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Abstract—The internet has grown in leaps and bounds and hence all the data is now available online; be it shopping, banking, private and public institutes or universities, private public sectors are all making their presence felt online. The online data is just a click away thanks to ubiquitous systems today. The browser does not require any specific program set up hence easier for the end user. Earlier the data online was static used HTML, now it’s dynamic uses ASP, ASP.NET, Servlet, JSP and other operational tools therefore the internet operation is broken down into many categories. The problem arises with the customer while trying to buy something online. There are lots of online stores sometimes it’s difficult to browse through all products to get a better deal. The pricing of products are different on different sites, this is the first gap at the customer end. The second problem arises at the provider end. The second gap here is to understand the customer need. How can the variation in prices be checked? ; The existing prices available on sites cannot be changed but the customer can be provided with options to select the best deal of the same product. For the first problem the paper deals with an API implementation wherein the information of at least some products is compared under one roof. How can the provider know the genuine customer? ; The second problem is resolved by the use of SVM. Last problem is in detecting if a customer visiting a site will actually buy the product being compared.

The paper focuses on the selection of ASP.NET to deal with the implementation problems stated and find solution to the forecasting problem using SVM. SVM and C4.5 are used for comparison.

Index Terms—HTML, ASP.NET, Application Program Interface, Support Vector Machines, C4.5.

I. INTRODUCTION

Online shopping is catching up of late. As there are a wide range of products available, the customer is in a fix. The customer is not able to finalize on the site from which he /she need to buy.

For any customer shopping online, the first issue is availability of huge data online is a major advantage because one can select from a wide range of sites; as well as disadvantage because it’s tedious to visit each and every site. The paper deals with using the API’s of different sites and crawl through the sites to compare the products on one site that takes care of streamline the second issue. Using the model vision check architecture will streamline the flow of the implementation.

API’s are used to give customer better features that the online application intends to provide. But all the online sites do not provide the API’s, hence to create a single site to compare all the product cost from different sites cannot be achieved. This is the gap for the existing solution. API is the one that accesses information from an operating system, web service or another implementation. E.g: A company ‘X’ wants to display information of electronic product, it also needs to compare the price sold by amazon, ebay and other online portals. Now ebay, amazon should provide their API to company ‘X’ so that any update done by ebay and amazon in the product information should be visible on the site of ‘X’.

The paper explains the use of API that helps the customer to get better deals under one roof and difference with HTML. HTML is not a structured tool for implementation, the machines interpret json or rdf style. The application software does not give the desired outcome with the use of HTML. For eg: If a site ‘X’ wants to display the contents present in the site ‘Y’, site ‘X’ has to update the information regularly. The problem will arise when site ‘X’ is not updating the information regularly; the end user is not getting the desired outcome. Therefore, you need an application that communicates with the site regularly or as the need arises. The answer is API which has to be used by site ‘X’ to get the details from site ‘Y’.

If one has worked on windows platform using ASP then ASP.net will provide an easy path. The difference is that in ASP.NET there is no need to implement using
scripts as is done in ASP[1]. The implementation is for online applications hence use HTML like syntax. The browsers understand HTML; hence use browser specific tools. Here the graphic interaction can be developed in a better manner only if the layout of the exhibition is considered. Hence the user interaction is separated out from the situation-rational.

C# can be used as the situation-rational; it is derived from C and C++ languages. C# is in sync with the ASP.NET hence generating good results. ADO.NET is used to interact with the facts. The programmers use it to communicate, change, update the information in fact-base.

Take a look at figure 1, each of the implementation flipcart, amazon, eBay have their own situation-rational. Each implantation is written using different tools such as ASP.NET, ASP, JSP etc. The products sold on the site need to be updated in the backend that has its own situation-rational. Any change in information at the backend needs to be updated separately on each site. The backend has the web service details of the situation-rational.

Fig.1. Implementation using situation rational

The paper explains combining these different situation-rational are combined as API as explained in section 5.1 to give better outcome under one roof. The paper also tries to predict if the customer might buy the product being analyzed by using SVM as a mechanism. The provider gets to know a true customer with the use of SVM. SVM is used based on the paper[2] that compare SVM with C4.5. Here it is shown that i) API’s perform better while comparing different products from different sites and ii) SVM performs better than C4.5.

II. RELATED WORKS

A. API for product price comparison

Existing API’s of amazon, flip cart and eBay are used for implementation here. The application has to be developed based on the end user requirement. Select API’s that work on all platforms namely windows, Apple computers and the interface should be compatible with all available tools and languages. In this paper three different situation-rational are considered and combined into one application. Each situation-rational is developed to be used as a third party API in an application. The design of an API should be simple and should use two URL’s, it needs to have type of product (/mobiles) and specific product (/mobiles/HTC) as the URL. There are many ways to use URL’s but the simpler one given above is to better as the programmer has no confusion as to how to develop the application. It’s better to use one form of URL for type of product either use (/mobile or /mobiles) to maintain consistency in developing the application. As this is a third part application out of the four HTTP verbs, only GET verb is used. POST/UPDATE/DELETE is taken care of by the API provider; here the provider is amazon, flip cart and eBay. The API[3] used here is for easy exploration.

- How to get the API keys from providers?

First search for the provider API keys on search engines. Next register username and password to create an account on the provider site. Proceed to enter the title of application you are creating. Fill in the mandatory developer information that will help create a key set. A mail will be sent to you that can now be used for developing the application. Authorize the Key that is given to you before using it in an application.

- How to create an e-commerce site for price comparison using API?

Create a basic layout of the site as shown in figure 4. Once the API keys are created; on click of any product a query should be fired to all the API’s and the price information should be generated. The website created here does not require a personal server at the client end. The site is able to check the product rates, inventory, time to dispatch an order, tracking the dispatch. Create a domain name for the site to be used by online end-users. Check the cost for using the online domain name; most domain names cannot be launched for free. Find out if the domain name is available before launching the site online. Be careful to choose domain name, if the name is relevant, it help the user in choosing your site over others[4]. Next while displaying the products uses proper layouts that retrieve products from different websites and display in sorted manner. The products should either be displayed in ascending or descending order. Payment gateway is not considered if the user wants to shop directly from the site that displays lowest price of a certain product. Try and display 360° view of the product with proper focus so that the users have a proper view. For startup’s it’s better to use social networking sites to advertise the details about the site.

B. C4.5 for customer analysis

The focus of this paper is on linear classification SVM, let’s look in decision tree which is the oldest information retrieval method for the purpose of comparison. Since C4.5[5] is supervised learning method it has to be trained and tested. There are input data which are pruned[6] and
an output that classifies the data. The information is generated at the root node which ends at the leaf nodes. First use the data to find if the customer is a potential customer or not based on the parameters age, education and income based on the steps being followed:

First: Use top down approach for selecting attributes (divide and conquer):

Gather the attribute of root node, branch the attribute value

Split the nodes into subbranches from root node
repeat recursion on the branches for the instances of the branch

Repeat until class instances are not same

Second: Select the best attribute that gives good information gain(entropy), which calculates the probability of data in the given space:

\[
Entropy(sp) = -\sum_{i=1}^{o-1} p \left( \frac{1}{o} \right) \log_{2} p \left( \frac{1}{o} \right)
\]

where ‘o’ is the total number of values in a column, \( p \left( \frac{1}{o} \right) \) is the data where ‘sp’ is to divide the root node,’i’ is the event(class).

Now use the entropy values for probable and non-probable data in impurity measure:

\[
Impurity = \sum_{i=1}^{o} \frac{n_i}{n} Entropy(sp)
\]

Finally, the tree is generated as rules:

Create one rule for each branch
Make sure the rules give proper information
Prune the tree to remove unnecessary rules if any

The tree[8] is easy to generate and it takes care of some amount of noisy data. The training of the data needs to be done carefully so there are better test outcomes.

C. SVM for customer analysis

The classification of positive and negative data is better in SVM. This method has to learn in the same way a child picks up words and tries to form sentences that make sense. The child needs to be taught first and later the child makes its interpretation and learns to speak. In the same way that a child is taught, there is teaching that needs to be done so that the SVM method can function properly. There is a need to check the kind of information we feed into the SVM so that the outcome is generated properly. Hence, first get the data and then clean the data before training. Next train the data, thus the teaching can be for forecasting based on the training such as weather forecasting, customer forecasting etc. For any given input there needs to be an output that forecasts based on the teaching.

Most of the forecasting methods or algorithms behave like a parrot. They just imitate and forecast based on the teachings, hence a deviation in the input from the existing teaching will generate garbage results. If the outcome is for generating weather forecast then customer prediction for a sales company cannot be given. But if there is some variation in the monitoring system that was not considered earlier, then such situations need to be worked upon. This is where SVM [9] performs better; it learns form partial teaching to generate optimal output. SVM is a supervised learning given as:

\[
Training \ set \ S_l = \{(x_1, y_1) \ldots (x_n, y_n)\}
\]

\( x_i \) is the example, \( y_i \) is the outcome

Here the input example belongs to \( V^k \), here \( V \) are the values and \( k \) or \( n \) is the dimension of the input examples.

Output \( y = [+1,-1] \) Therefore, input examples are linear learners that use speculation. Supervised learning comprises of teaching data along with outcome data. SVM is used to divide useful fact from that of useless facts. In this paper it is used to differentiate between the probable customers from non-p robable customers as shown in figure 5. SVM is a continuous classification. The formula for SVM[10] is:

\[
f(x) = \langle \text{we} \times x > +h
\]

‘*’ implies product, ‘h’ or ‘be’ is the bent
Where ‘we,’ is the weight and ‘x,’ is the row vector and \( \langle \text{we},h\rangle \) belong to \( V^n \). V

Only one hyperplane is depicted in the diagram 2a. Here w is the weight vector, x are the points and h1 is the hyperplane that separates the useful and useless facts. Two more hyperplanes h2 and h3 can be used to separate the data from the first hyperplane h1. This is to reduce the probability of errors in the outcome of the implementation. There is no space between the positive data and the hyperplane which may lead to errors while testing the data.

This draw back in 2a can be rectified, the changes to the margin and the data separation are done and it is depicted in figure 2b. As shown in the figure 2b the good data and the bad data are separated properly by the hyperplane. The probability of errors is reduced with wider margin while testing the data.

Draw a bent as depicted in figure 2b ‘h’ from 0,0 to the line that divides the good data from the bad data. Next draw a perpendicular line from the dividing line. There can be many dividing lines in a given space, therefore ‘h’ is necessary if all the lines need to be considered in \( V^n \).

\( x_i \) is depicted as column vector and \( w_i \) the row vector. Hence the formula functional margin:

\[
f(x) = \langle \text{we}_i \times x_i > +h
\]
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Tis margin gives a number, but does not actually give the distance between the points on the plane. Where w belongs to V, h belongs to V
The computation is for 2D inputs, where w and h are specifications of hyperplane. x_i is the example data that is used for teaching the SVM.
The outcome of the teach method has to be optimal hence the need to curtail the plane.

\[ \min_{w, h} w^* w \] (6)

So that \[ y_i (w^* x_i + h) \geq 1 \] (7)

and y_i is either positive or negative for all 'i'.

After organizing the formula is now depicted as

\[ w_1 x_i + h = 1 \] (8)

for positive fact

\[ w_2 x_i + h = -1 \] (9)

for negative fact

Let’s consider maximum limit classifier, it does not work for all day-to-day forecasts but SVM is based on it. This helps in spacing and separating the good data from that of the bad, such that the good and the bad data do not get mixed. Curving outward gain is a minimization issue; the given function needs to be solved subject to constraints. As SVM[2,11] is a linear issue we consider maximizing the function subject to constraints.

Let’s look at Primal and Dual forms as minimum and maximum classifiers are explained.

- **Primal Form**

Primal gives the best w, solve we, x, consider x as a point and calculate we^*x in order to generate the optimal w. Make sure that the distance between two points of we^*x is not large.

Considering binary classification and compute by using values for we_1, we_2 and h in equation (2) we get:

A slope we_1/we_2 and an intercept h/we_2

As is mentioned in equation (8) and (9) we get a decision rule:

\[ y = \text{sign}(w^* x + h) \] (10)

For calculating distance between vectors(points) on axis x_1 and x_2:

\[ \delta(u,v) = ||u-v|| \] (11)

\[ \delta(u,v) = \sqrt{(u_1 - v_1)^2 + (u_2 - v_2)^2} \] (12)

Now calculating \( \delta_i \)

\[ = w_1 (x_i - \delta_i \frac{w_1}{||w_1||}) + h = 0 \] (13)

\[ = w^* x_i - \delta_i \frac{w^* w}{||w||} + h = 0 \] (14)
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Max} \ 2\delta_{i,w,h} & \quad \text{where } \delta_i = \text{sign} \left( \frac{w^x x_i + h}{\|w\|} \right) \\
\text{Optimizing the equation:} & \\
& \quad \text{Max} \ 2\delta_{i,w,h} \quad \text{where } \forall (x,y) \in S, y_i = \text{sign} \left( \frac{w^x x_i + h}{\|w\|} \right) \\
& \quad \|w\| = \frac{1}{\delta_i} \\
& \quad \text{The total margin is:} \\
& \quad \text{Max}_{w,h,2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{where } \forall (x,y) \in S, y_i = \text{sign} \left( \frac{w^x x_i + h}{\|w\|} \right) \\
& \quad \text{The linear equation now is:} \\
& \quad \text{Max}_{w,h,2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{where } \forall (x,y) \in S, y_i \text{sign}(w^x x_i + h) \geq 1 \\
\end{align*}
\]

The solution for distance is:
\[
\delta_i = y_i \text{sign} \left( \frac{w^x x_i + h}{\|w\|} \right) 
\]  
\[
\text{hence the equation is now:}
\]
\[
\min_{w,h} \frac{\|w\|}{2} \quad \text{where } \forall (x,y) \in S, y_i \text{sign}(w^x x_i + h) \geq 1 
\]

The primal gives optimal ‘we’, there is a need to get perfect ‘we’ in order to get the product of we\*x.

- Dual Form

Solve the primal optimization using Lagrange to get:
\[
\min_{w,h} \frac{\|w\|}{2} \quad \text{where } \forall (x,y) \in S, y_i \text{sign}(w^x x_i + h) \geq 1 
\]

The Lagrange (\(\alpha\)) is multiplied and the function is subtracted:
\[
\min_{w,h} \frac{\|w\|}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (y_i \text{sign}(w^x x_i + h) - 1) \quad \text{where } \alpha_i \geq 0 
\]

Use quadratic optimization, these should solve certain parameters given KKT(Kruskal Kuhn Tucker):

KKT conditions

1) \(\delta_w La(w,h,\alpha) = 0\) and \(\frac{\delta La(w,h,\alpha)}{\delta h} = 0\) 
2) \(\alpha_i \geq 0\) 
3) \(\alpha_i (y_i (w^x x_i + h) - 1) = 0\) 
4) \((y_i (w^x x_i + h) - 1) \geq 0\) 

Solve using Lagrange (\(\alpha\)) to get w and h

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{La}(w,h,\alpha) & = \frac{\|w\|}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (y_i (w^x x_i + h) - 1) \\
& = \frac{\|w\|}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (y_i w^x x_i + y_i h) - 1 \\
& = \frac{\|w\|}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i w^x x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i h + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \\
\end{align*}
\]

The Lagrange with respect to vector and considering rest of equation as zero:
\[
\delta_w = w - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i x_i 
\]
Now we have:

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i x_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

Solving Lagrange for $h$ and considering rest of equation as zero:

$$\frac{\delta L(w,h,\alpha)}{\delta h} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

Use the values of $W$ and $h$ in the Lagrange equation:

$$L(we,h,\alpha) = \frac{we \cdot we}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (y_i(we \cdot x_i + h) - 1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

$$= \frac{we \cdot we}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i we \cdot x_i - h\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

Now take $h \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i = 0$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

$$L(we,h,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=j}^{N} \alpha_i y_j x_i x_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

Max $L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_j x_i x_j$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i = 0$

Solve dual to get optimal $\alpha$ for a few points $(x_i, y_i)$ if binary or $(x_i, y_i)$ multiple parameter. Therefore dual maximizes $w(\alpha)$ that solves the primal problem:

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i x_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

Then $y_i(we \cdot x_i + h) = 1$ and $h = \frac{1}{y_i} - we \cdot x_i$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

Put the values in equation (10) to generate the results.

### III. Research Questions

The paper is based on the questions that arise as a customer shopping online. There is a need to verify where the study will be helpful; Can the method predict using SVM and C4.5 that a customer will buy products form a particular site just because the person visited the site is mandatory that they will buy. It’s hard to predict, but if data is collected from the customer based on their visit to the site on certain items apart from the normal IP address scraping by giving the customer certain products on a particular site based on their visit. Therefore, first process is to get the data, prune the data by removing unnecessary outliers, use a method to generate the outcome and forecast based on the data collected. If a customer goes to a store he/she can compare costs of the products that are displayed in that store. But the problem is the stores cannot have a wide variety of products as they are not sure of their purchase; hence do not stock all products. Therefore, an online store will have most of the products displayed. But, apart from the product availability the customer needs to verify a product cost from different sites. The customers require a single place online where the costs of the products can be compared. The first question is based on API implementation that compares costs of a given product from different sites to be displayed on one screen. Finally predicting if a customer logged in will buy from the site.

### IV. Tables Used

The site uses eight tables, the details are mentioned as follows:

- **Table CustomerDetails ‘N’** is used to store the customer’s information.
- Whenever customers enter their data for the first time during registration this table is filled up with a new entry.
- **Table Education** is used to store the education details and its appropriate EID and all this is used in CustomerDetails’N’ table.
- **Table Product_Group** is used to store the offer details of the product this table can be maintained by administrator.
- **Table Product_Details** is used to store all the product related information this table can be maintained by administrator.
- **Table RecentlyViewed** stores the IP address of the user so that it could show recently viewed products to the user, the next time user visits the website.
- **Table wishlistCartDetails** stores the wishlist information, whenever user adds any product to wishlist this table is updated with that information.
- **Table CustomerDetails for SVM** this table stores the historic details of the customers. This table is used to generate the CSV file of all the historic data which is used for current prediction.
- **Table Admin Login** is used to store the login details of the administrator.
V. PROPOSED SOLUTION

A. API for price comparison of different sites

Let’s look into Graphic User Interface (GUI) to understand Application Program Interface (API)[14]. The GUI makes it easier for a non-programmer to use the online implementation easily without the need to understand how the implementation works as he/she enters the information. For e.g.: Entering the user name and password in the given form fields for accessing the emails on pressing the submit button. The user does not understand the code but is able to access, send, and receive the mail without any hindrance. Similarly API helps the programmer copy a document from one point to another without understanding the document system.

This paper deals with use one situation level rational and not different situation level rational as depicted in figure 2, with different API[15] for each of the sites namely flip cart, amazon and eBay and updating is done at the fact level. Therefore there is no need of having different rational for each site. Hence the comparison of products of at least some products can be done on a single site as is implemented in this paper shown in figure 3. Here we see all the details of the sites are under one roof.

![Fig.3. Implementation with API base on figure 1](image)

API is a code used by a third party to develop and get required information from a specific site. Hence the developer need use many libraries to create an application to find information on specific site, but use the specific site API to get information.

B. SVM for Customer prediction

After the user has bought the product form the site, take age, education and salary information from the user. This information will be used to give better deals to the user. SVM is based on the straight-line principle; here the line divides the probable and non-probable results. As h is the hyperplane that segregates the data, h is 1 for probable data and -1 for non-probable data. Selecting w and h will generate proper distance from the hyperplane h. This gives an insight into proper separation of data. Hence w.x+h=1or w.x+h=-1, as the data being considered may not be in a given range this formula is helpful for dividing the data. The boundary h/∥w∥ neutralizes the data from the base point with the angle w.

Therefore, SVM has to follow the following approach(a brief algorithm):

1. Create the fact
2. Clean the fact
3. Arrange h=1 to n for cleaned data.
4. If fact = 1
   - h=1
5. Else
   - h=-1

Hence for a function: \( f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i + h \) (50)

The data is separated to generate the desired outcome.

Hence generate the SVM method and get the forecast based on the teaching done at the start.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Use of API for Analysis

This project uses API’s, the results are shown in figures 4 to 6. Enter the name of the product in the search space as shown in figure 4. On click of the search button different products appear on screen as shown in figure 5. It’s easier for the customer to compare price of a product displayed on different sites available at one location on one screen.

![Fig.4. Search Product](image)
emailed, contact, age, gender, income, education. On clicking the register button the password is sent to the emailed of the user. The user can change the password at any given time.

Let’s compare the result in figure 5 with the ebay and amazon as shown in figure 7 and 8.

- **Products on ebay**

  Fill in the name of the product is the search field. The results are shown in the figure 7. Though one gets the information of the product it’s not as useful as in figure 5 and it is giving the product information and also comparing it with the other site.

- **Products on amazon**

  Similarly, as one enters the name of the product here in amazon, the site gives the case information of the product apart from the product on display which is displayed in figure 8.
The sites that are considered of comparison are the best sites available but there are some flaws as explained in previous points. The flaws are rectified in the application that is created that is shown in figure 5.

- Comparison of product display on different sites

The table 1 shows the products displayed on different sites; it also shows that eSHOP gives better information as it is dealing with only the discounts being offered by the site based on customer wish list collected by the site. A total of 31 products were fed into the search engines of each site, the average outcome of the generated data by the sites were considered.

Table 1. Comparison of products display

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>eBay</th>
<th>Amazon</th>
<th>eSHOP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9 shows that eSHOP gives correct data by using the API’s provided by eBay and Amazon.

B. Prediction of customer purchase

The data being considered is 57 data sets for training and 43 data sets for testing of prediction of customer purchase. The same data set is used for SVM(primal and dual) and C4.5. The paper discusses mainly binary classification.

- Prediction of Customer purchase using SVM

As mentioned the training data is used to generate weights and plane bias ‘h’ as shown in table 2. Primal gives the weight and dual is used to generate few support vectors α that lie on the plane. The basic idea is to maximize the distance of the planes so that there is no mixing of positive data with that of the negative data. The weights ‘we1’ and ‘we2’ of primal and dual are slightly different as the forecasting is based on the age and education of the customer w.r.t to the salary. The outcome y labels are generated based on the salary.

Table 2. Comparison of weights and bias (primal -Dual)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>We1</th>
<th>We2</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>Min/max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVM Primal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-99.0</td>
<td>Min=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM Dual</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>-80.6</td>
<td>Max=3.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Prediction of customer purchase using SVM and C4.5

The information of customers are gathered and trained, the SVM and C4.5; 2D data set is 57 for training are depicted in table 3. The trained information is then tested with 43 data sets as shown in table 4.

Table 3. Comparison training results of SVM and C4.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESULT</th>
<th>SVM</th>
<th>C4.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Positive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Negative</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparison testing results of SVM and C4.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESULT</th>
<th>SVM</th>
<th>C4.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Positive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Negative</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tables 5 and 6 depict the training and testing data for positives and negatives respectively.

Table 5. Comparison training and testing results of positives for SVM and C4.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of data</th>
<th>SVM True positive(%)</th>
<th>SVM False positive (%)</th>
<th>C4.5 True positive (%)</th>
<th>C4.5 False positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training data</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing data</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Table 5 and figure 10 shows that SVM gives better results for training and testing of positives whereas C4.5 gives better results for training and the tested results are not 100%. for positives The data used is same for both training as well as testing.
In table 6 and figure 11 the true negative is 100% for both the methods which is good as the methods specify that the customers are not potential customers. This is a good analysis for giving more deals to potential customers rather than non-potential customers. But the testing shows that SVM performs better while predicting the false negative. As is depicted false negative needs to be zero for training as well as testing. But this is not the case with C4.5 Though true negative is high showing 80% nonpotential customers, it also give 15% false negative this is depicting non-potential customers as potential customers.

Table 6. Comparison training and testing results of negatives for SVM and C4.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of false negative and true negative</th>
<th>Size of data</th>
<th>False negative (%)</th>
<th>True negative (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4.5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. INFERENCE OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Use of API

As shown in figure 3, use of API helps in getting the information of some sites and specific products the user wants to browse through. Hence provides a platform to compare the updated information from many sites under one roof.

B. SVM prediction

SVM is used for linear classification and hence the test results of the data need to be 100%. The cent percent results are depicted in table 3. True Positive outcome will give the correct specification of how many among the existing customers are potential buyers.

C. C4.5 prediction

Though C4.5 gives better customer prediction for training data it does not give better customer prediction for testing results. During prediction the true negative has to be nearing 100% accuracy as this gives an idea of the potential non-buyers

VIII. CONCLUSION

A site can be developed without using the API of different portals for comparison, but any change in the situation logic of amazon or eBay may not be reflected in the present site that is developed for comparison. Flipcart is used for depiction only, not used in the actual implementation. All online sites do not provide their
API's for free hence only two API's are used for the purpose of implementation in this paper. Comparing all sites under one roof is a task as all the online portals do not provide their API's for free. Paying money to the online portals without having customer base is a major issue when developing a site that compares all products in one application. The API's used here provide better results as they are updated. But it will not provide better results every time as the customer needs to search by providing multiple search feeds to get the required result.

In the future robots might use the application to find the desired results of deals of products on sites, in such a scenario API is the best answer.

The paper deals with API implementation for comparing prices of the same products on two different sites. Therefore, it is obvious that the product with the lowest cost on any of the site will be purchased. The focus of the paper is to provide the customer with extracting only the specified data that is to be given on discount by the eSHOP site. This will lead to better results as proper specification are given to the eSHOP API.

A prediction method is required to predict if the customer will be a potential buyer. 2D classification is used as it provides better results. The SVM and C4.5 used here are for binary classification as the binary method gives optimum results and it’s helpful in verifying the data. The SVM gives 100% positive prediction whereas C4.5 gives 75% positive prediction. Hence, it is necessary to generate better true positives. This shows SVM is a better classifier. While using C4.5 the conditional rules that give results also need to be pruned, this is not the case with SVM. Though pruning of input data needs to be done for both SVM and C4.5, there is not added burden in SVM of pruning conditional rules. There is always a point of improvement in any system, and the same is applicable here too. The paper does not talk about any efficiency related stuff, because that itself needs a full article.
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