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Abstract—Software reusability is very important and crucial attribute to evaluate the system software. Due to incremental growth of software development, the software reusability comes under attention of many researcher and practitioner. It is pretty easier to reuse the software than developing the new software. Software reusability reduces the development time, cost and effort of software product. Software reusability define the depth to which a module can be reused again with very little or no modification. However the prediction of this quality attribute is cumbersome process. Aspect oriented software development is new approach that introduce the concerns to overcome the issues with modular programming and object oriented programming. However many researcher worked on accessing the software reusability on object oriented system but the software reusability of aspect oriented system is not completely explored. This paper explores the various metric that affects the reusability of aspect oriented software and estimate it using fuzzy logic approach.

Index Terms—Aspect Oriented Programming (AOP), Aspect Oriented Software Development (AOSD), Software Reusability, Cohesion, Coupling, Separation of Concern (SOC), Size and Complexity, Fuzzy Logic.

I. INTRODUCTION

Software reusability is software quality attribute in which software or its module is reused with very little and no modification. Software reuse is the development of existing software system by using their existing features or components. The goal of software reusability is to provide higher quality products, less development time, higher scheduling accuracy and Reliability. There are numerous software development methodologies to support reusability with different characteristics.

A. Module Oriented Approach (MOA)

Module oriented approach (MOA) is very dominant approach to develop the software. MOA is basically depends on perception of procedure call. Procedure can be also known as subroutine, method and function. It is appropriate choice than simple sequential or unstructured languages which involves complexity and requires significant amount of reusability.

A few significant characteristics and restrictions of Module Oriented Approach are listed below:

i. It provides reusability of code.
ii. MOA is robustly modular than structure.
iii. Development by writing or replaces the code.
iv. Inability to provide data binding with operations.

To overcome these limitations of MOA, Object Oriented Approach (OOA) is introduced.

B. Object Oriented Approach (OOA)

OOA is applicable for real world problems. OOA decompose problem into objects that abstract behavior and data into a single unit. It introduces the concept of inheritance, modularity, polymorphism and encapsulation.

A few significant characteristics and restrictions of Object Oriented Approach are listed below:

i. OOA approach mainly prominence on data relatively than procedure.
ii. Allows message passing and functions through interaction of objects.
iii. It provides the concept of classes, encapsulation and hides implementation details.
iv. OOA provides an easy way to add new data and functions, whenever required.
v. Ability to provide polymorphism and inheritances.

In practice with larger projects, it has been observed that OOA has difficulty to separate concerns such as readability, security, modifiability. To overcome the limitations of object oriented approach (OOA), Aspect Oriented (AO) approach is introduced.

C. Component Based Software Development (CBSD)

Nowadays CBSD is receiving as a new effective development paradigm in industry. This approach has wide acceptance in terms of cost effectiveness [3]. Software development in CBSD is a process of composition of diverse software components into integrated form. The main issue in CBSD is the reclamation and selection of appropriate software components from a huge variety of reusable components.

The major advantages of CBSB are as follow:
i. This technique provides in-time and high quality solutions for new software development.

ii. This approach also offers high productivity, flexibility and quality through reusability, replace-ability, efficient maintainability, and scale ability.

iii. This approach also reduces development time for new system.

D. Aspect Oriented Software Development (AOSD)

AOSD is reasonably forthcoming technique which combines concerns that crosscut the modularity from conventional programming approaches such as Module Oriented Approach (MOA) and Object Oriented Approach (OOA) [4, 5]. There are many languages that belongs to family aspect oriented systems such as AspectJ (Java Extension), AspectC (C Extension), AspectC++ (C++ Extension), AspectXML (XML Extension), CaserJ and HyperJ (used by IBM) [6, 7].

AspectJ is most popular one and few significant characteristics of AspectJ are listed below

i. It is most popular languages that used in AOP.

ii. Supports following characteristics such as joint points, pointcuts, advice and introduction [8, 9].

iii. Defines new constructor, maintain in favor of modular accomplishment for crosscutting concerns.

iv. It provides synchronization, consistency checking, protocol management and others.

v. The concern that crosscuts the modularity of traditional programming is known as cross-cutting concerns for examples cross-cutting includes logging, tracing, resource pooling, etc.

This paper is organized into five sections. Introduction followed by related work is mentioned in second part. Second section discusses the various reusability models given by famous researcher and their metrics that affect the reusability of software. The third section of this paper unfolds the brief description of metrics that affect the reusability of software. The fourth section of this paper evaluates the software reusability by using fuzzy logic approach. Finally, last section presents conclusion and future aspects of the proposed work.

II. RELATED WORK

Nowadays, real world systems evolve so fast that they are capable to congregate challenges among the customer constraint as well as operational atmosphere. Design of software concern is well modularized to meet all the non-functional requirement of a system. AOSD still faces several problems; quantitative assessment of some important characteristics like modularity, complexity and the overall quality of aspect oriented technology is fairly unexplored. The related work will discussed the work done so far by many researchers in the area of object oriented approach and aspect oriented along with their metrics for assessing software reusability [5].

ISO developed a quality model that was further known as ISO/IEC 9126 Model [10]. According to ISO, quality of software is consisted into six attributes namely functionality, reliability, usability, efficiency, maintainability, portability. This model is an enhancement of earlier work which is specified by McCall, Bohem, and FURPS.


Kumar et al. projected first quality model known as Aspect-Oriented Software Quality Model (AOSQUAMO) [12]. This model is an enhancement of ISO/IEC 9126 Quality Model. In this model Complexity, Reusability, Modularity and Code-Reducibility are included in diverse characteristics of ISO/IEC 9126 Model.

Castillo et al. proposed a conceptual quality model to elucidate the AOSD evolving technologies like aspect, composition concern, and quality requirement for software system [13]. REASQ Model is another name of this model which integrates ISO/IEC 9126 Model and ISO/IEC 25030 model in addition to articulated in UML.

Kumar P. introduced new attribute to AOSQUAMO Model i.e. evolvability [14]. The sub-characteristics of Evolvability is sustainability, extensibility, Configurability and Design Stability. This model is known as AOSQ Model.

Price et al. offered a new dimension for assessing the reusability measurements [15]. This dimension facilitates the large scale object oriented structure reuse. The researcher must have good understanding of application domain and type of system that they expect to develop in future.

Barnard et al. projected a new reusability metric for OO software which is based on empirical evidence taken from well used accepted programming libraries in classes are assumed to be highly reusable [16].

Dandashi et al. reported a measurement in support of reusability of C++ code by actions of non-functional quality attributes like Completeness, Understandability, Adaptability and Maintainability [17].

A framework is projected by Sant’Anna et al. for estimating the reusability and maintainability of AO software [18]. They established a relationship between reusability and maintainability internal metrics such as coupling, cohesion, crosscutting concern and size. This concern driven architecture proposed by Sant’anna et al. [18] also help in measuring the SoC, Cohesion, Coupling and Complexity for AO system.

Cunha et al. discussed the high level concurrency patterns and mechanisms coded in AspectJ [19]. They explored some advantages of reusability, modularity and understandability. They accomplished that above mentioned characteristics will progress the AO approach while applying with java program.

Zhang et al. proposed AO approach with connectors in reusable design and implementation of connectors [20]. They uncover the affect of crosscutting concerns in reusability of connectors.

Aljasseret et al. proposed the extension of AspectJ programming languages known as ParaAJ [21]. This language has ability to parameterize aspects. This language is upcoming step to modularize aspects and enhancement in reusability.
Zhao proposed a coupling metrics which is based on no. of dependencies among aspects and classes like module-class, attribute-class, aspect-inheritance and module-method dependencies [22].

Ceccato et al. presented the coupling metrics for Aspect Oriented Programming languages [23]. They present a framework for aspects, classes, modules and term operation as class methods and aspect advices/introductions.

Bortsch et al. extended the framework from OO systems (Briand et al.) [24]. In their extended framework they contain a specific definition of six different coupling schemes such as locus of impact types of connection, firmness of server, granularity, straight or undirected connections, instantiation along with inheritance.

A unified framework for coupling is also reported by Bartolomei et al. projected for AO system [25]. They extended their work from the existing framework of Briand’s frameworks (Briand et al. [26] ; Arisholm et al. [27]). In their work, two AOP languages are considered namely AspectJ and CaesarJ and showed that the how these two languages can be instantiated on Java.

In [28], Kumar et al. projected a new framework of coupling metrics by extending the work of Bartolomei et al.[25], Briand et al.[26] and Arisholm et al. [27] frameworks. They recognized the various types of connection between couplings such as attribute type, parameter type, attribute reference, operation invocation, inheritance and high level association.

Zhao et al. projected a cohesion measure which is based on dependency graphs [29]. They defined number of dependencies among aspect and classes and cohesion as the degree of relatedness among modules and attributes.

Gelinas et al. define a metrics to measure the cohesion in AO system [30]. This metrics is known as “ACoh Metrics”. Two aspect cohesion criteria are defined in ACoh metrics namely “Modules-Modules Connection Criterion” and “Modules-Data Connection Criterion”.

On the basis of Table 1, we can conclude that most of the researchers have worked upon mainly cohesion and coupling metrics but Sant’Anna et al. introduced a new metrics that majorly affects reusability i.e. “Separation of Concern (SoC)”. SoC is the main metrics that differentiate between aspect oriented software and object oriented software. The size and complexity metrics is least used by researchers but this metrics affects maximum the reusability for aspect oriented software. So we have considered four main metrics namely Separation of Concern (SoC), Cohesion, Coupling, Size and Complexity for the proposed work to develop reusability model for AO software using Fuzzy Logic approach. All these four metrics that affect the reusability of AOP are discussed in next section in detail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researchers</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Coupling</th>
<th>Cohesion</th>
<th>Size &amp; Complexity</th>
<th>SoC</th>
<th>Major Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bieman &amp; Kang [33]</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed the frame work for Cohesion Measures in AOP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hender-Sellers [34]</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extends the Chiamder &amp; Kemerer framework criticizes the LCOM measure in AOP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briand et al. [16]</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contributed in the system for Coupling Measurement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sant’Anna et al. [18]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Projected LCO and LCC metrics for AO system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al. [29]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Projected number of dependencies among aspect and classes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceccato et al. [23]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>They present a framework for aspects, classes, modules and term operation as class functions and aspect advices/introductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arisholm et al. [27]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dynamic Coupling dimension for OO Software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelinas et al. [30]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Projected metric ACoh to measure aspect cohesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicilia et al. [37]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Design issues of (AOD) extensions on OJB libraries using fuzzy logic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patakiet et al. [38]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Projected metrics that can compute complexity of MO, OO and AO parts of programs implemented in AspectJ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xia et al. [40]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A new way of conveying complexity weight values to FP metric.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aljasser et al. [21]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New language is proposed called ParaAJ which is an extension of AspectJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mickelson [41]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attentive on size metrics like NQC, module and LOC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coady &amp; Kizcales [42]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Studied runtime overheads as well as the position of unknown concerns in OS code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. METRICS AFFECTING THE SOFTWARE REUSABILITY

In this section various metrics which affects the software reusability have been taken into consideration. There are mainly four metrics (a) SoC (b) Coupling (c) Cohesion (d) Coupling, that majorly affects the software reusability for AO software and all these have been taken into account by Singh et al. [50] also. All the considered metrics are discussed in more detail as follows:

A. Separation of Concern (SoC)

SoC stands for Separation of Concern [18]. It makes available the capability to recognize, summarize and control relevant particular concern [48]. The metrics to evaluate SoC are as follows in AOP:

i. Concern Diffusion over Components (CDC)

The CDO metric calculates no. of major components, formal parameter, return types, throws declarations and local variables, or call their method.

ii. Concern Diffusion over Operations (CDO)

The CDO metric calculates the amount of chief operations to add the accomplishment of concerns. It also calculates no. of method as well as constructor.

iii. Concern Diffusion over LOC (CDLOC)

The CDLOC metrics counts no. of conversion points designed for every concern all the way to the LOC [43].

B. Coupling Metrics

The coupling is process of defining the potency of interconnection among the modules of system software [18]. High coupling means high interconnection between program modules that depends on each other [44]. There are following coupling metric that mainly affects software reusability for aspect oriented system.

i. Coupling between Components (CBC)

The CDO metric calculates the no. of classes that apply in attribute declaration. This metrics also calculate formal parameter, throws declaration and local variable.

ii. Depth of Inheritance Tree (DIT)

This metric defines highest extent from node to the origin (root) of the tree. This metrics also defines hierarchy for aspect or class.

C. Cohesion Metrics

This metric defines the closeness of the relationship between the internal modules of program [18,44]. There are number of cohesion metric that mainly affects software reusability of aspect oriented system as given below

i. Lack of Cohesion in Operations (LCOO)

This metrics defines lack of cohesion of module. Sant’Anna et al. [18] projected this LCOO metric. It is an extension of Lack of Cohesion in Methods (LCOM) metric which is framed via Chidamber and Kemerer [32].

D. Size Metrics

This metrics calculates the dimension of any software system [18]. The following size metrics are given below [47]:

i. Vocabulary Size (VS)

VS count no. of classes and aspects into a system. In VS metric module instances are not counted.

ii. Lines of Code (LOC)

LOC is conventional measure that calculates the size of software. It calculates LOC which excludes blank lines as well as comments.

iii. Number of Attributes (NOA)

NOA calculates no. of attributes for every class and aspect. NOA exclude the inherited attribute.

iv. Weighted Operations per Component (WOC)

This metric determine the complication (complexity) of a component in provisions for operation.

IV. PROPOSED FUZZY MODEL FOR ASPECT ORIENTED SOFTWARE REUSABILITY

In this section of paper, the fuzzy logic approach has been discussed for the assessment of software reusability for aspect oriented software.

A. Fuzzy Inference System

Fuzzy inference system is proficient with map inputs during input membership functions and associated parameters as shown in Fig.1. The parameters associated with the membership functions and corresponding associated output parameters are used to understand the final output of fuzzy system. The FIS structure was generated from the Matlab Fuzzy logic Toolbox [45].

B. Dependent and Independent Variables

The dependent variable is output variable i.e. is reusability, which comes after fuzzification method. The fuzzy set for every output variable will set for defuzzification [46]. The lists of independent variables are four main metrics that majorly affects the reusability. These four metrics are namely Separation of Concerns (SoC), Coupling, Cohesion, and Size metrics.

Measurement values of all metrics always normalized between number 0 and 1. The result for all metrics will be use as an input to the fuzzy inference system (FIS) for measuring the reusability of aspect oriented software. Mamdani fuzzy system is commonly used and same has been used to evaluate software reusability as shown in Fig.2.
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Table 2 summarizes the system information as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>“Reusability”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>“Mamdani”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Inputs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Outputs</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Membership Functions

Membership function enables users to exhibit as well as amend every membership functions that are linked by every input and output variables for the complete FIS. Fig.3 and Fig.4 shows the membership function for input and output variable.

In this work, the scale of membership functions for input as Low (L), Medium (M) and High (H).

The output variable is scaled into Very Low (VL), Low (L), Medium (M), High (H) and Very High (VH).

D. Knowledge Base for Model

Fig. 5 shows the knowledge base model for fuzzy inference system. This knowledge model is known as rule editor. It constructs the rule using graphical rule editor Interface. In this paper, it has four measurement parameters and used three scales. So, total no. of rules is $3^4$ which are 81 rules. Hence from this combination it has eighty one if-then rules that constructed and inserted in Rule Editor. Some sample rules have been shown in Table 3.

The triangular (trimf) membership functions are scaled into following:

- For input variables
  - Low (0-0.33).
  - Medium (0.3-0.66).
  - High (0.6-1.0).
- The output variable is scaled into following:
  - Very Low (0-0.2).
  - Low (0.18-0.42).
  - Medium (0.38-0.62).
  - High (0.58-0.82).
  - Very high (0.78-1.0).
Table 3. Some sample Rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules #</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Output (Reusability)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SoC</td>
<td>Cohesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Rule Viewer

Fig. 6 shows the rule viewer of proposed fuzzy model for AO software. The rule viewer consists of five columns. The first to four columns represent the input variable and the “if” part of each rule. Every rule is a row of plots, and each column is a variable that is used. The fifth column represents the membership function for output variable and the “then” part of each rule. The fifth column also represents the cumulative weighted conclusion for the proposed FIS. The bold vertical line on the plot is defuzzified output.

F. Surface viewer

Fig. 7, 8 and 9 show the surface viewer for proposed fuzzy model by considering two metrics at a instance. In
the surface viewer users are able to produce a 3D output surface for two inputs. Fig. 6 shows a three-dimensional output surface for the set of two metrics (Separation of Concern and Cohesion) for reusability evaluation.

Fig. 7. Surface Viewer for Separation of Concern and Cohesion.

Fig. 8. Surface Viewer for Coupling and Separation of Concern

G. Experimental Results

Suppose the subsequent crisp value of inputs is fed to the proposed fuzzy model: Separation of Concern = 0.17, Cohesion= 0.44, Coupling= 0.60 and Size & Complexity = 0.22. These inputs value are provided to the fuzzification process and get the output as 0.52 (Medium). The fuzzification on given values evaluate the reusability of AO software using proposed fuzzy system by using software metrics and shown in Fig. 10.

Experimental results based on firing some rules on the proposed fuzzy based AO reusability system are reported in Table 4.

Table 4. Experimental results for some rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules #</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Output (Reusability)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L(0.12) L(0.13) L(0.08) M(0.48)</td>
<td>L(0.32)</td>
<td>Worst Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>L(0.14) M(0.48) H(0.85) H(0.83)</td>
<td>VL(0.12)</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>L(0.17) M(0.44) M(0.60) L(0.22)</td>
<td>M(0.52)</td>
<td>Somewhat Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H(0.78) H(0.69) L(0.30) M(0.35)</td>
<td>VH(0.9)</td>
<td>Highly Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H(0.87) H(0.88) M(0.58) M(0.57)</td>
<td>H(0.7)</td>
<td>Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H. Defuzzification

It is a process of mapping from a space of fuzzy control actions defined over an output universe of discourse into a space of crisp (non-fuzzy) control actions. There are various names for this method such as center-of-mass, center-of-area, or center-of-gravity method.

Centre of Gravity (COG) has large applicability for defuzzification. The defuzzified output for $X^*$ is by (1) and defuzzified output is shown in Fig.11 and validated for the rule number 3 from Table 4.

$$X^* = \frac{\sum A \bar{z}}{\sum A}$$

(1)

Fig. 10. Software Reusability for a given Input Set

Fig. 11. Defuzzified Output
V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

In this paper fuzzy logic approach is used to access the reusability of AO software. It is proved that application of fuzzy logic approach has shown their applicability other than traditional statistical techniques. The input variables in fuzzy model are software design metrics that derived from literature review. The four main metrics have been discovered from literature reviewed namely maintainability, coupling, cohesion, and complexity. On the basis of input variables, 81 rules are designed. These rules are based on expert’s knowledge and judgment. So, it is concluded that proposed model based on fuzzy will helps the software developer’s to select the best quality of software in terms of reusability among AO software.

In future, the dynamic metrics can also be considered for accessing the reusability of AO software systems. A maintainability model to show the relationship between the maintainability and metrics (static and dynamic metrics) for AO software has been reported by us in our previous work [31]. Due to unavailability of dynamic metrics measurement tools, we have taken into account only static metrics. Apart from fuzzy logic technique, other soft computing techniques such as Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) and Support Vector Machine (SVM) can also be taken into consideration for the assessment of software reusability.
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