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Abstract—Linking and tracking news stories covering the same events written in different languages is a challenging task. In natural languages same information may be expressed in multiple ways and newspapers try to exploit this feature for making the news stories more appealing. It has been observed that the same news story is presented in same as well as in different language in different ways but normally the gist remains the same. Diversity of linguistic expressions presents a major challenge in identifying and tracking news stories covering the same events across languages, but doing so may provide rich and valuable resources as comparable and parallel corpora can be generated with this resource. In the case of Indian languages there exist limited language resources for Natural Language Processing and Information Retrieval tasks and identifying comparable and parallel documents would offer a potential source for deriving bilingual dictionaries and training statistical Machine Translation systems. Paraphrasing is the most common way of reproducing news stories and translated text is also a type of paraphrase. Prior to linking monolingual or bilingual news stories, these paraphrase types need to identified and classified to help researchers to devise techniques to solve these challenging problems. English-Hindi language pair not only differs in their scripts but also in their grammar and vocabulary. A number of paraphrase typologies have been built from the perspective of Natural Language Processing or for some or the other specific applications but as per the knowledge of the authors, no typology have been reported for English-Hindi cross language text reuse. In this paper a typology is formulated for cross lingual journalistic text reuse in English-Hindi. Typology unravels level of difficulties in English-Hindi mapping. It shall help in devising techniques for linking and tracking English-Hindi stories.

Index Terms—Paraphrasing, typology, linguistic transformation, lexical, cross-lingual, journalistic text reuse.

I. INTRODUCTION

Newspapers report events that are taking place in any part of the world at more or less same time across different languages. Any news conveys same facts across language but news reporters try to incorporate their viewpoints according to their findings. Linking news stories covering the same events and with same content written in different languages may provide rich and valuable multilingual resources of both parallel and comparable text. Translation equivalents provides parallel fragments and paraphrases provides comparable fragments [2], Guan and Yuan [29], while working with mislabeled data, have also emphasized on the importance of pattern classification in machine learning. In case of Indian languages there exist limited language resources for Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Information Retrieval (IR) tasks and identifying comparable and parallel documents would offer a potential source for deriving bilingual dictionaries and training statistical Machine Translation (MT) systems [2, 19]. Paraphrasing is the most common way of reproducing news stories. In paraphrasing, substitution for semantic equivalents and grammar, are performed over the text which make even similar contents difficult to identify. Although, linguistic transformations take place in the paraphrased sentence but meaning is still preserved. Translated text in a different language is also a type of special kind of paraphrasing [4]. In order to determine what paraphrasing types make text reuse detection harder to be revealed, analysis, identification and classification of the different types of paraphrasing strategies applied during the text re-use process is important. Typology is nothing but drawing boundaries among different paraphrase types, identifying their manifestations, going into depth to find their characterization and finally classifying them [4]. Building a Typology has been a tool for many NLP researchers to apprehend paraphrasing [24].

Knowledge of paraphrase typology will help in identifying and linking similar news stories by applying suitable techniques. It is also an important aspect in IR research which also deals with document representation languages and models, and finding similar matching contents from documents collections on the web [30]. Therefore in this paper paraphrases are identified across English-Hindi language and a Typology for English-Hindi journalist text reuse has been proposed. It is a
pioneer work in context with English-Hindi journalist text reuse and unravels level of difficulties in English-Hindi mapping. The proposed typology has been built by considering other monolingual typologies given by different authors and comprises of previously defined categories in addition to many new categories to encompass the unique cases of English-Hindi cross-lingual journalistic reuse. The existing typologies have either been mapped in context to English Hindi language or are modified according to the intrinsic representation of the transformation across these two languages. The proposed typology may help in devising techniques for linking similar paraphrased contents in in English Hindi document pairs.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: In Section II various monolingual typologies given by different authors are discussed. In Section III proposed paraphrase typology for English-Hindi journalistic text reuse is discussed. Section IV presents discussion on the typology classes in context to empirical evidence and Section V presents the conclusion.

II. CHRONOLOGICAL RELATED WORK

Early works on paraphrase typologies are by Culicover [9] in 1968 and Honeck [17] in 1971. They divided paraphrase types into those classes which can either be formally mapped in natural language processing or cannot be.

Culicover [9] logically grouped paraphrasing into five types and separated accessible paraphrase relationships from inaccessible ones.

A taxonomy in the fields of Psychology was given by Honeck [17] which classified three types of paraphrases including transformational, lexical and formalex.

As reported by Vila et al.[23] in 2011 Apresjan (1973) mainly dealt with lexical paraphrases and Martin (1976) focused mainly on connotation, opposition and synonymy based paraphrases.

An editing taxonomy has been given by Faigley & Witte [15] in 1981 which divides revisions into two major categories; surface changes and meaning changes, each of which have 2 subcategories finally culminating into 23 types at the lowest level.

Dras [13] in 1999 studied syntactic paraphrases using Synchronous Tree Adjoining Grammars and classified paraphrasing types into classes based either on the formal change observed in the paraphrase pair or according to the paraphrase effect which makes them not mutually exclusive. The five classes of paraphrase that he identified are Change of Perspective, Change of Emphasis, Change of Relation, Deletion, and Clause Movement which are further divided into 51 sub-types.


16 obfuscation types were reported by Clough [8] in 2003 in his paraphrase typology which dealt with text reuse.

Conversives, non-literal language use and extended paraphrases were studied by Dorr et al. [12] in 2004 while dealing with paraphrases with equivalent meanings. He focused on the syntax, lexicon, and grammatical features of the paraphrases.

Based on the type of linguistic units or the range of difference between the original and paraphrased sentences Shimohata [22] in 2004 has classified the paraphrase into three types only-Sentential, Phrasal and Lexical. Each paraphrasing type requires a different kind of knowledge to deal with. Sentential paraphrasing requires pragmatic knowledge, phrasal paraphrasing requires syntactic knowledge, and lexical paraphrasing requires lexical knowledge.

Fujita [16] in 2005 analyzed a variety of linguistic phenomena in Japanese and provided a more detailed classification of paraphrases than in Shimohata [22]. He classified them on the basis of their similarities and differences in syntactic characteristics. He presented a classification of lexical and structural paraphrases grouped into six classes including paraphrases of single content words, function-expressive paraphrases, paraphrases of compound expressions, clause-structural paraphrases, multi-clausal paraphrases, and paraphrases of idiosyncratic expressions. These where further subdivided into 24 types.

Barreiro [3] in 2008 divided paraphrases into 5 classes- referential, lexical, phrasal, syntactic, lexical-syntactic and paraphrasing of multiword expression. The typology is based on the extent of paraphrasing within a sentence ranging from a single lexicon to a phrase to more than one phrase or more than one level of paraphrasing.

Clough and Gaizauskas [25] in 2009 studied journalistic text reuse and gathered three recurrently applied operations which are analogous to some entries of their typology: deletion, lexical substitution, changes in syntax and summarization.

A general typology of quasi-paraphrases together with their relative frequencies has been given by Bhagat [6] in 2009. The basis of classification of paraphrases is lexical and each of the types of paraphrase is linked to the compositional alterations involved.

Marta Vila et al. [23] in 2011 hypothesize that there exists a correlation between the differences in propositional content and the differences in wording on the one hand, and the degree of sameness of meaning or paraphrasability on the other, both being gradual properties. The typology they have presented classifies paraphrases according to the linguistic nature of their difference in wording and consists of a two-level typology of 2 paraphrasing types grouped into 5 classes. Paraphrasing types reflect a general paraphrase mechanism and classes represent the level of language where this mechanism takes place.

The paraphrase typology given by Barron et al. [4] in
2012 relies on the paraphrase concept defined by Recasens and Vila [20] in 2010 and Vila et al. [23] in 2011. It consists of an upgraded version of the one presented in the latter. Their typology also consists of a two-level typology but of 20 paraphrase types instead of 9 there grouped into six classes instead of 5.

Marta Vila et al. [24] in 2014 in their recent work refined their former typology and have given a new three level typology of 24 paraphrase types grouped in 5 classes.

The paraphrase typologies and their basis are compiled in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b.

Although some work has been done towards finding text reuse or linking news stories in English-Hindi but as per the knowledge of the authors, no paraphrase typology for these two language pairs has been reported so far. Also, the work done in these two language pair is directly proportional to the tasks defined by FIRE since 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Basis of Typology</th>
<th>Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culicover</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Linguistically founded and logical grouping-separated accessible paraphrases from inaccessible ones</td>
<td>5 types-transformational, attenuated, lexical, morphological/derivation, and real-word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honick</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Typology in context of Psychology</td>
<td>3 types-transformational, lexical and formalistic(combination of the two)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feagley &amp; Witte</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Revision/editing taxonomy</td>
<td>2 categories-surface changes and meaning changes- finally culminating into 23 types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dras</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Syntactic paraphrases-classification based on change of order or change of focus</td>
<td>5 classes-change of perspective, emphasis, relation, deletion and clause movement and 51 sub types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimohata(Japanese)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Paraphrase Types based on knowledge required to deal with it</td>
<td>3 types-sentential requiring pragmatic knowledge, phrasal requiring syntactic knowledge and lexical requiring lexical knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujita(Japanese)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Based on Linguistic Phenomena-classification on basis of similarities and differences in syntactic categories</td>
<td>6 classes-paraphrases of single content words, function-expression paraphrases, paraphrases of compound expressions, clause-structural paraphrases, multi-clausal paraphrases, and paraphrases of idiosyncratic expressions. These further subdivided into 24 types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barreiro</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Typology based on extent of paraphrasing within a sentence</td>
<td>5 classes-referential, lexical, phrasal, syntactic, lexical-syntactic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1a. Paraphrase Typologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Basis of Typology</th>
<th>Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clough and Gaitaustas</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Studied journalistic text reuse and gathered four recurrently applied operations forming basis of their typology</td>
<td>Deletion (of redundant context and resulting from syntactic changes), lexical substitution (synonymous and phrases), changes in syntax (word order, tense passive and active voice switching) and summarization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhagat</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Basis of classification of paraphrases is lexical (e.g., act/or action substitution or noun/adj conversion) and has linked each of these types to the compositional alterations involved (substitution, addition/deletion and/or permutation).</td>
<td>Their approach restraints the possible compositional alterations to only three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recasens and Vila</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Classifies paraphrases according to the linguistic nature of their difference in wording</td>
<td>Two-level typology of 9 paraphrasing types grouped into 5 classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barron et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>It consists of an upgraded version of the one presented in Recasens and Vila 2010</td>
<td>Two-level typology but of 20 paraphrase types instead of 9 there grouped into six classes instead of 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marta Vila, M. Antónia Marti, Horacio Rodríguez</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Refined their former typology given in 2010- a comprehensive typology of paraphrasing that focuses on general paraphrase phenomena, leaving finegrained linguistic mechanisms in a second term. It also has a hierarchical structure</td>
<td>New three level typology of 24 paraphrase types grouped in 5 classes, two of them having two sub-clases each</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1b. Paraphrase Typologies
English and Hindi languages not only differ in scripts but also in their grammar and vocabulary. English stores the meaning of the words in positions whereas Hindi, in morphemes. Identifying equivalent translated text across language becomes a challenging task as this category of text can be treated as obfuscation as well as paraphrasing. Identifying parallel contents in cross language news becomes even more complex if too much of alternation has been done to the translated news stories.

III. PROPOSED TYPOLOGY

Although a pioneer work in the field of English-Hindi language text reuse, the typology has been built by considering other monolingual typologies covered in the related work section. It aims to cover most of the phenomena described in these typologies. As the works of other authors, referred in this research paper, are primarily based on monolingual paraphrasing, therefore some classes that are not finding relevance across the language are dropped here. In the proposed typology, apart from inclusion of some of the previously defined categories, some new categories are introduced by us to signify their importance for cross language text reuse. The previously defined categories are followed by citations of the authors who have proposed them. Categories without any citation are the new categories proposed by us.

The typology is strictly formulated for cross lingual news stories covering English-Hindi language. Cross Language Indian News Story Search (CLINSS) corpus of FIRE 2012 and 2013 with 50691 files, English newspaper Hindustan Times and Hindi newspaper Dainik Bhaskar has been used as the corpus for the study and for inferring a typology for cross language news story. The parallel stories have been extracted from these newspapers manually and have been retrieved from CLINSS corpus using relevance judgment file provided by them. Text alignment was done manually by the authors themselves. The categorises are classified to be in isolation but some of them overlap i.e. two classes can co-exist. For example, if there is a sentence split, there is addition of words also. Any paraphrased parallel sentence in majority of the reported news is a combination of more than one such category. Still, while discussing any particular category of typology, only that category of paraphrasing is emphasized at that point.

The classification has been done on the basis of extent of words in the sentences which are paraphrased and on the basis of difficulty in automatic identification of cross lingual news stories. Five difficulty levels have been identified and each level describes the extent of paraphrasing.

The Hindi words/phrases/sentences which have been used as examples under each level also have their transliterated English versions following them, within brackets, for the ease of understanding by those who are not the native speakers of Hindi language.

As the following examples have been taken from original news stories, some names have been changed/hidden wherever found necessary, in view of keeping work purely for the purpose of research and not to hurt any sentiments.

A. Level I

News stories that are almost exact translations of their English counterpart fall under this category. 1(b) and 2(b) are nearly exact Hindi translation of 1(a) and 2(a). In such cases simple dictionary based cross language approach may be fruitful to retrieve same news story for text reuse

1 a). Palson owner convicted in attempt-to-murder case
1 b). हत्या की कोशिश केस में पालसन के मालिक दोषी
(hatyaki koshish ke palson ke maalik doshi)

2 a). We wanted to know where all were the camps, who were in charge.
2 b). हम जानना जाह्ने कि कौन कहीं लगा गया था और उनका इंचार्ज कौन था।
(hum jana chahe ki kanoon kaon lagaye the aur unka incharge kaun tha)

B. Level II

In this level key content words in Hindi are unambiguously mapped from E1 to H1 set but sentences may have a few additions/deletions of words or trivial modifications in one language or other. Linking Cross language news stories needs to map these words. Gist or meaning in this level is preserved. Categories identified under this level have also been reported in monolingual text reuse.

B.1. Word Insertion/Deletion

New information is added to a sentence by adding or deleting words [4, 23], leading to a paraphrase at the time of cross language text reuse (3(a) & 3(b) and 4(a) & 4(b)). It may have minor syntactic transformation or lexical replacement. Robin [26] in 1994 introduced the term ‘Information adding’ paraphrases for such type of paraphrase.

3 a). The base price of $225-million remains the same.
3 b). उन्होंने कहा कि दोषी का बेस प्राइस 22.5 करोड़ डॉलर यानी कीच की कीच 10 अरब स्पर्श ही रहेगा
(unhone kaha ki teamon ka base price 22.5 karod dollar yani kireeb 10 arab rapye hi rhega)

4 a). This has remained secret until now.
4 b). द्वीपं जी के ईस तरह नष्ट हो जाने का राज आज तक बना हुआ था।
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It involves syntactic reorganization and contains those diathesis alternations where the meaning is preserved but the voice or style is changed at the time of translation (9 (a) & 9(b) and 10 (a) & 10 (b)).

9 a). Water released from the dam completely submerged the fields

9 b). बांध से जारी पानी में खेत पूरी तरह जलमग्न

(बाандह से जारी पानी में खेत पूरी तरह जलमग्न)

10 a). "Of course I am disappointed. But it was the decision of the governing council," he said

10 b). आईपीएल कमिश्नर ने बाद में कहा कि टेंडर रह होने से हालांकि वह निराश है लेकिन यह गवर्निंग कॉंसिल का फैसला है।


B.5. Representational Change

Many times category of noun may be changed at the time of translation. In 12 (b) natives are represented by country at the time of translation from 12 (a).

11 a). Indians showed great restraint after the last {...} attack

11 b). पिछले {...} हमले के बाद भारत ने जबरदस्त धैर्य का परिचय दिया

(pichhle {...} hamle ke baad bharat ne jabardast dairiya ka parichay diya)

C. Level III

In this level, normally translated sentence contains few content lexical units that are not proper translation across the language. Linking such news stories is a challenging task as news stories communicate same information but words may not have direct mapping. Such cases may fall under the category of low obfuscation or lexical paraphrasing.

C.1. Localization Related Issues

In this class cross language text reuse is dominated by localization related issues. It is observed that such types of usages are among the most common ones in new story text reuse. [23] has considered this class as a case of change of format. Date (12 (a)) currency (12 (b) and 12 (c)) are the most common examples of this class (Table 1). Date can be written in any applicable format in English and gives rise to many permutations and
combinations of Hindi translations formats. Likewise in natural language, currency can be expressed in users own ways and may not always conform to the dictionary equivalents. Like in 12 (b) “ten million” is translated in Hindi as “दस लाख” which is wrong, and not as “एक करोड़” when given to a Machine Translation system. But a person can interpret it as “एक करोड़” or as transliterated version of its English counterpart.

Many such examples are present in FIRE corpus. Some of the examples are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example No.</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Hindi (which is not translated by MT system)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 a)</td>
<td>June 12, 2009</td>
<td>बारह जून 2009 (बारह जून 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 b)</td>
<td>$10 million</td>
<td>एक करोड़ डॉलर/10 मिलियन डॉलर (एक करोड़ डॉलर/10 मिलियन डॉलर)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 c)</td>
<td>$5 million</td>
<td>50 लाख डॉलर (50 लाख डॉलर)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C.2. Partial Improper Translation**

In this class linguistically the situation is communicated as per the syntax of the respective language but if one tries to map the text reuse then few lexical units may not map due to partial improper translation (13 (a) & 13 (b) and 14 (a) & 14 (b)). “Crashed on him” will never map to “टकाया गया थे” and “amended” does not mean “कटौती”.


(ve darwaze se takra gave the)

14 a). [...] Can be amended,
14 b). [...] कटौती हो सकती है

(katauti ho saki hai)

Automatic mapping for text reuse under this class is quite challenging. In 15(a) “boy” the actor is referred as “व्यक्ति” in 15(b) which is not proper. Table 2 shows some of the words present in the new stories that shall never mapped properly.

15 a). [...]an affair with a boy from a different community
15 b). [...]किसी और बिराड़ी के व्यक्ति से प्रेम{...}

({... kisi aur biradari ke vyakti se prem{...}})

**C.3. OOV words substitutions**

Socio-cultural influence across globe results in acceptability of some of the lexical units that are normally treated as Out of Vocabulary (OOV) for native language. In such cases although the Hindi equivalents of the words are available, but instead of taking exact word translations, transliterated words are accepted at the time of news reporting because such transliterated versions are more in use than the translation equivalents. Hindi has adopted many such words in its day to day writings and conversations but such words do not find any place in the dictionary as Hindi meanings of English words. These words also create problems if we go for Dictionary based approaches for mapping these words. Some of the words of FIRE corpus are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English word</th>
<th>Hindi word found in News Articles</th>
<th>Exact dictionary mapping in Hindi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>व्यक्ति (vyakti)</td>
<td>लड़के (ladke)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>महिला (mahila)</td>
<td>लड़की (ladki)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordered</td>
<td>लाइसेंस सूचिया (laisa sumaya)</td>
<td>अदेश दिया (aadesh diya)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>टीम (team)</td>
<td>पुलिस (pulis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill</td>
<td>लोन (loan)</td>
<td>विधेयक (vidhyak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation</td>
<td>समीकरण (samikaran)</td>
<td>गणना (ganana)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>कमिशनर (commissioner)</td>
<td>अध्यक्ष (adhyaksh)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Partial Improper Translation**

**Table 3. OOV words substitutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English word</th>
<th>Accepted transliterated form</th>
<th>Exact Hindi Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net worth</td>
<td>नेट वर्थ (net worth)</td>
<td>निवास मुद्रा (nival mulya)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>दक्षिण एशिया (south asia)</td>
<td>दक्षिण एशिया (dakshin asia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter-terror</td>
<td>कांटर-टर्नरिस्ट स्ट्रेटेजी के को-</td>
<td>अतान्वद्व विरोधी स्थानीय सहक (autankavad vinashvi adhyaksh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy Initiative Co-Director</td>
<td>डेिक देिक (counter terrorism strategy initiative ke co-director)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal cases</td>
<td>क्राइमल केस (criminal case)</td>
<td>आयरण डिक (aayraddenikamal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neither factual nor legal</td>
<td>न कानूनी और न है फैक्त्यूल (na hi kanuni aur na hi faktil)</td>
<td>न कानूनी और न है फैक्त्यूल (na hi kanuni aur na hi faktil)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| House Homeland and Security Committee | आउस होमल्यू एंड सेक्युरिटी कमिटी (house homeland and security committee) | गृह महामंडल और सुरक्षा समिति (grih matribhumi aur suraksha samiti)
C.4. Role and Thought Shifting

One news may depict thought and news in other language depicts other's role. 16 (a) shows what a person is thinking about himself and the person's own decision but its Hindi equivalent 16(b) leaves the decision on others.

16 a). Will consider PM job if we win
16 b). सांसद चाहेंगे तभी कानून प्रशासनमंत्री

(saansad chahenge tabhi banunga pradhanmandri)

C.5. Syntax/Discourse Structure Changes [4, 23]

While translating 17(a) interjection is converted to assertion in 17 (b) along with same polarity substitution. Whereas 17(a) expresses surprise, 17 (b) asserts that it can never be true.

17 a). Kapoor {[..] he didn't believe] that the minister was capable of harming her.
17 b). साथ उनमें {[..] कपूर उनकी माँ को शरीरिक नुकसान नहीं पहुँचा सकते थे}

(saath unhone[{..}] kapoor unki maa ko sharirik nuksaan nahin pahuncha sake the)

C.6. Contextual Related Word

The contextual related word may be used in place of exact translation. Let word be e₁, its exact translation be h₁ and contextual words related to E in H be h₂₁, h₂₂, h₂₃. The contextual words h₂₁, h₂₂, h₂₃ may be used in place of h₁ (18(a) & 18(b)). In a simpler way, these are those translations, where an English lexicon can be represented by any of its Hindi synonyms.

18 a) I told them that the bill could be amended to address their concerns in respect of OBC and Muslim women.
18 b) मैंने उससे कहा है कि ओबीसी और अल्पसंख्यक महिलाओं को लेकर उनकी जो चिंता है, उसे खत्म करने के लिए विदेश में संशोधन किया जा सकता है

(maine unse kaha hai ki OBC aur alpsankhyak maitlaon ko lekar unki jo chinta hai, use khatam karne ke liye bill mein sanshidhan kiya ja sakta hai)

C.7. Transliteration of Synonym

In this class lexical mapping across the language is present but one uses transliterated synonym of lexical unit at the time of news reporting (19 and 20). The synonyms for hired and plea are contract and appeal respectively and these English synonyms only have been transliterated for using in the Hindi stories.

19). hired killer बॉय्ट्रैक्ट किलर (contract killer)
20). plea अपील (appeal)

C.8. Abbreviation vs. Polysemy

In this class abbreviation is either transliterated or its expanded form is translated or transliterated. There can also be more than one translation equivalents of the same word and it is difficult to map these words across language (Table 4). Fujita [16] and Barron et al. [4] referred this class as “Altering notational variants, abbreviations, and acronyms” and “Lexicon based spelling and format changes” respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Expanded forms can be either of these</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>सपा, समवत पार्टी, पुलिसअभियंतक</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(sapa, samta party, policeadikshak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJP</td>
<td>भाजपा, भारतीय जनता पार्टी</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(bhuja, bharatiyajanata party)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>सुप्रीम कोर्ट, अनुसूचित जाति</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(supreme court, anusuchit jaati)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bureau of Investigation</td>
<td>सेवाआर्ध, केंद्रीय अन्तर्गत विभाग</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(CBI, kendriya anveshan bureau)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBI</td>
<td>सेवाआर्ध, केंद्रीय अन्तर्गत विभाग</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(CBI, kendriya anveshan bureau)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBI</td>
<td>रिजर्व बैंक, अर्थ विभाग</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(reserve bank, RBI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgt</td>
<td>सैग्ट (seargent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>लेटिनेंट (leutinent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen</td>
<td>जनरल (general)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.9. Sentimental Outburst to Add Sensation

In this category some phrase, idioms and words arousing emotional outburst may be added across the language (21 (a) & 21 (b)). Here “सामूहिक दुर्घटना (saamuhik duskharm)” is not the exact translation of rape but has simply been used to arouse sensation.

21 a). 12 rape girl on panchayat order
21 b). पंचायत के आदेश पर 12 लोगों ने किया सामूहिक दुर्घटना

(panchayat ke aadesh par 12 logon ne kiya saamuhik duskharm)

D. Level IV

Translations falling under this category come under pragmatic paraphrasing which have been dealt by several researchers. As special types of paraphrases it goes beyond pure semantic similarity to fall within the field of pragmatics [24]. Paraphrasing extends to a group of words. Linking and tracking news stories under this class becomes quite challenging.
D.1. Action vs. Consequence

This category has been referred to as Textual Entailment [1, 16, 24]. In this class meaning of one expression can be inferred from the other [10]. Newspaper may report action or decision taken in one language, but its translation in other language may report the consequences of the action or decision (22 (a) & 22(b)).

22 a). All pre-2005 notes go out of currency
22 b). वापस करने हो गए 2005 से पहले के सभी नोट (wapas karne honge 2005 se pahle ke sabhi note)

D.2. Change in Reference

In this category referencing of time period may be changed across language (23 (a) & 23(b)).

23 a). Anybody who has such notes can get these exchanged in any bank after April 1.
23 b). [...] के मुताबिक 30 जून तक कोई भी व्यक्ति कितना भी नोट बैंक में जा कर बदल सकता है

([..] ke mutabib 30 june tak koi bhi vyakti kina bhi note bank mein jakar badal sakta hai)

D.3. Focus Shifting

The focus may be shifted at the time of translation while preserving the gist of the sentence. In 24(a) the focus is on reason but in 24 (b) it is on relation.

24 a). Shyam Gupta dies of brain hemorrhage
24 b). राम गुप्ता के बहादू श्याम गुप्ता की मौत

(ram gupta ke bhai shyam gupta ki maut)


Action may be replaced by actor to highlight actor in news stories (25 (a) & 25 (b)).

25 a). [...] admitted to hospital here
25 b). [...] sir aur pair me maamuli chot aayi. Upchara ke baad ve shootimg par loot bhi aaye.

([..] sir aur pair mein maamul chot aayi. Upchara ke baad ve shooting par laut bhi aaye)

D.5. Specification vs. Generalization

Group of lexical units i.e. noun phrase in one language may be replaced by some other word or by anaphora ((26 (a) & 26 (b) and 27 (a) & 27 (b) and 28 (a) & 28 (b)) [11]. Use of hyponyms and hypernyms represent this category.

26 a). [...] two-day national conclave at Karla
26 b). पुणे के पास पार्टी के दो दिन के सम्मेलन [...] (pun ke paas party ke do din ke sammelan[..])

27 a). A combination of Guptas has emerged to oppose the Bill
27 b). अमोल, मनीष और तुषार गुप्ता ने बिल का विरोध किया है

(amo, manish aur tushar gupta ne bill ka viodh kiya hai)

28 a). This has remained secret until now.
28 b). इसस्ताते से के इस तत्त्व नष्ट या गुम हो जाने का राज आज तक बना हुआ था

(dastavezon ke is tarah nasht ya gum ho jaane ka raaj aaj tak bana huva tha)

D.6. Lexicon based Opposite Polarity Substitution

Marta vila et al. [23, 24] and Barron et al. [4] referred this class as Lexicon based opposite polarity substitution but few other authors has referred it as Inter-clausal negative-affirmative paraphrasing [16]. In this class polarity may be changed twice. In this lexical unit is changed by its antonym or complementary and then in order to maintain the meaning, another change of polarity occurs within the same sentence (29 (a) & 29 (b)).

29 a). [...] “too strong” to commit suicide
29 b). [...] इतनी कमजोर नहीं थी कि खुदकुशी जैसा कदम उठा ले

([..] itni kamzor nahi thi ki khudkushy jaisa kadam utha le)

D.7. Referential [16] or cognitive [27]

The cross language news stories may comprise of coreference that needs attention as it may be difficult to identify reuse (30 (a) & 30 (b) and 31 (a) & 31(b)). Referential and cognitive are to be treated as co reference rather than paraphrase but for retrieving news stories coreference i.e. referential and cognitive might be quite useful [16, 23].

30 a). [...] photograph addressing a meeting in February, 2011
30 b). [...] तीन साल पूर्णी तस्वीर {...}

([..] teen saal purani tasveer [..])

31 a). He was released on 14-day parole on August 2, 1999
D.8. Handling of Phrase across Language

In this category few lexical units that are phrase needs to have exact phrasal mapping at the time of handling text reuse across language. Sometimes there might not be exact phrase but same may be mapped to nearby equivalent at time of news reporting (32 (a) & 32 (b) and 33 (a) & 33 (b)).

32 a). Mrinalini Devi {..} fighting against it tooth and nail{..}
32 b). मृणालिनी देवी {..} एडी-चोटी का जोर लगाकर लड़ रही है

(mrinalini devi {..} edhi-chot ka jor lagakar lad rahi hoon)

33 a). “Realising {..} indulging in theatrics {..}
33 b). हर्षा राई {..} माटक पर जाकर {..}

(harsha rai {..} naataak par utaru {..})

D.9. Synthetic/Analytic Substitutions

In this category there is replacement of word by its nearby meaning instead of exact dictionary word. These are those substitutions that have single-pieced for multiple-pieced lexical units (34 (a) & 34 (b) and 35 (a) & 35 (b)) that have same meaning [23].

34 a). {..} popular confectionery chain {..}
34 b). मिठाइयों और नमककी फॉडवाल की दुकानों की जानी-मानी येन

(mithaiyon aur namkeen products ki dukhon ki jaani-mani yein)

35 a). {..} the residence and other properties {..}
35 b). ठिकानों {..}

([..] thikanon[..])

E. Level V

The categories belonging to this level are the toughest of all to link news stories across language as it comprises of news stories that are equivalent in propositional concept but words used to express news stories are completely different [23].

E.1. Modification of Action

This is mostly in the news related to raids and corruption. One story may emphasize on the property and legal issue and the other equivalent story may give details such as where it happened and total monetary estimate (36 (a) & 36 (b)).

36 a). {..} whose property includes cash, jewellery, agriculture land, flats and plots, has been booked under the disproportionate assets case under the Prevention of Corruption Act 1988
36 b). {..} स्थित निवास के अलावा {..} में ठिकानों पर एक साथ कार्यवाही की गई, उनकी सम्पत्ति की कीमत चार करोड़ रुपये तक जा सकती है.

(... stith niwas ke alawa[..] mein thikanon par ek saath karyavahi ki gai. Unki sampatti ki keemat char krod rupye tak ja sakhti hai)


table 5. Complete Typology for English-Hindi Journalistic Text reuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL I</th>
<th>LEVEL II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Exact Translation</td>
<td>1 Word insertion/deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sentence Split/Join</td>
<td>2 Sentence Split/Join</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Change in modality</td>
<td>3 Change in modality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Passive vs active/Direct-Indirect style alteration</td>
<td>4 Passive vs active/Direct-Indirect style alteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Representational change</td>
<td>5 Representational change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL III</th>
<th>LEVEL IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Localization related issues</td>
<td>1 Action vs consequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Partial Improper Translation</td>
<td>2 Change in reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 OOV words substitutions</td>
<td>3 Focus shifting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Role and thought shifting</td>
<td>4 Actor/action substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Syntax/discourse structure changes</td>
<td>5 Specification vs Generalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Contextual related word</td>
<td>6 Lexicon based Opposite Polarity substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Transliteration of Synonym</td>
<td>7 Referential or cognitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Abbreviation vs Polysemy</td>
<td>8 Handling of Phrase across language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Sentimental outburst to add sensation</td>
<td>9 Synthetic/analytic substitutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL V</th>
<th>LEVEL V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Modification of action</td>
<td>1 Modification of action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Total rephrasing</td>
<td>2 Total rephrasing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E.2. Total Rephrasing (High Obfuscation)

When the focal event is the same but entirely diverse sentences supports the fact and it is very difficult to link such news stories because of vagueness of focal event in either new stories(37 (a) & 37 (b) and 38 (a) & 38 (b)).
37 a). Minister had already assured the House that all parties would be taken into confidence by the government on the issue.

37 b). महिला आरक्षण बिल पर सहमति कायम करने के लिए मंत्री ने सर्वदलीय बैठक बुलाई है।

(mahila aarakshan bill par sahmati kaayam karne ke liye mantri ne sarva dalija baiithak bulai hai)

38 a). "...Nothing is found against the correctness, legality, propriety or regularity in respect of any of the findings”

38 b). ैसले के खिलाफ कोई ठोस दलिल पेश नहीं की गई।

(faisle ke khilaf koi thod dalil pesh nahi ki gayi)

Table 5 shows complete typology for English-Hindi Journalistic Text Reuse

IV. DISCUSSION

Authors participated in the Cross Language Indian News Story Search (CLINSS) task of FIRE 2013 where the task was to link relevant Hindi news stories reporting the same focal event out of a corpus of 50691 stories to their 25 English counterparts. They tried to analyze and identify occurrences of the different paraphrase types as proposed in this typology in the top ten Hindi news stories which were retrieved as the result of the CLINSS task. Out of 250 documents retrieved, 50 were found to be relevant. The relevancy was judged on the basis of whether the document pairs shared the focal event and news event both or only the news event and not the focal event. Those documents which shared the focal events too, showed the tendency of exact translations of group of words occupying major portion in the corpus. Loan words or OOV substitutions was also used frequently in case of equivalent Hindi document. Polysenym hypenymy and hyponymy (specification vs. generalization) and synonymy (contextual related words) also was present in the corpus. Word insertions and deletions and sentence split/join are done to present the same facts with some additional information. The other classification categories were mostly observed in the documents which shared the same news event but different focal event. Different wordings due to change of focal event might have been the reason behind it.

V. CONCLUSION

Defining typologies helps in drawing boundaries to identify across language different equivalent manifestations and helps devising or developing techniques for accurately tracking news stories across language. The analysis of relevant Hindi news stories having the same focal or main event as their English equivalents for different classification types English-Hindi News stories corpora are suggestive of the facts as to which of the paraphrase types are mostly observed in cross-lingual reuse. This analysis may prove beneficial to track and link two such cross-lingual English-Hindi story pairs if proper techniques are devised for dealing with the most prominent paraphrase types.

The work is a novel step towards constructing a paraphrase typology for English Hindi news corpora. The proposed work has tried to bring forth the intricacies involved across the language journalistic text reuse. The paraphrase boundaries are in most of the cases overlapping so the work can be further analyzed to redefine the boundaries to deepest sub-level so that overlapping is reduced to an extent.
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