Novelty in Image Reconstruction using DWT and CLAHE
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Abstract—In the digital world, image quality is of widespread importance in several areas of image application such as medical field, aerospace and satellite imaging, underwater imaging, etc. This requires the image obtained to be sharp and clear without any artifacts. Moreover, on zooming, the image should not lose any of its information. Thus, focusing on these points, Discrete Wavelet Transform has been practiced in combination with different interpolation methodologies to provide reconstruction of images via zooming and their PSNR values have been obtained. The research gave rise to a novel image zooming and reconstruction technique that improves the image quality of the enhanced images. This paper presents a proposed algorithm that is adopted to enhance a given original input image in the domain of wavelets and results have been proved with the help of PSNR values. The proposed algorithm is used further for contrast equalized images providing improvement in PSNR values and enhancement in images. The method is compared with existing papers. This verifies that the proposed technique is a better approach to provide good quality zoomed images.

Index Terms—Enhancement; DWT; Interpolation; Spline; Contrast.

I. INTRODUCTION

An image is simply what we perceive, when the rays of light fall on an object and reflection or refraction occurs. The demand of digital imaging is growing rapidly due to its applications in large number of fields where digital images may be used for detection of cancers, tumors, detection of objects under water, medical diagnosis, aeronautical imaging etc. In such cases, the quality of the information contained in the image is of utter importance so that better results can be produced. In this context, various wavelet transforms and several interpolation techniques are present which include:

- Wavelet Zero Padding (WZP): It involves appending a zero matrix at the end of the image by overlooking the sub-bands of higher frequency and then the inverse of WZP is applied to attain a higher frequency image.
- Wavelet Zero padding and Cycle Spinning (WZP-CS): In this, first of all, WZP is applied to attain an intermediary higher frequency image which is then decomposed into N lower resolution images by spatial shifting, after that WZP is applied on these N lower resolution images to attain N higher resolution images and finally, these are averaged and realigned to achieve the final output image.
- Discrete wavelet Transform (DWT): DWT disintegrates the given image into four sub images named as: low-low (LL), low-high (LH), high-low (HL) and high-high (HH) images. Of these, the lower frequency components are accounted to interpolation and finally inverse DWT is applied to attain the final higher frequency images.
- Stationery Wavelet Transform (SWT): In this, the input image is first of all directed to WZP followed by SWT to attain two sub-bands namely: estimated and approximated coefficients and finally, inverse of SWT is applied to obtain a higher frequency image.
- Dual Tree Complex Wavelet Transform (DTCW): It deals with directional sensitivity. First, the image is disintegrated into distinct sub-bands of lower and higher frequency, then, the higher frequency sub-bands are filtered followed by interpolation with lower frequency components and finally the inverse is applied to attain a higher frequency output image.
- SWT-DWT: It is the combination of SWT and DWT, the input image is subjected to DWT and SWT simultaneously, the higher frequency components attained from both are interpolated and finally inverse is applied to attain the output image.
- Interpolation: It is a technique that is used to approximate the unknown pixel data for a given image to enhance the size of the given image. The new points are determined with respect to some known points. There are various interpolation methodologies used for zooming an image. These are...
interpolation in spatial domain. The deduced methods till date have outperformed well in producing better quality images; but cannot be used in the long run for getting a sharper, super-resolution images in medical science. Therefore, keeping all this state of information in mind, it is observed that there is an urgency to design a novel image enhancement strategy that produces best quality image on zooming and also deals with the contrast of an image after zooming apart from just the details contained in it.

III. DISCRETE WAVELET TRANSFORM

The DWT takes discrete sets of wavelets on an image and translates it in a defined format on the basis of described rules. It divides the image into 4 sub-bands which can be evaluated individually using different set of values applied on each band. The sub-bands are usually low and high filters, i.e., LL, LH, HL and HH, where ‘L’, ‘H’ denotes low and high filters, respectively. The low pass tells averaging group of pixels, whereas high pass stores error of low passes. DWT is calculated using a sequence of filters. Therefore, the samples are made to pass through low pass resulting into –

\[ q[n] = (p \times f)[n] = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} p[i] f[n-i] \] (1)

This signal is decomposed simultaneously by high pass giving out the detailed coefficients (of high pass) and approximate coefficients (of low pass). But since, half of the sample values are discarded due to the removal of half signal frequencies (Nyquist rule); the filter is subdivided into 2 –

\[ q_{low}[n] = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} p[i](2n-i) \] (2)

\[ q_{high}[n] = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} p[i]f[2n-i] \] (3)

This has resulted into decomposing signal in half time with characterizing signal producing double frequency resolution. The wavelet is constructed with a scaling function for resizing images. Thus, the output image of DWT is –

Fig.1. Output Image of DWT
The inverse DWT is applied to reconstruct original wavelet. It is considered that for applying the inverse of the same wavelet; is used for better image restoration.

IV. IMAGE QUALITY PARAMETERS

Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR) describes the ratio between the maximum possible power and power of corrupted noise (affecting fidelity of representation). It is usually represented on the decibel scale. In general, higher the PSNR value, the analyzed zooming quality will be higher. The PSNR is calculated using Mean Squared Error (MSE). The mathematical representation is given by

\[
MSE = \frac{b}{k^l \sum_{b} \sum_{l}} ||e(k, l) - f(k, l)||^2
\]

\[
PSNR = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{MAX_e}{MSE} \right)
\]

This can also be represented in a text based format as:

\[
MSE = \left( \frac{1}{k^l \sum_{b}} \right) \sum \left( \sum (e - f)^2 \right)
\]

\[
PSNR = 20 \times \log \left( \frac{\max(e)}{MSE} \right)
\]

where ‘e’ represents original image’s matrix data, ‘f’ is degraded image’s matrix data, ‘k’ denotes number of rows, ‘l’ is number of columns of image and ‘MAX_e’ is the maximum pixel value.

V. PROPOSED ALGORITHM AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The image zooming algorithm emphasizes finding unique values of an image on the basis of PSNR. The application of DWT is applied using a discrete set of wavelet scales and is translated with predefined rules. The resultant values are compared with original images to check the algorithm’s efficiency. They rely on the objective of being with the fact that more the PSNR value; the quality resolution and property of the image increases. The algorithm is the combined method of DWT and interpolations like linear, bilinear, bi-cubic, nearest neighbor, spline; and is as follows:

\[
w1[i] = \text{interpolate}(b, i) //\text{using spline, cubic, bi-cubic, nearest, linear or bilinear}
w2[i] = \text{interpolate}(c, i)
w3[i] = \text{interpolate}(d, i)
w4[i] = \text{interpolate}(e, i)
\]

end for

for i =1,...,3

Combine the updated sub-bands into single image using inverse DWT

end for

calculate PSNR (A, X) //finding PSNR for zooming factor

apply CLAHE (A) // applying contrast equalization on original and output images

apply CLAHE (X)

end

The proposed methodology is tested upon several renowned benchmark images and their PSNR values have been recorded. For this purpose, six different images have been considered as input which are as shown in Fig. 2.

\[\text{(I)} \quad \text{(II)} \quad \text{(III)} \quad \text{(IV)} \quad \text{(V)} \quad \text{(VI)}\]

Fig.2. Original images (I) Airplane (II) Baboon (III) Football (IV) Lena (V) Peppers (VI) Trees

These input images are accounted to DWT along with spline interpolation and the final output images are attained whose PSNR values are recorded. The implementation results are described in Table 1. Here, the table describes the PSNR values which are calculated among original and output zoomed images.
Table 1. Calculated PSNR measures for DWT in combination with different Interpolation Techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Images</th>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>Bilinear</th>
<th>Nearest</th>
<th>Cubic</th>
<th>Bi-cubic</th>
<th>Spline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airplane</td>
<td>74.8232</td>
<td>72.9779</td>
<td>72.8927</td>
<td>72.8927</td>
<td>70.9929</td>
<td>76.4764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baboon</td>
<td>69.999</td>
<td>68.9269</td>
<td>68.6571</td>
<td>68.7394</td>
<td>67.8010</td>
<td>70.5864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>76.8462</td>
<td>71.6977</td>
<td>74.8436</td>
<td>75.5388</td>
<td>74.2762</td>
<td>77.2824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>75.9232</td>
<td>74.4171</td>
<td>74.2504</td>
<td>74.2795</td>
<td>73.0169</td>
<td>77.0054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppers</td>
<td>78.1372</td>
<td>76.2292</td>
<td>75.6108</td>
<td>76.1788</td>
<td>74.2370</td>
<td>79.8384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>72.3439</td>
<td>70.0172</td>
<td>70.265</td>
<td>69.9119</td>
<td>67.9952</td>
<td>74.4552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results presented in Table 1 clearly depict the dominance of DWT with spline interpolation over other types of interpolations. Thus, being the best combination of DWT with spline interpolation plays the major role in the proposed technique, therefore the output zoomed images of DWT with spline are shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. Output Images of the proposed technique (I) Airplane (II) Baboon (III) Football (IV) Lena (V) Peppers (VI) Trees
VI. CONTRAST EQUALIZATION

The observed consequences clearly depict the dominance of DWT with spline interpolation over other combinations. Further, with a view to up-grade the glare and contrast of the given image, contrast equalization (CLAHE) is adopted and final PSNR values are calculated. To achieve a comparison analysis, the algorithm is practiced on the input images and then on the enhanced images (images shown in Figs. 2, 3). The PSNR values of the contrast enhanced images are compared with those of the original input images and a tabular comparison is made in Table 2. On the basis of these values the percentage improvement for each image is also calculated which justifies the performance of the proposed algorithm.

Table 2. PSNR values of enhanced and original images on Contrast Equalization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>PSNR for original image</th>
<th>PSNR for enhanced image</th>
<th>Percentage Improvement in Contrast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airplane</td>
<td>9.9561</td>
<td>11.8787</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baboon</td>
<td>18.7311</td>
<td>19.9413</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>10.7570</td>
<td>11.4460</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>10.7067</td>
<td>12.6959</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppers</td>
<td>19.8613</td>
<td>10.9123</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>19.8515</td>
<td>19.8823</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Output Images after Contrast Equalization (I) Airplane (II) Baboon (III) Football (IV) Lena (V) Peppers (VI) Trees
Hence, the graphical analysis clearly proves the proficiency of the postulated strategy over the currently existing methodologies. It is identified that the proposed technique excels in all respects as it achieves the highest PSNR values for all the four considered images.

VIII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

In this paper, a novel technique is postulated for image zooming, which combines the methods of DWT with interpolation techniques like spline, linear, bilinear, cubic, bi-cubic and nearest neighbor and pixel replication. Out of these, Spline interpolation with DWT comes out to be the better zooming method. The observed values of PSNR are appropriate and transformations have a positive impact on visual quality of zoomed images. At initial, only interpolation techniques were used for enhancement but are not practised widely due to its poor performance because they produce images with addition to noise or distortion. As far as DWT is concerned, it divides images into four sub-bands to reduce blurriness by applying enhancement for each of the four sub-bands. The DWT fails often to provide a good quality image as it distorts images at fewer points, generally at the corners. Therefore, in order to remove blurriness or noise related issues, interpolation techniques are combined with DWT; by virtue of which the image is improved to a greater extent. Also, the zoomed images are free from blurriness or noise. In addition to the enhancement, the proposed technique also improves the contrast of the final images which further adds to the image quality. The results may vary precisely when used upon different versions and images. When the methods are compared with existing techniques, then to astonishment; it gives better results in terms of PSNR(dB) values. The approach can be further used to enhance Mammography based imaging to improve upon the existing system for detecting diseases as it may necessary to get the minutest details present in the images at the time of operative measures.
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