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Abstract— In this paper, the performance of cellular communications based on the ambitious technology of High-Altitude Platforms (HAPs) is discussed when using tapered concentric circular arrays. The coverage cell will be described and designed with an efficient beamforming technique where the Hamming window is proposed as a tapering function and applied to the uniform concentric circular arrays (UCCA) for sidelobe reduction. Based on establishing some mapping curves, this novel tapering window is optimized in its parameters to have the lowest possible sidelobe level that can be 45 dB below the main lobe level. The optimum weights of Hamming window are found to be function of the number of elements of the innermost ring and the number of rings in the array. The cell power pattern is also discussed where the out-of-cell radiation is greatly reduced which in turns reduces the co-channel interference and improves the Carrier-to-Interference Ratio (CIR).

Index Terms— High-Altitude Platforms, Tapered Beamforming, Concentric Circular Arrays.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, an emerging technology for wireless communications based on High-Altitude Platforms (HAPs) has gained attention due to its superior performance compared to conventional terrestrial and satellite systems [1-3]. HAPs may be in the form of Helium filled airships or circulating airplanes that can provide a variety of communications services for a long time. These platforms are positioned in the stratosphere at a preferable height of 20 km – the height at which the wind speed is minimum- and can cover several hundreds of kilometers diameter area. An important and key parameter of HAPs is the antenna technique used for providing communications to or from users in the cells. Fixed and spot-beam antennas are easy to use practically available but have no flexibility in the design of cells which is very important in conveying any changes in the system. On the other hand, Array processing techniques have considerable interests recently and the array configuration affects its performance greatly. Among the different array configurations circular arrays have various applications including sonar, radar, and mobile communications. It can be used for beamforming in the azimuth plane for example at the base stations of the mobile radio communications system. Another better array configuration is to use multiple concentric circular arrays (CCA) of different number of elements and radii [4-9]. Uniform CCA (UCCA) is one of the most important configurations of the CCA [8] where the inter-element spacing in individual rings and the inter-ring spacing are kept almost half of the wavelength. The sidelobes in the UCCA will drop to about -17.5 dB especially at larger number of rings [8]. However, this sidelobe level still will be very high in some applications. Therefore, this paper is devoted for reducing the sidelobe level in UCCA using a Hamming amplitude weighting applied to the rings of the array. This tapering window is optimized to provide the lowest possible side lobe levels which indeed will improve the HAPs cellular systems as described in this paper.

The paper is arranged as follows; in section 2 discusses the UCCA geometry and section 3 introduces the Hamming tapering window. In section 4, the Hamming UCCA beamformer is introduced and the performance of beam power pattern, sidelobe levels and beamwidth is discussed in section 5. Finally section 6 concludes the paper.

II. GEOMETRY OF THE UCCA

Concentric circular arrays (CCA) have gained a great attention for communications applications as it provides many advantages over other array configurations. The uniform azimuthally arrangement of elements makes the beams formed to be symmetric and the beamforming is azimuthally independent. Concentric circular antenna arrays has elements arranged in multiple concentric circular rings which differ in radius and number of elements as shown in Fig. 1, where there are $M$ concentric circular rings. The $m^{th}$ ring has a radius $r_m$ and number of elements $N_m$ where $m = 1, 2, ..., M$.

In array processing, it is generally assumed that all elements in the array are omnidirectional sensors; therefore the power pattern can be defined if we know the weighting and steering matrices of the array. An expression for the array steering matrix has been deduced in [8] and is given by:
coupling between elements will increase. Therefore, the uniform concentric circular array (UCCA) configuration that has almost half of the wavelength separating distance either between neighboring elements in a ring or between any two neighboring rings is needed to have a reasonable radiation pattern and this can be obtained if the number of elements is incremented by 6 elements or:

$$N_{m+1} = N_m + 6$$

(5)

This gives inter-ring separation distance of $0.4775\lambda$ (which is the nearest possible value to half of the wavelength) which occurs only if for any ring the distance between any two neighboring elements is set half of the wavelength or:

$$\frac{2\pi_m}{N_m} = 0.5\lambda$$

(6)

We can control the radiation pattern of the array by controlling the magnitudes and phases of the exciting currents, therefore the array factor or gain will be determined by the following equation

$$G(\theta, \phi) = \sum \left[ W(\theta, \phi)^H \cdot AS(\theta, \phi) \right]$$

(7)

where the $\sum$ operator is the summation of the elements of the resulted matrix and $W(\theta, \phi)$ is the weight matrix that controls the amplitudes and phases of the input currents. To have a delay-and-sum beamformer, we can form the main lobe in the direction $(\theta, \phi)$ by setting the weight matrix to equal the array steering matrix at the same direction.

### III. BEAMFORMER FOR HAMMING UCCA

Non-uniform amplitude weighting such as in tapered beamforming will improve the radiation pattern of any type of antenna array and results in lower sidelobe levels at the cost of larger beamwidth depending on the type of the utilized tapering window. In the case of UCCA, the tapering may be applied with an analogy to a linear one-dimensional array, where the number of elements in the linear array is twice the number of rings; therefore, it will be usually an even number. The outermost ring will take the weight value that corresponds to the outermost elements of the linear array and proceeding inwardly to the innermost ring that has the same weighting as the two innermost elements in the one-dimensional array. Therefore, the highest amplitude weighting will be at the innermost ring while the smallest amplitudes are for the outermost ring. Beamforming using tapered amplitude windows in this case can be considered as a subarray processing as shown in Fig. 2 where all elements that correspond to a ring will have the same amplitudes and this will reduce greatly the processing burden and calculations that find each element-amplitude by other adaptive algorithms [8].

![Concentric Circular Arrays (CCA)](image-url)
The Hamming window used for filter applications is modified here and gives the following rings coefficients for \( M \) rings UCCA:

\[
w_m = 0.54 + 0.46 \cos \left( \frac{\pi (m - M - 2)}{M + 1} \right), \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, M
\]  

(8)

The Hamming window essentially affects the beams footprints and coverage performance of HAP cellular communications.

**IV. HAPs Cellular System**

The Hamming UCCA essentially affects the beams footprints and coverage performance of HAP cellular communications.

**A. Cell Geometry and Axes**

In this section we will discuss these effects in terms of the cells geometrical parameters such as the major and minor axes and cell area. In addition, the footprint of the beams outside their areas is demonstrated to show the relative received power levels on the ground for the Hamming tapered UCCAs. From these footprints, we can measure the cell axes, ground beamwidths and cells areas throughout the coverage area, which will be beneficial in the cellular design of the HAP system.

The HAP is located at an altitude about 20 km high, which is very small compared with the earth’s radius; we can approximate the earth as a flat surface. The cell as depicted in Fig. 5 is defined by the coverage beam that has a direction of \( \theta_o \) and cross section beamwidth of \( B_o \) and \( B_p \), and the projection of the beam on the ground will be an ellipse that has a major axis \( b_F \) and minor axis \( a_F \). The major axis \( b_F \) is given by [10-15]:

\[
b_F = h \left( \tan \left( \frac{\theta_o + B_o}{2} \right) + \tan \left( \frac{\theta_o - B_o}{2} \right) \right)
\]  

(9)

where the subscript \( F \) stands for flat ground approximation and \( h \) is the platform altitude in km.

The cell center point is located by an angle from the platform given by

\[
\theta_c = \tan^{-1} \left( \tan \left( \frac{\theta_o - B_o}{2} \right) + \frac{b_F}{2h} \right)
\]  

(10)

and the cell minor axis \( a_F \) can be given by:

\[
a_F = 2 h \sec(\theta_c) \tan \left( \frac{B_o}{2} \right)
\]  

(11)

These two quantities (i.e. the minor and major axes) will define the cell shape and this assumption can be used for smaller and moderate coverage areas but when the coverage area increases the approximation error will increase and can’t be longer used and instead we apply the curved-earth cellular model where we take into consideration the earth curvature.

**B. Ground Elevation Angle**

The direction of the beam and its beamwidths form the basic parameters that affect its footprint. Relating the cell location with the elevation angle is practically very important, therefore, it is advantageous to express the pattern of the same array where the sidelobe level is reduced to about -30 dB.
coverage area in terms of the beam pointing directions or elevation angles and depicts the corresponding central distance in km away from the subplatform point shown in Fig. 5.

Assuming that the elevation angle at the cell center is denoted by \( \varepsilon \), it can be related by the beam pointing direction, \( \theta_o \), by the following approximate relation as:

\[
\varepsilon = 90 - \theta_o, \quad (12)
\]

The minimum elevation angle of a cell \( \beta \) as depends on both the value of \( \theta_o \) and the ground beamwidth \( B_{\theta_g} \) and can be determined by:

\[
\beta = 90 - \frac{B_{\theta_g}}{2} - \theta_o, \quad (13)
\]

where it decreases with either increasing \( B_{\theta_g} \) or increasing \( \theta_o \).

**C. Power Profile Function and Ground Power Contours**

Recalling the UCCA gain \( G(\theta, \phi) \), which can be written as:

\[
G(\theta, \phi) = SUM \{ W(\theta, \phi)^\mu A_{TTA}(\theta, \phi) \}, \quad (14)
\]

where \( H \) is the complex conjugate transpose operator. Depending on the chosen weight matrix \( W \), we have a beam footprint which represents the received signal power variation due to the array gain only, but there is another factor affecting the received signal power from a HAP which is the attenuation due to distance variation between the platform and mobile. These two factors can be integrated into one term denoted by the power profile function (or the received signal power gain) which can be deduced by considering the received signal power by a mobile from HAP. Assuming free-space propagation scenario between the HAP and mobile users, therefore we can write an expression for the received signal power \( P_r \) from a HAP by a mobile as:

\[
P_r = P_t G_{pr}(\theta, \phi) G_{pt}(\theta, \phi) \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\pi U(\theta)} \right)^2, \quad (15)
\]

where \( P_t \) is the transmitted HAP beam power, \( G_{pr}(\theta, \phi) \) is the mobile antenna power gain, \( G_{pt}(\theta, \phi) \) is the HAP array power gain given by:

\[
G_{pt}(\theta, \phi) = \left| G(\theta, \phi) \right|^2, \quad (16)
\]

and \( U(\theta) \) is the line-of-sight distance between the HAP and the mobile as shown in Fig. 6.1 (b) which can be determined from the following approximate equation:

\[
U(\theta) = \frac{h}{\cos(\theta)}, \quad (17)
\]

Therefore, the received signal power can be rewritten as:

\[
P_r = P_t G_{pr}(\theta, \phi) \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\pi h} \right)^2 \left| G(\theta, \phi) \right|^2 \cos^2(\theta), \quad (18)
\]

The received power depends on the array factor and the mobile location with respect to the HAP station assuming all the other quantities are constant. Therefore we may rewrite the last equation as:
\[ P_r = K_p \rho(\theta, \phi) \]  
(19)

where \( K_p \) is a constant and \( \rho(\theta, \phi) \) is denoted by the power profile function and is given by:

\[ \rho(\theta, \phi) = |G(\theta, \phi)|^2 \cos^2(\theta) \]  
(20)

This function represents the variation in the received signal power due to the array gain and the mobile location with respect to the HAP during the motion of the mobile station within the cell. Fig. 6 depicts the normalized power pattern footprints of the Hamming tapered UCCAs at \( N_i = 5 \) and \( M = 5 \) where the contours in each plot are separated by 3 dB. There is a great reduction in the sidelobe levels and almost no non-ring distribution around the mainlobe. Another important notice is that the power pattern in the region opposite to the mainlobe direction (i.e. the region that has an azimuth angle of \( \phi_o = 180^\circ \) and at almost the same elevation angles) has a raised sidelobe level which increases as \( \theta_o \) increases. Therefore, this region should be avoided if it is designed to utilize the frequency assigned in the opposite mainlobe in the frequency reuse plan, as it will result in excessive co-channel interference.

Fig. 6. Normalized power gain contours for Hamming UCCA

V. GROUND BEAMWIDTHS AND CELL GEOMETRICAL PARAMETERS

The beam footprint will be a consequence of the utilized UCCA as shown later in the set of patterns in Fig. 6. The cell dimensions such as the major and minor axes (\( b_c, a_c \)) and the cell area are affected by the beam direction and beamwidths, which in turn affect the ground elevation and azimuth beamwidths (\( B_{\theta_b}, B_{\phi_b} \)). Therefore, we may discuss the variations of the ground beamwidths (\( B_{\theta_b}, B_{\phi_b} \)) for the different tapered UCCA at different beam directions and different number of rings, \( M \), for the most suitable innermost ring size of 5 elements as shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 respectively. The group of curves in Fig. 7 show that \( B_{\theta_b} \) increases with the increase in \( \theta_o \) and with the decrease in \( M \) while in Fig. 8, \( B_{\phi_b} \) is almost constant with the beam direction but decreases with increasing \( M \). In Fig. 9, the major axis of the cell will increase slightly with increasing the pointing direction \( \theta_c \), up to 30 degrees while beyond this value it increases rapidly regardless of the number of rings in the array. In addition, the major axis decreases with increasing \( M \) as the beamwidth of the coverage beam will be narrower. It is worth noting that the nature of variation of the major axis is almost independent on the tapering window except at the starting values at \( \theta_o = 0^\circ \), which increases at lower sidelobe levels. This is because tapering will increase the beamwidths. In Fig. 10, the minor axis has a similar performance like that of major axis except that it is less sensitive to the increase in \( \theta_o \). In addition, at \( \theta_o = 0^\circ \), the major axis has the same values and the footprint is therefore circularly shaped while the difference in the two cell axes increases as \( \theta_o \) increases and the cell becomes elliptic in shape and its area will be:

\[ A_{\text{cell}} = \frac{\pi a_c b_c}{4} \]  
(21)

Fig. 7 depicts the variation of the cell area for the different tapered UCCA at different cell locations or directions. The cell area will increase by either increasing the \( \theta_o \) or decreasing \( M \). It also increases by reducing the sidelobe level at the same number of rings and pointing direction.

Fig. 7. Ground elevation beamwidth \( B_{\theta_b} \) in degrees versus beam direction at different number of rings for the Hamming UCCA.

Fig. 8. Ground azimuth beamwidth \( B_{\phi_b} \) in degrees versus beam direction at different number of rings for the Hamming UCCA.
VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have applied the Hamming coefficient weighting for the concentric circular arrays (UCCA) for cell formation in HAPs mobile communications. This weighting method is used for sidelobe reduction in linear arrays and in this paper has been defined for UCCA where we apply the Hamming weights directly to the arrays elements by dividing the UCCA into circular subarrays in which the elements are amplitude weighted by the same coefficient. The performance of cellular system such as cell boundaries and axes, cell area, and sidelobe levels have been described and discussed extensively. The simulation results show that the sidelobe levels out of the main cell area are greatly reduced which means low co-channel interference at other co-channel cells and higher carrier-to-interference rations will result in cellular frequency reuse systems.
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