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Abstract—Clustering based-density is very attractive research area in data clustering. DBSCAN (Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise) algorithm is the pioneer in this area. It can handle varied shaped and sized clusters. Also, it copes with noise and outliers efficiently, however it fails to handle clusters with varied densities due to the global parameter Eps. In this paper, we propose a method overcomes this problem, this method does not allow large variation in density within a cluster and use only two input parameters that will be called minpts and maxpts. They govern the minimum and maximum density of core objects within a cluster. The maxpts parameter will be used to control the value of Eps (neighborhood radius) in original DBSCAN. By allowing Eps to be varied from one cluster to another based on density of region this make DBSCAN able to handle varied density clusters and discover homogeneous clusters. The experimental results reflect the efficiency of the proposed method despite its simplicity.

Index Terms—Cluster analysis, DBSCAN algorithm, clustering algorithms, homogeneous clusters.

I. INTRODUCTION

Because of information technology age that we live in nowadays, most organization collect huge amount of data every day, this motivate scientists and researchers to search for methods to analyze the collected data and maximize the benefit that can be earned from these repositories of data, this lead to the emergence of data mining and knowledge discovery from data. Data clustering methods that are used to group data into subsets, each subset is called cluster. Objects within the cluster have high similarity to each other and are dissimilar to objects in other clusters. Similarity or dissimilarity is based on distance or k-nearest neighbors or shared nearest neighbors or links. The functions that can be used to calculate similarity or dissimilarity are given in Table 1. Where d refers to dimensionality of space.

There are a lot of clustering algorithms that have been proposed. These algorithms may be classified into partitioning methods, hierarchical methods, density-based methods, model-based methods, and grid-based methods [1] as shown in Fig. 1.

Partitioning methods divide a set of data with n objects into k clusters based on distance as a measure of dissimilarity among objects and their representative clusters. K-means [2] is the most famous and well-studied method in this category of clustering algorithms. It selects -randomly or heuristically- k initial representatives. Then assigns each object to the closest representative cluster. Then computes new representative for each cluster as the mean value of objects within it. Then redistributes objects over the new representatives and computes new representative again. This process is iterated until termination condition is met; representative does not change, or object cease to change their clusters, or maximum number of iterations reached. There are many other algorithms in this category such as PAM (Partitioning Around Medoids) [3], CLARA (Clustering LARge Applications) [3], CLARANS (Clustering Large Applications based on RANdomized Search) [4].

In PAM algorithm the medoid of cluster is somewhat different from means in k-means, where medoid of cluster is an actual point in data that is most near to the center. PAM also starts by selecting k medoid points as representatives for clusters and assigns each point to the nearest medoid. The quality of clustering is measured as the average dissimilarity among points and their clusters is minimized. In each step, PAM swaps between one selected medoid and another point in data and redistributes points over clusters and computes the quality
of clustering. The swap process between one medoid and another data point is repeated as long as the quality of clustering is improved, i.e. the average distances between each point and its cluster is minimized.

Table 1. Similarity and dissimilarity measure for interval-scaled attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of function</th>
<th>Mathematical formula</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minkowski Distance</td>
<td>$d_{dis}(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}</td>
<td>x_i - y_i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euclidean Distance</td>
<td>$d_{dis}(X, Y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}$</td>
<td>equals to minkowski distance where $q=2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average distance</td>
<td>$d_{dis}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2$</td>
<td>is modified version of Euclidean distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhattan Distance</td>
<td>$d_{dis}(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}</td>
<td>x_i - y_i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Distance</td>
<td>$d_{dis}(X, Y) = \max_{i=1}^{n}</td>
<td>x_i - y_i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson correlation</td>
<td>$d_{dis}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}\right)$</td>
<td>used in gene expression clustering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahalanobis distance</td>
<td>$d_{dis}(X, Y) = (x-y)^T S^{-1} (x-y)^T$</td>
<td>used in hyper ellipsoid clusters, $S$ is covariance matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosine similarity</td>
<td>$\text{sim}(X, Y) = \cos \alpha = \frac{x^T y}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2}}$</td>
<td>used in document clustering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chord distance</td>
<td>$d_{dis}(X, Y) = \sqrt{2 - 2\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2}}}$</td>
<td>used for normalized attributes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLARA applies PAM on random sample of data instead of the whole data. To get improved result, CLARA applies PAM on multiple samples - each of size $40+2k$ - taken from the original data and returns the best medoids. So that it can handle larger data than PAM [3]. CLARANS algorithm is based on the idea of graph where each node represents k-medoids (clustering result), two nodes are neighbors if they differ by only one medoid. CLARANS draws a sample of neighbors in each step and does not restrict the search sub-graph like CLARA. So that its clustering result is better than that of CLARA [4].

All portioning methods can handle data with spherical shaped clusters only and cannot handle varied shaped clusters unless they are well separated. Also, they cannot handle overlapped clusters. In addition to they require number of clusters in advance, and this is another problem. See the following Fig. 2, how many clusters in this dataset? There is more than one answer shown in Fig. 2. The answer depends on the required result, the type of
the clustering algorithm used, in addition to the definition of required clusters, and the metric measure used by the algorithm. This motivates researchers to search for other clustering categories like hierarchical and density-based methods.

Hierarchical methods generate a dendrogram like a tree structure representing the clustering process. The dendrogram can be generated from bottom up as in agglomerative methods or can be generated from top down as in divisive methods [1]. Agglomerative methods are more famous than divisive methods. In single link algorithm [5] (Agglomerative method), each object in the input dataset is considered as a singleton cluster, in each step the algorithm selects the two most similar clusters to merge them until all objects are in the same cluster or level of dissimilarity is reached or required number of clusters is reached. Disadvantages of the hierarchical methods is that any step cannot be backtracked or undo, also these methods require \( o(n^2) \), there is no objective function to be minimized as in partitioning methods. Advantage of hierarchical methods is their ability to discover varied shaped clusters. Examples for algorithms in this category are average link [6] and complete link [7]. CURE (Clustering Using Representatives) [8], BIRCH (Balanced Iterative Reducing and Clustering using Hierarchies) [9], CHAMELEON [10], and ROCK. (RObust Clustering using linKs)[11]. ROCK algorithm is dedicated to deal with Boolean and categorical attributes.

Density-based methods have introduced a new definition for clusters; where clusters are recorded as dense regions separated from each other by sparse regions. The density of object here may be computed as the number of objects in its neighborhood radius as in DBSCAN [12] algorithm which is a pioneer algorithm in this category, OPTICS (Ordering Points To Identify the Clustering Structure) [13] is another algorithm which is an extension of DBSCAN but it doesn’t produce clusters explicitly. Another algorithm is DENQUELUE (DENsity based CLustering) [14].

Grid based methods, instead of applying clustering on data objects directly; they house data objects in grid cells by partitioning each dimension into finite number of cells of equal length. Then compute some statistical information about objects in each cell; such as number of objects, average mean of objects, standard deviation, and some other information as described in STING algorithm [15].

Here we propose an effective idea to improve the results of DBSCAN algorithm. This idea allows the algorithm to control the density within each cluster, by allowing small variance in density within a cluster. The proposed algorithm uses two input parameters; the first one allows the algorithm to adapt the \( Eps \) in each cluster, and the other is \( minpts \) as in DBSCAN. This paper is organized as follow. Section 2 reviews some of the previous work related to the proposed method. The proposed method is presented in section 3. Section 4 shows some experimental results of the proposed method and we conclude with section 5.

II. RELATED WORK

In this section we review some algorithms related to the proposed one. First, we review DBSCAN algorithm, which is the pioneer algorithm.

A. DBSCAN algorithm

DBSCAN is the most famous clustering algorithm that can find varied shaped of varied size clusters. It has a trouble in handling varied density clusters; this problem results from its dependency on the global user input parameter neighborhood radius which called \( Eps \), it requires other input parameter called \( minpts \); these two parameters judge the process of finding clusters. We see the problem arise because the algorithm concentrates only on minimum density allowed within a cluster and ignores the maximum density allowed within it. It allows any core point to expand the cluster without any top limitation on density. So, it allows large variance in density within a cluster. If we need to discover clusters of varied density we must search for a method to control the maximum density allowed within the cluster, this is what this paper propose. DBSCAN depends on some basic definitions as follow: -

1. \( Eps \)-neighborhood of a point \( x \) denoted by \( N_{Eps}(x) = \{ y \in \text{Dataset} | \text{dis}(x,y) \leq Eps \} \). Look at Fig. 3.

2. Point \( x \) is directly density reachable from point \( y \) with respect to \( Eps \) and \( minpts \) if:
   a. \( x \in N_{Eps}(y) \),
   b. \( |N_{Eps}(y)| \geq minpts \).

   All points that reside in the \( Eps \) neighborhood radius of the red point (core point A or C) are directly density reachable as shown in Fig. 3.

3. Point \( x \) is density reachable from \( y \) with respect to \( Eps \) and \( minpts \) if there exist a chain of points \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n \). Where \( y=z_1 \) and \( x=z_n \), such that each point in the chain is direct density reachable from the previous one as shown in Fig. 4.a; this relation is not always reversible, as you see in Fig. 4.a, \( x \) is density reachable from \( y \) but \( y \) is not density reachable from \( x \) since \( x \) is border point. However, if \( x \) and \( y \) are core points then they are density reachable from each other.

![Fig. 3](image-url)  
**Fig.3.** Type of points A and C are core points, B is border point, points labeled N are noise points, red arrow represent Eps, \( minpts = 4 \).

4. Point \( x \) is density connected to \( y \) with respect to \( Eps \) and \( minpts \) if there exist point \( z \) such that \( x \) and \( y \) are density reachable from \( z \) with respect to \( Eps \) and \( minpts \). As shown in Fig. 4.b, two points \( x \)
and $y$ are density connected if there is a chain of core points connecting them.

![Density reachability and connectivity](image)

5. Cluster is non-empty subset of the input dataset with maximality and connectivity
   a. if $x \in C$ and $y$ is density reachable from $x$ with respect to $Eps$ and $minpts$ then $y \in C$.
   b. if $x \in C$ and $y \in C$ then $x$ is density connected to $y$ with respect to $Eps$ and $minpts$.

6. Noise is a set of points that are not belonging to any cluster. See Fig. 3, noise point does not belong to any neighborhood radius of core point and have number of points in its $Eps$ radius less than $minpts$.

Based on the previous definitions DBSCAN cannot handle datasets shown in Fig. 5 due to the presence of varied density clusters that are very close to each other. Any cluster consists of core points and border points. Noise points are discarded and will not belong to any cluster. The DBSCAN algorithm is described as follow: -

```
DBSCAN(data, Eps, minpts)
Clus_id=0
FOR i=1 to size of data
   IF data[i] is unclassified THEN
      IF |NEps(data[i])| ≥ minpts THEN
         Clus_id=clus_id +1
         Expand_cluster(data, data[i], Eps, minpts, clus_id)
      ENDIF
   ENDIF
NEXT i
All unclassified points in data are noise
End DBSCAN
```

Expand_cluster(data, data[i], Eps, minpts, clus_id)
Seed=data[i].regionquery(point, Eps)
IF Neighbor.size ≥ minpts THEN
   Append all unclassified points in neighbor to seed and assign them to clus_id
ENDIF
Seed.delete(point)
End while
End Expand_cluster

There are many researchers tried to enhance DBSCAN algorithm to handle varied density clusters, we review some of their works in next subsection.

B. Varied densities clusters

Here, we review some of recent researches discussed the problem of multi-density clusters. In DVBSCAN [16], it is based on DBSCAN and add two more threshold to control clustering process; they are cluster density variance and cluster similarity index. The resulting clusters of DBSCAN algorithm is very sensitive to small change in $Eps$, and the authors introduce two more parameters that impact on the result. Tuning of four parameters is very hard task.

In DMDBSCAN [17], the authors select several values for the neighborhood radius $Eps$ from the k-dist plot. They checked the k-dist plot by their eye depending on seeing sharp change in k-dist curve, and this is not always true because of the presence of noise and outlier points. Examine Fig. 6, this is true for the first dataset shown in Fig. 5 where you can see three levels of density within the dataset1. For the second dataset shown in Fig. 5, you see four levels of density, but the dataset2 shown in Fig. 5 contains only three clusters of different densities, and this lead to split the densest cluster. For the third dataset...
shown in Fig. 8, you see only one level of density in k-dist plot shown in Fig. 6 but examine the dataset itself you see at least three levels of density. For the fourth dataset shown in Fig. 8, you see many small changes on the curve in Fig. 6, this may lead to find large number of clusters, but the dataset contains two clusters of varied densities.

In [18] the authors propose to use spline cubic interpolation to find suitable values for \( Eps \) from the curve of k-dist plot. By using the interpolation, they find mathematically the inflection points of the curve where the curve changes its concavity and these points correspond to \( Eps \) values that will be used in DBSCAN. But this may lead to split some clusters. For example, see 3-dist plot of dataset 2 in Fig. 6, you find seven inflection points that split the densest cluster, in 3-dist plot of dataset 4 also you find many of inflection points, this lead to get large number of clusters. And for the third dataset, there is only one inflection point.

In K-DBSCAN [19], the authors use k-means algorithm to divide points into different density level to identify the corresponding densities in dataset, they calculate the density of point as the average sum of distance to k-nearest neighbors, and sort these distances, and from the density curve checking sharp change in density to determine the value of \( k \) in k-means. Then apply a modified version of DBSCAN algorithm in each level of density. The result depends on density levels result from the k-means. The average distance to k-nearest neighbors is similar to k-dist plot, and the algorithm suffer from seeing incorrect multiple level of densities as in [17,18]. In [20] the author has introduced a framework to handle varied density clusters.

III. THE PROPOSED METHOD

The main problem of DBSCAN algorithm is the large variance in density allowed within the cluster, this problem arise due to its global user input variable that is called \( Eps \), it is very difficult to depend on single value for this parameter, most datasets contain clusters with varied densities, if the value of \( Eps \) is small the DBSCAN algorithm discovers only most dense clusters and low density clusters will be discarded as noise points, on the other hand if the value of \( Eps \) is large enough to discover low dense clusters this may lead to merge some of dense clusters of different densities unless they are well separated by sparse regions. To solve this problem, we set maximum value for density allowed within the same cluster, i.e the neighborhood of any core point must contain number of points greater than or equal to \( minpts \) and smaller than or equal to \( maxpts \).

When using the k-nearest neighbors you see that the neighborhood radius is small in dense regions and is large in sparse regions. This means, there exist reverse proportional between neighborhood radius and density of region, as the density increase the radius decrease and the vice versa. In the proposed method as the difference between the \( maxpts \) and \( minpts \) increase, the variance of density within the cluster increase. When the difference decreases the variance of density within the cluster decreases, this is a proportional relation between the difference between \( maxpts \) and \( minpts \) and the density variance within the clusters.

The DBSCAN depends on k-dist plot where \( k = 4 \), that represent the low level of density for any core point. The proposed algorithm will depend on k-dist plot, where \( k = maxpts \), that represent the maximum level of density for any core point. Depending on this idea the algorithm uses the distance to the \( maxpts \) neighbors as neighborhood radius for the region where this core resides, and the algorithm expands the current cluster by visiting all density reachable core points with respect to \( minpts \), \( maxpts \) and \( Epscr \) (EPS of current region). As the difference between \( maxpts \) and \( minpts \) increase the algorithm return as a result large number of homogenous clusters whereas the difference between \( maxpts \) and \( minpts \) increase the algorithm return small number of lower homogenous clusters.

The proposed algorithm will depend on the following definitions: -

1- Initiator of cluster is the core point that has the minimum neighborhood radius among all unclassified point and has \( maxpts \) of points within its neighborhood radius.

2- The density of any core point \( p \) satisfies this relation: \( minpts \leq |N_{Epscr}(p)| \leq maxpts \).

3- Point \( p \) is direct density reachable from \( q \) if \( p \in N_{Epscr}(q) \), \( q \) is core wrt. \( Minpts \), \( maxpts \), and \( Epscr \).

4- Other definition is the same as that of DBSCAN algorithm in addition to handle the duplicated points as a single point.

Now we present the details of the proposed algorithm that will be called HDCA (Homogenous Density Clustering Algorithm). This algorithm requires only two input parameters; they are \( minpts \) and \( maxpts \) that control
minimum and maximum density allowed within the cluster. Also, the algorithm uses the \textit{maxpts} to find the appropriate value for the neighborhood radius of the current region, it refers to this value as \textit{Epscr}. Here, cluster is defined as a region that has points of homogenous density satisfying maximality and connectivity conditions.

To find clusters in the input dataset \( D \) of \( N \) points, the algorithm arranges the points in ascending order based on their distances to \textit{maxpts}. So, the first data point in the ordered dataset is the initiator of the first cluster, and the distance to the \textit{maxpts} is the value of \textit{Epscr} (neighborhood radius of current region), the algorithm starts to expand the current cluster \( \text{wrt. minpts, maxpts} \) and \textit{Epscr} until no point can be added to it, then it moves to the next unclassified point, this leads to set the distance of its \textit{maxpts} neighbor to \textit{Epscr} and expand cluster with ignoring previously classified points. Very small clusters may be ignored in the result. The following lines describe the proposed algorithm.

\textbf{HDCA}(dataset, \textit{minpts, maxpts})

\begin{verbatim}
For \( i = 1 \) to dataset.size
    Find distance to \textit{maxpts}
Next \( i \)

Clusid = 0
Sort points based on the calculated distance to \textit{maxpts} in ascending order

For \( i = 1 \) to dataset.size
    If p\[i\].Clusid = unclassified then
        Clusid+ = 1
        Expand_cluster(dataset, \( i \), \textit{minpts, maxpts, Clusid})
    Endif
Next \( i \)
End HDCA

Expand_cluster(dataset, \( i \), \textit{minpts, maxpts, Clusid})

Epscr = p\[i\].dis[\textit{maxpts}]
Seed = regionquary(p\[i\], Epscr)
If (seed.size \( \geq \) \textit{minpts}) then
    All unclassified points in seed and p\[i\] are assigned to Clusid
    While (seed.size \( > \) 0)
        Curpt = seed.getFirst()
        Newseed = regionquary(Curpt, Epscr)
        If (Newseed.size \( \geq \) \textit{minpts}) then
            All unclassified points in Newseed are appended to seed
            All unclassified points in Newseed are assigned to Clusid
        Endif
        Seed.delete(Curpt)
    Endwhile
Else
    p\[i\] is classified as noise temporary
Endif
End Expand_cluster
\end{verbatim}

\section*{IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS}

This section shows the result of applying the proposed method to some synthetic dataset containing data in two-dimensional space to visualize the result easily. We have used different datasets containing clusters of varied densities, shapes, and sizes. The proposed method succeeded in discovering clusters even though the high closeness of each other. The first two datasets used in the experiment are shown in Fig. 5, the first dataset has 8137 points in three clusters of varied density which are very close to each other and cannot be discovered by DBSCAN algorithm based on single global value for neighborhood radius. The second data set has 526 points, in three varied density clusters. The problem here is more difficult because each cluster is surrounded by another cluster of different density; the central cluster is the densest one which immediately surrounded by dense cluster without separation which are surrounded by sparse cluster, and the algorithm detects the clusters correctly as shown in Fig. 7.
Fig. 8. Other datasets that are used in experiments.

Fig. 9. Result from applying the HDCA method on datasets shown in Fig. 8.
1. $\text{Eps} = 0.4$
2. $\text{Eps} = 0.5$ to $1$
3. $\text{Eps} = 1.1$
4. $\text{Eps} = 0.8$
5. $\text{Eps} = 1$ to $1.3$
6. $\text{Eps} = 1.5$ to $1.9$
7. $\text{Eps} = 2$
8. $\text{Eps} = 0.4$
9. $\text{Eps} = 0.5$
10. $\text{Eps} = 2$
11. $\text{Eps} = 1$
12. $\text{Eps} = 2$
As the difference between maxpts and minpts increase the algorithm allow cluster to increase the density variance within it, as a result the number of clusters will decrease. The other datasets that are used in experiments are shown in Fig. 8. Dataset 3 has 8573 points distributed over varied shaped, sized and density clusters with the presence of noise points, there are two intertwined spiral clusters, and three ring clusters each one surrounds the others, these five clusters are of the same density, there are other two clusters have large variance in density, the algorithm extracts homogeneous clusters from them. Dataset 4 has 373 points distributed over two clusters of different density. Dataset 5 has 383 points in five spherical shaped clusters with two level of density. Dataset 6 has 3147 points in four clusters of different densities with no separation among them. 

Fig. 9 shows the resulting clusters from applying the HDCA algorithm on the datasets in Fig. 8. These results show the efficiency of the algorithm in extracting homogeneous clusters from the data. 

Fig. 10 shows the resulting clusters from applying the DBSCAN algorithm on the first four datasets that are shown in Fig. 5, 8 comparing these results with that of the proposed HDCA algorithm in Fig. 9, you note that the DBSCAN algorithm failed to find the homogenous clusters from the data. It fails to discover the actual clusters in dataset 1, 2, 3, 4 because of the presence of varied density clusters, and in dataset 3 when Eps = 2 as in Fig. 10.10 the two left clusters surrounded by sparse points, but these sparse points assigned to them, and this make each of them allow large variance in density. When Eps = 0.5, become smaller it discards the sparse points, and all clusters are of the same density. When Eps = 0.4, the smallest value used, it discards some border points from the two left clusters as shown in Fig 10.8-10.10.

For the first dataset, when Eps = 0.4 it discovers only the densest cluster and other points considered as outliers. When Eps ranges from 0.5 to 1, it discovers two clusters because there is a sparse region separating them and removes the third cluster as outliers, when Eps = 1.1, it merges the densest cluster with the sparser one because there is no sparse region separate them, this information is shown in Fig. 10.1-10.3.

For the second dataset, see Fig. 10.4-10.7, this is the most challenging dataset since clusters are very close to each other and contained within each other. When Eps = 0.8, it splits the densest cluster to 32 clusters, when Eps ranges from 1 to 1.3 it discovers the inner densest cluster, when Eps ranges from 1.5 to 1.9 it merges the two inner clusters, when Eps = 2 it doesn’t perform any clustering because it assigns all points to the same cluster, it fails to discover the correct clusters for any value of Eps, this is the main problem of DBSCAN algorithm that is solved by the proposed HDCA algorithm.

For the fourth dataset, it is also challenging dataset. It contains two clusters that cannot be discovered by DBSCAN algorithm for any value for Eps as shown in Fig. 10.11-10.14, when Eps = 1 it splits the two clusters and produces 12 clusters, when Eps = 2 it finds the denser cluster but splits the sparser one to six clusters to get seven clusters as a result. When Eps = 3.5, it discovers two wrong clusters, it splits the sparser one and merges one part of it with the denser cluster. When Eps = 4, it assigns all points to the same clusters.

Note that all values used for Eps in DBSCAN algorithm are taken from the 3-dist plot shown in Fig. 6.

V. CONCLUSION

Reviewing the DBSCAN algorithm, it is very interesting algorithm, it can handle clusters with varied shaped and sized but fails to handle clusters of varied density because of its global variable Eps, the proposed method tries to handle this problem by allowing homogeneous density connected cores to be grouped in one cluster. To achieve this; the proposed method determines maximum level of density allowed within each cluster, and this allows DBSCAN to use varied values for Eps according to the density of region. The experimental result revealed the ability of the proposed method to handle clusters with varied density. When comparing the results, we get from both algorithms on the same datasets, we find that the proposed algorithm easily discovered the actual clusters in the datasets.
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