is A_{j} which is the sum of two convolutions. One is A_{j+1} which is the approximately part of signal f(t) at 2^{j+1} -scale (j+1-layer) after interval point zero insertion and reconstruction filter h. Another is D_{j+1} which is the details part of signal f(t) at 2^{j+1} -scale (j+1-layer) after interval point zero insertion and reconstruction filter h. Another is D_{j+1} which is the details part of signal f(t) at 2^{j+1} -scale (j+1-layer) after interval point zero insertion and reconstruction filter g. This process is repeated until 2^{0} -scale and get the reconstructed signal.

III. EXAMPLE VERIFICATION

In this paper, the load data of Yichang, Hubei Province, China was adopted as the experiment data from September 1, to September 30, 2005. (24 points per day, 720 points totally.) The load sequence with three-scale was decomposed by Mallat algorithm and forecasting^[16].

A. Single-sample T-test analysis for the original load data based on SPSS software

SPSS is one of the world's common statistical packages, applies not only to the social sciences, but also applies to economics, medicine, psychology, etc^[16]. Compared with other international authoritative software, its most notable feature is no programming, completed with menus and dialog mode of operation, easy to learn and easy to operate.

1. The purpose of the single-sample T-test

The purpose of the single-sample T-test is the sample data from a general being used, and deducing if there is any significant difference between the overall mean and the developed test value. It is the overall mean of hypothesis testing.

2. The basic steps of single-sample T-test

1) Proposed the original hypothesis

The original hypothesis H_0 of single-sample T-test: there is no difference between the overall mean and the developed test value, expressed as $H_0: u = u_0 \cdot u$ is the

overall mean, u_0 is the developed test value.

2) Selected the test statistic

When the overall distribution is normal $N(u, \delta^2)$, Sampling distribution of the sample mean is still normal. The mean of normal distribution is u, the variance is δ^2/n . In $X:N(u, \frac{\delta^2}{n})$, u represents the mean of normal distribution, when the original hypothesis was established that $u = u_0$; δ^2 is the overall variance, n is the total number of samples. When the overall distribution subject to normal distribution approximately, the overall variance is unknown generally, at this point the sample variance S^2 was used to replace it, thus the test statistic obtained for statistic as:

$$t = \frac{\overline{X} - u}{\sqrt{\frac{S^2}{n}}}$$
(10)

Where the statistic obedience to the distribution which degrees of freedom is t. The test statistic of the single-sample t is t test statistic. When the original assumptions holds, replace u with u_0 .

3) The observed value of the test statistic and probability value P was calculated

The purpose of this step is to test the observed value of the test statistic and the corresponding probabilities. The sample means, the sample variance and the total numbers of samples were substituted into formula (10) by SPSS software automatically^[17], and then the observed value of the test statistic and probability value P was being calculated.

4) Given significance level, and make decisions

If the probability value P less than the significance level α , the original hypothesis is rejected, think there is a significant difference between the overall mean and overall test values. On the contrary, if the probability value P greater than the significance level α , the original hypothesis is accepted, think there is no significant difference between the overall mean and overall test values.

Table	1.	Data	sample	statistics
-------	----	------	--------	------------

Single-sample statistics					
	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	
Sept.1	24	674.8125	28.86922	5.89290	

Table 2. Data sample test

Single-sample test						
	Test value $= 674.8$					
	t	df	Sig.(2- tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
					Lower	Upper
Sept.1	.002	23	.998	.01250	-12.1779	12.2029

Table 1 shows the average value from September 1 Yichang of electricity load is 674.8125MW, the standard

deviation is 28.86922, and the mean standard error $(\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}})$

is 5.89290. In Table 2, the observation of *t* statistic is 0.002, *df* shows the degrees of freedom is 23, two-tailed probability value of *t* statistic observed value is 0.998, 95% confidence interval of the overall mean is (674.8-12.1779, 674.8+12.2029). Two-tailed test is to compare α and *P*, given α 0.05, due to P = 0.098 greater than 0.05, accept the original hypothesis thinking that there is no significant difference between the overall mean and overall test values.

Test load data from September 2 to September 30 similarly and finishing as the following Table 3.

Table 3. Load data sample statistics from September 2 to September 30

Single-sample statistics				
	Ν	Mean Std. Deviation St		Std. Error Mean
Sept.2	24	677.5000	22.83441	4.66106
Sept.3	24	649.9833	22.23674	4.53906
Sept.4	24	608.2458	44.74958	9.13447
Sept.5	24	651.0375	27.85899	5.68669
Sept.6	24	637.8167	37.98541	7.75374
Sept.7	24	628.7625	51.19076	10.44927
Sept.8	24	695.7125	23.86920	4.87228
Sept.9	24	708.9083	20.46095	4.17657
Sept.10	24	734.3958	22.20630	4.53284
Sept.11	24	729.0875	26.19976	5.34800
Sept.12	24	735.5875	25.86986	5.28066
Sept.13	24	784.2875	31.91617	6.51486
Sept.14	24	815.3125	25.90510	5.28786
Sept.15	24	810.6917	32.29612	6.59242
Sept.16	24	846.1375	31.55670	6.44148
Sept.17	24	741.7792	32.41905	6.61751
Sept.18	24	724.4500	22.51786	4.59644
Sept.19	24	756.8958	38.64488	7.88835
Sept.20	24	830.2875	52.10123	10.63512
Sept.21	24	772.3667	57.28148	11.69253
Sept.22	24	722.7458	26.07638	5.32282
Sept.23	24	748.5167	28.38866	5.79481
Sept.24	24	769.4417	30.46799	6.21925
Sept.25	24	757.8042	25.21843	5.14769
Sept.26	24	760.7083	23.44676	4.78605
Sept.27	24	772.1583	19.45537	3.97131
Sept.28	24	767.2417	21.21607	4.33071
Sept.29	24	783.0417	31.87878	6.50723
Sept.30	24	797.5833	23.92598	4.88387

As can be seen from the Table 3, the standard deviation and the standard error of the mean of load data of September 30-day is small, indicating that data is changed slightly, and there is no significant difference between the data and the mean.

IV. FORECASTING RESULTS

A. Comparison of the original load signal and the predicted value

We input the command in command window of Matlab and we can get the graph of the comparison of the reconstructed signal and the original and the graph of error between the predicted value and the actual value, as they are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7 as follows:



Fig.6.The graph of the comparison of the reconstructed signal and the original



Fig.7. The graph of error between the predicted value and the actual value

The graph of the original signal and the reconstruct signal are quietly match which can be seen in the above figure, and absolute value of the error is less than 3% which proves the wavelet neural networks in the area of power system load forecasting turns out good results.

B. Error analysis based on SPSS software and Wilcoxon signed rank test



In Figure 8, the horizontal axis is the time point, 48 points in total; the vertical axis represents the percentage error. We can see that the error range is [-2%, 2%], providing a high prediction accuracy.

The basic idea of Wilcoxon sign rank test methods:

first, calculate on the difference between the sample observations, arrange according to their absolute value, excluding the samples that difference is zero, smallest class is one and so on. If there is some equals between the absolute value of the differences in the order sequence, the difference between the averaged values as these rank. Then restore the original sign, sum the rank of the sign respectively, T^+ represents the sum of positive rank, T^- represents the sum of negative rank. Select one of the smaller rank and as a statistic. But Wilcoxon sign rank test in SPSS is just a simple operation.

We choose SPSS software to test the differences between the two related samples. The predicted and actual values of September 30 were tested; the result is shown in Figure 9 as follows.

Hypothesis Test Summary					
	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision	
1	The median of differences between predicted and actual equals 0.	Related-Samples Wilcoxon Sign Rank Test	0.030	Reject the null hypothesis.	
Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.					

Fig.9. Hypothesis testing summary

The chart in Figure 9 shows that the significance level is 0.05, asymptotic significant is 0.030 less than 0.05(given α 0.05) which is enough to illustrate the error between the predicted value and the actual value is small.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the investigation of multi-resolution analysis methods of wavelet transform, load sequence is analyzed using Daubechies (N) wavelet series^[9]. By analysis and comparison, ultimately determine that the most suitable wavelet function for load data in this paper is Db4. Through the multi-resolution analysis of power system load sequence to determine the wavelet decomposition level of the time sequence, to achieve the multi-resolution analysis of small samples in the power system load sequence.

The method of DFR power system load sequence wavelet neural network forecasting was given first. Decomposing the load data by wavelet to obtain wavelet coefficients, then wavelet coefficients are brought into the neural network to predict, reconstruct the predict value by wavelet to get the final forecast load data. This load forecasting method combines wavelet analysis of good temporal localization features and good neural network nonlinear approximation ability.

SPSS software was selected to analyze the original data and error. Testing the differences between the two related samples, and we got the asymptotic significant is 0.030 less than 0.05(given α 0.05) shows that there is no significant difference between the predicted value and the

actual value. It has better prediction accuracy.

REFERENCES

- Tai Nengling, Hou Zhijian, Li Tao. Short-term load forecasting method based on wavelet analysis of power system [J].Chinese Society for Electrical Engineering, 2013, 23(1):45-50.
- [2] Song Chao, Huang Minxiang, Ye Jianfu. Application of the wavelet analysis method in short-term load forecasting in power system [J]. Power System Engineering, 2002, 14(3):8-12.
- [3] Park D C, EI-Sharkawi M. A.Electric Load Forecasting Using an Artifici-al Neural Network[J]. IEEE.Trans on Power Systems, 1991,6(2):442-448.
- [4] Khotanzad A, Afkhami-Rohani R, Lu T L, et al. ANNSTLF-A Neural Network Based Electric Load Forecasting System[J]. IEEE Transon Neural Networks, 1997,8(4):835-846.
- [5] Niu Dongxiao, Xie Mian, Xie Hong. The research of wavelet Neural Ne-twork model for short-term load[J].The grid technology,2012,23(4):21-24.
- [6] Liu Dong, Li Li. Embed expert system short-term load forecasting of wavelet neural network [J].Shanxi Electric Power,2012,37(10):44-48.
- [7] Zhang Dahai, Jiang Shifang, Bi Yanqiu. Load forecasting based on wavelet neural network. Electric Power Automation Equipment. 2013.23(8): 29-32.
- [8] Pituk Bunnoon, Kusumal Chalermyanont, Chusak Limsakul. Mid-term load forecasting: level suitably of wavelet and neural network based on factor selection. Energy Procedia.14, 2012: 438 – 444.
- [9] Osowski S, Siwek K. Selforganizing neural networks for short term load forecasting in power system. Engineering Applications of Neural Networks. Gibraltar, (2012):253-256
- [10] K. Liu, S. Subbarayan, R. R. Shoults, M. T. Manry, C. Kwan, F. L. Lewis et al., "Comparison of very short-term load forecasting techniques", IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 877-882, May 1996.
- [11] T. Senjyu, H. Takara, K. Uezato, T. Funabashi, "Onehour-ahead load forecasting using neural network", IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 113-118, Feb. 2012.
- [12] P. K. Dash, A. C. Liew, S. Rahman, "Fuzzy neural network and fuzzy expert system for load forecasting", Proc. Inst. Electr. Eng.—Gener. Transm. Distrib., vol. 143, pp. 106-114, 1996.
- [13] R. Zhang, Z. Y. Dong, Y. Xu, K. Meng, K. P. Wong, "Short-term load forecasting of Australian national electricity market by an ensemble model of extreme learning machine", IET Gener. Transm. Distrib., vol. 7, pp. 391-397, 2013.
- [14] M. De Felice, Y. Xin, "Short-term load forecasting with neural network ensembles: A comparative study", IEEE Computational Intell. Mag., vol. 6, pp. 47-56, 2013.
- [15] N. Amjady, F. Keynia, "Short-term load forecasting of power systems by combination of wavelet transform and neuro-evolutionary algorithm", Energy, vol. 34, pp. 46-57, 2012.
- [16] FliesThinks of the Technical Product R&D Center, The Neural Network Theory and MA TLAB7 Realization [M], Electron and Industry Publishing Company of Beijing, 2014, 117
- [17] Qiao Wei De,Research on the Evaluating Indicator System of Eectric Power Enterprise Infonnatization Based on BP Neural Network, [J] Electric Age, 2014, 420
- [18] Jie.Yan, "The Application of Neural Network to Electric

Load Forecasting", North-East Power Technique, Vol.12, pp47-48, 2012

[19] S. Li, P. Wang, L. Goel, "Short-term load forecasting by wavelet transform and evolutionary extreme learning machine", Electr. Power Syst. Res., vol. 122, pp. 96-103, 2015.

Authors' Profiles



Mi Zhang is currently a graduate student for Master degree in China Three Gorges University, major in Electrical Engineering.



Changhao Xia is curently a full professor in China Three Gorges University.He received the B.S. degree in electronic technology from Changchun University of Science and Technology in 1987 and M.S. degree in electric power systems and automation from Wuhan University in 2000. He was a visiting

scholar of Queen's University Belfast, UK, from July 2007 to December 2007. He is a peer reviewer for International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems and some international conferences.

His research is focused on the electric power market and power marketing, analysis and operation of power systems, information technology and artificial intelligence in electrical engineering, theory and application of neural network. In recent years, he published over 70 papers, in which more than 10 papers were indexed by SCI, EI, ISTP(Electrical Power and Energy Systems,Journal of Computational Information Systems,Proceedings of Power Engineering and Automation Conference), hosted and participated 8 projects in science research or teaching research.

How to cite this paper: Mi Zhang, Changhao Xia,"A Loose Wavelet Nonlinear Regression Neural Network Load Forecasting Model and Error Analysis Based on SPSS", International Journal of Information Technology and Computer Science(IJITCS), Vol.9, No.4, pp.24-30, 2017. DOI: 10.5815/ijitcs.2017.04.04