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Abstract—Nowadays, the scientific community is more and more interested by the mediation problem within Peer-to-Peer (P2P) systems and by data sources migration within the semantic web. Data integration and interoperability become a necessity to meet the need for information exchange between heterogeneous information systems. They reflects the ability of an information system to collaborate with other systems sometimes of a very different nature and aims at developing architectures and tools for sharing, exchanging and controlling data. In this context we have proposed a new heterogeneous and distributed data management system in a P2P environment called MedPeer. Among this system functions, we have focused in this article on relational databases description through the use of ontologies. We thus propose Relational.OWL2E, a new approach that, starting from the relational schema, generates an ontology based on the OWL2 language. Our main contribution lies in the semantics we have added to relational databases concepts in representing attributes by rich XML schema datatypes, primary keys, unique keys, foreign keys and by associating to each class a set of synonyms in order to guide the process of discovering semantic correspondences.

Index Terms— Semantic Web, Ontologies, Relational Databases, Web Ontology Language (OWL2), Schema Representation

I. INTRODUCTION

Since those past few years we have witnessed the emergence of new applications that need to share information between different systems. This is the case of e-government, e-learning, e-commerce, bioinformatics and electronic libraries. However, in this context, information systems, designed and developed by different organizations, generally constitute heterogeneous and autonomous data sources.

As commerce and computer science are developing rapidly, databases become more widely used and translating data between multiple distributed databases becomes a growing need, so database integration is long standing open problem with extensive research literature [1].

Thus, interoperability has become a necessity to meet the need for information exchange between heterogeneous information systems. It reflects the ability of an information system to collaborate with other systems sometimes of a very different nature and aims at developing architectures and tools for sharing, exchanging and controlling data.

Semantic web and ontologies give solutions for interoperability. The goal of Semantic Web is to add semantics to the existing data on the web and thus create an integrated web of data [2]. Ontologies are very useful in increasing Information Retrieval performance. they deals with occurrence of events, their instances and user defined relations between concepts. This represents background knowledge on Semantic level where Semantic level is defined as set of semantic entities including their concepts and relations instead of simple words which are used in thesaurus [3].

In this context, we have introduced a new data integration system in a P2P environment named MedPeer [4]. It has a Super-peer architecture based on peers regrouping according to media type (Texts, Images, Relational databases, semi-structured...). Super-peers form between them a pure P2P network. This architecture combines a centralized approach with a non structured one thus providing the advantages of centralized research such as autonomy, tasks distribution and robustness for a distributed research. Each super-peer manages the peers containing the same type of media it represents; it is selected according to its calculation capacities and bandwidth. In addition, it must have all necessary information to be able to direct requests arriving to it towards relevant peers. Semantic mediation is essential because schema sources are different. This function is achieved by a source description module that has for principal goal to regulate peers syntactic and semantic heterogeneity.
problem in a community. Each peer data source will be described by an ontology using our new approach.

These ontologies will be regularly sent to the super-peer community, to enable it to generate semantic correspondences with domain ontology. All this permits to deal with possible data sources modifications and with system dynamicity.

In this article, we will focus on this latter problem by presenting a new relational schema representation format based on the OWL Web Ontology Language in its second version named Relationnel.OWL2E. By exploiting the different opportunities provided by OWL2 [5], and our ontology, we are now able to describe and share any relational database schema.

This paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2, we will present a state of the art of the main approaches that describe relational databases with ontologies. In section 3, we will introduce Relationnel.OWL2E our new OWL2 based approach for relational database description. In Section 4, we will illustrate our approach with an example before our conclusion.

II. STATE OF THE ART

Wanting to take advantage from the benefits brought by the Semantic Web, several works the goal of which is the passage from a relational database to a newer format (XML / RDF / OWL) have emerged. We have chosen to present six approaches [6][7][8][9][10][11], more recent methods are in [12][13][14][15].

Relationnal.OWL [6], translates the majority of relational model concepts into OWL, from relational schema to data including integrity constraints. This system defines four classes and a set of properties allowing to link them together.

In Table 1 are listed the predefined classes and Table 2 contains the different properties.

The prefixes rdf, rdfs, dbs, xsd and owl represent namespaces used in the Relationnal.OWL ontology.

Table 1. Classes of Relationnal.OWL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rdf:ID</th>
<th>rdfs:subClassOf</th>
<th>rdfs:comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dbs:Database</td>
<td>rdfs:Bag</td>
<td>The Class of Databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbs:Table</td>
<td>rdfs:Seq</td>
<td>The Class of Tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbs:Column</td>
<td>rdfs:Ressource</td>
<td>The class of Databases columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbs:PrimaryKey</td>
<td>rdfs:Bag</td>
<td>The Primary key of a table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Properties of Relationnal.OWL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rdf:ID</th>
<th>rdfs:domain</th>
<th>rdfs:range</th>
<th>rdfs:comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dbs:has Table</td>
<td>dbs:Database</td>
<td>dbs:Table</td>
<td>A Database has a set of Tables.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbs:Column</td>
<td>dbs:Table</td>
<td>dbs:Column</td>
<td>A Table has a set of Column</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbs:isIdentified By</td>
<td>dbs:Table</td>
<td>dbs:Primary Key</td>
<td>A Table is identified by a Primary Key.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbs:references</td>
<td>dbs:Column</td>
<td>dbs:Column</td>
<td>Foreign Key rel.ship between Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbs:length</td>
<td>dbs:Column</td>
<td>xsd:nonNegati-ve Integer</td>
<td>Maximal length of an entry in that Column</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dbs:scale</td>
<td>dbs:Column</td>
<td>xsd:nonNegati-ve Integer</td>
<td>The scale an entry of the Column may have.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OntoGrate [7], is a relational database integration system in a P2P (Peer- to-Peer) environment. To represent relational schemas in OWL, the authors have extended the expressiveness of the web ontology language. They thus have introduced a new language, Web-PDDL, an extension of PDDL (Planning Domain Definition Language) based on the logic applied to first order predicates. At first, the database concepts are translated through the use of the Web-PDDL language.

Once the ontology generated, the system has a syntax adapter named PDOMWL, which translates the first Web-PDDL ontology into OWL ontology. In the final generated ontology, a table is transformed into a class, subclass of the class sql:relationship ( Defined in OntoGrate system as the class representing tables), an attribute is transformed into an OWL property, a constraint is seen as an axiom (rule) and a primary key constraint as a functional OWL constraint (owl: FunctionalProperty).

RDF Gateway [8], is a system that translates a relational database schema into RDFS or OWL ontology via the schema_type parameter, which specifies the ontology default output.

The SQL Data service is a module of RDF Gateway system that queries the database and extracts the relational schema then transforms it into RDFS or OWL ontologies. In this system a table is translated into a class, an attribute into a property rdfs:property for an RDFS output or owl:DatatypeProperty for OWL output, a foreign key into a property rdfs:property or owl:ObjectProperty and finally the datatype of attributes are translated into XML Schema datatypes.

OWL_K (K for Key) [9], is an extension of OWL to manage identification constraints which are equivalent to primary keys of the relational model. This work was motivated by the difficulties of the OWL DL dialect to capture their semantics. The default vocabulary of OWL was extended to take into account these constraints.
The system proposes:

- **The ICAssertion class** which represents the identification constraint.
- **The property onClass** which is the class (table) on which falls the identification constraint.
- **The property byProperty which** represents a property (attribute) participating to the identification constraint.

The default OWL description logic language has also been extended to take into account the new concepts semantic.

In this system, datatypes are translated by using XML Schema and foreign keys are translated by using cardinality constraints (owl: minCardinality, owl: cardinality, owl: maxCardinality).

“Reference [10]” developed a tool called DB2OWL to create ontology from a relational database. It looks for some particular cases of database tables to determine which ontology component has to be created from which database component. The created ontology is expressed in OWL-DL language which is based on Description Logics. The mapping process starts by detecting some particular cases for tables in the database schema. According to these cases, each database component (table, column, constraint) is then converted to a corresponding ontology component (class, property, relation). The set of correspondences between database components and ontology components is conserved as the mapping result to be used later.

R2O [11]. is an extensible, fully declarative language to describe mappings between relational DB schemas and ontologies. It is intended to be expressive enough to describe the semantics of these mappings. R2O is a RDBMS independent high level language that works with any DB implementing the SQL standard. Its main features are:

1) Its mapping defines how to create instances in the ontology in terms of the data stored in the DB.
2) Its mapping definition can be used to automatically populate an ontology with instances extracted from the DB content and can also be used to automatically characterize data sources to allow dynamic query distribution in intelligent information integration approaches.

### III. RELATIONAL.OWL2E

The solution we are proposing here is an extension of the Relational.OWL proposed system [6]. We chose this approach because of its specificity to translate almost all the concepts of the relational model in OWL ontologies.

Our main contribution lies in the semantics we have added to relational databases concepts in representing attributes by rich XML schema datatypes, primary keys, unique keys, foreign keys and taking into account the NULL and NOT NULL constraints of the relational model. We have also associate to each class a set of keywords (synonyms) in order to capture the semantics of the terms used to guide the process of discovering semantic correspondences.

We called this ontology Relational.OWL2E because it is based on OWL2 and Relational.OWL that we have extended (E).

We obtain information on the database content from its data dictionary (catalog), and then we generate the corresponding ontology by translating tables, attributes (columns), datatypes (possibly with length restrictions), primary keys, unique keys and foreign keys into ontology concepts.

We thus defined 5 classes and 9 properties between them; they are summarized in the two following tables:

#### Table 3. Classes in Relational.OWL2E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Database</td>
<td>The class of databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
<td>The class of tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>The Class of columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrimaryKey</td>
<td>The Class of primary keys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UniqueKey</td>
<td>The Class of Unique keys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 4. Properties in Relational.OWL2E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>rdfs:domain</th>
<th>rdfs:range</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has</td>
<td>owl:Thing</td>
<td>owl:Thing</td>
<td>A thing has another thing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hasTable</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>A Database belongs to a set of Tables.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hasColumn</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Column</td>
<td>A Table belongs to a set of Columns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hasPrimaryKey</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>PrimaryKey</td>
<td>A Table is identified by a Primary Key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hasUniqueKey</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>UniqueKey</td>
<td>A table may have unicity constraints on certain attributes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hasForeignKey</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>A table references another table in a foreign key relation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>Column</td>
<td>Column</td>
<td>A column references another column in a foreign key relation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hassynonym</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Column</td>
<td>The name of a database, a table or a column may have synonyms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isa</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Table</td>
<td>hierachical relationship between two tables</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A.Relational.OWL2E Ontology Serialization

In what follows we will give a few Relational.OWL2E ontology extracts, in RDF/XML syntax.

Class Definition

<owl:Class rdf:ID="Table" >
Decimals are expressed by the decimal XML schema datatype, with possible restrictions, thanks to the totalDigits and fractionDigits facets.

- String will be expressed by the string XML schema datatype. We use minLength and maxLength facets to express the minimum and maximum number of characters allowed. For the minLength facet value, if the attribute accepts null values, then minLength will be 0, otherwise 1.
- The Set datatype, is translated into a string datatype, its maxLength facet value will be extracted from the MySQL catalogue.
- The Enum datatype, will be expressed by the owl:oneOf property composed of the different enum attribute values.
- Temporal datatypes will be expressed by one of the many temporal XML schema datatypes.
- Binary datatypes will be expressed by the hexBinary XML schema datatype. The minLength facet value is 0 if the attribute value is null, 1 otherwise.

2) The Primary key will be expressed by OWL2 owl:Haskey property on the class name representing the table containing this key and having for values the list of attributes participating in the primary key.

3) Each unique key (name) will be expressed as a subclass (of the class containing the unique key) containing the owl:Haskey property having for value the list of attributes participating in the unique key.

4) Foreign keys will be expressed by owl:Restriction property on the name of each attribute participating in the key (owl:onProperty) towards the referenced attribute (owl:someValuesFrom).

IV. EXAMPLE

This section provides an example on how to represent the schema of existing databases using Relational.OWL2E. Firstly, we will present the relational schema to describe: it is a MYSQL relational database ‘Breeding’ which contains three tables ‘species’, ‘Race’ and ‘Animal’ then we will give some extracts from the generated OWL2 ontology.

A. Relational schema to describe

Create database Breeding;

Create table Species (id smallint(6) not null auto_increment, latin_name varchar(40) not null, primary key(id), unique key latin_name (latin_name));

Create table Race (id smallint(6) not null auto_increment, species_id smallint(6), primary key(id), constraint fk_race_species_id foreign key(species_id) references Breeding(id));

Create table Animal(id smallint(6) not null auto_increment, sex enum('male', 'female'), Birth_date datetime not null,
B. A few Relationnal.OWL2E ontology extracts

Database Description

<owl:Class rdf:ID="Breeding">
  <hasTable rdf:resource="#Species" />
  <hasTable rdf:resource="#Race" />
  <hasTable rdf:resource="#Animal" />
</owl:Class>

Table Description

<!— Species table description -->
<owl:Class rdf:ID="#Species">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource="#Table" />
  <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Species.id" />
  <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Species.latin_name" />
  <hasSynonym>Species
  <PrimaryKey>
    <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Species.id" />
  </PrimaryKey>
  <hasUniqueKey>
    <hasColumn rdf:resource="#latin_name" />
  </UniqueKey>
  <hasUniqueKey>
</owl:Class>

<!— Race table description -->
<owl:Class rdf:ID="#Race">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource="#Table" />
  <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Race.id" />
  <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Race.name" />
  <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Race.species_id" />
  <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Race.description" />
  <hasPrimaryKey>
    <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Race.id" />
  </PrimaryKey>
  <hasPrimaryKey>
    <hasColumn rdf:resource="#Race.id" />
  </PrimaryKey>
  <hasForeignkey rdf:about="#Species">
    <Column rdf:about="#Race.species_id" />
    <references rdf:resource="#Species.id" />
  </Column>
  <hasReferenceTo>
</owl:Class>

Attribute Description

<!— Espece table id Attribute -->
<owl:DatatypeProperty rdf:ID="#Species.id">
  <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="#Animal" />
</owl:DatatypeProperty>

Primary key and unique key

<!— Species table Primary key -->
<owl:Class rdf:about="#Species">
  <hasKey rdf:parseType="Collection">
    <owl:DatatypeProperty rdf:resource="#Species.id" />
  </hasKey>
</owl:Class>

<!— Species table unique key -->
<owl:Class rdf:about="#Species">
  <owl:equivalentClass>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#Species.latin_name" />
      <owl:someValuesFrom rdf:resource="#Species.id" />
    </owl:Restriction>
  </owl:equivalentClass>
</owl:Class>

Foreign Key

<!— Race table Foreign Key -->
<owl:Class rdf:about="#Race">
  <owl:equivalentClass>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#Race.Species_id" />
      <owl:someValuesFrom rdf:resource="#Species.id" />
    </owl:Restriction>
  </owl:equivalentClass>
</owl:Class>
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V. CONCLUSION

The majority of the data on the web resides in Relational databases, the success of the Semantic Web hinges on offering efficient ways of integrating relational databases into the semantic web. This requires a prior description of them. In this work, we have presented our RelationalOWL2E new approach which generates the correspondent OWL2 ontology to a relational database schema. We tried to find the best OWL2 constructors to best express the relational concepts semantic.

The provided ontology can be improved to deal with other specific concepts and semantic properties. Our algorithm can be easily implemented for database management systems other than MySQL.
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