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Abstract—Wireless Capsule Endoscopy (WCE) generates a large number of images in one examination of a patient. It is very laborious and time-consuming to detect the WCE video, and so limits the wider application of WCE. Color similarity measurement is the key technique of color image segmentation and recognition, as well as the premise of bleeding detection in WCE images. This paper deduces two color vector similarity coefficients to measure the color similarity degree in RGB color space, and based on which, a novel method of intelligent bleeding detection in WCE image is implemented. The novel algorithm is implemented in RGB color space, and is featured with simple computation and practicability. The experiments showed that the bleeding regions in WCE images can be correctly extracted, and the sensitivity and specificity of this algorithm were 90% and 97% respectively.

Index Terms—color similarity measurement, color vector, similarity coefficient, bleeding detection

I. INTRODUCTION

Bleeding in the digestive tract is not only a common gastrointestinal (GI) trace disease, but also a well-recognized complication, which is a symptom of a fatal disease. Most causes of bleeding are related to conditions that can be cured or controlled [1], yet to detect the bleeding is not easy. The best way to detect bleeding is directly imaging the GI tract, so the endoscopy is a direct and effective diagnostic technique comparing to indirect techniques such as barium angiography and computerized tomography [2]. However, limited by physical reasons, traditional invasive endoscopies such as esophago-duodenoscopy and colonoscopy cannot be pushed through the entire GI tract, leaving the small intestine as a dead zone in the endoscopic diagnosis. They are inconvenient and cause intense pain. Further, they can increase the risk of intestine perforation. Wireless Capsule Endoscope (WCE), as a relatively new technology, allows the physician to noninvasively examine the entire GI tract leaving no dead zone. The WCE system includes capsule endoscope (CE), receiving box, image working station and application software. The CE is about 11mm in diameter and 30mm in length, which is small enough to be swallowed by patients easily. During it travelling through the GI tract with the nature peristalsis, the CE images the GI tract using a short focus lens. The images are transmitted wirelessly outside of patient’s body at the frame rate of 2/s (frames per second), and received by the receiving box which is tied to patient’s waist. The images are received by the receiving box outside and showed in PC workstation. The working cycle, which is shown in Figure 1, shows that the usage of WCE system is convenient, safe and the entire GI tract is examined without dead zones [3-6]. The WCE is applied more and more in clinical examinations based on these assets [1, 7-11].

During the 8-hour examination, the WCE generates at least 2×3600×8=57600 frames of images. It is very laborious and time-consuming to detect the bleeding regions or other related abnormal characters from the large amount of WCE images. It takes more than 3 hours to inspect these images even by a skilled expert, which is not only time-consuming but also leading false detection because of visual fatigue. With the development of WCE, much more frames of high definition images will be generated during one examination course of a patient. It will be even impossible for the physician to review the full length video footage. Therefore it is necessary and urgent to develop computer aided intelligent bleeding...
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A detection technique to process the WCE video automatically.

The Given Image Company provides a software tool to detect the bleeding called Suspected Blood Indicator (SBI). However it was reported to have lower sensitivity of 72% and specificity of 85% [12, 13]. As a consequence it does not free the physician from reviewing the entire footage and should only be used as a fast screening tool. Mackiewicz [14] used color histogram to extracts the bleeding characters and used Support Vector Classification (SVC) to recognize the bleeding WCE images. The procedure of this method is too intricate to be practical in clinic. Bourbakis[15] presented a method of neural network to recognize the bleeding regions in WCE images. However they did not present the neural network structure. They only used 13 images to train the neural network and 3 images to test the method. Moreover the sensitivity of this method was measured as lower than 80% in the experiments. Baopu and Meng [16] presented a three-layer Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) neural network to detect bleeding regions in WCE images. MLP is evolved from linear perceptron which has poor robustness and anti-interference ability, and so is seldom used in the nonlinear pattern recognition. The sensitivity of this method was measured as 90%, unfortunately, the specificity was not presented. Pan[17] built a BP neural network to detect bleeding. Nevertheless BP neural network is slow to process images, and is not feasible to process large amount of WCE images. Some other study works on this topic were reported [18, 19], but few satisfactory results were obtained.

This paper proposes two color vector similarity coefficients to measure the color similarity, and based on them a novel algorithm of bleeding recognition is implemented in RGB color space. The experiments show that the sensitivity of this algorithm is 97% and the specificity is 90%. Compared with existing algorithms, this algorithm is more suitable for WCE images detection. Furthermore, this algorithm can realize the real time detection during the WCE examination course.

II. COLOR VECTOR AND SIMILARITY MEASUREMENT

2.1 Color space and color vector

From the view of application, there are two classes of color space. The first class is the vision and perception oriented color space. The second one is the device oriented color space. HSI is the most common color space of the first class. H (hue) and S (saturation) compose the chroma of color, and I represents the luminance component of color. The most common device oriented color space is RGB. Every color in RGB is composed of three basic color R (red) G (green) and B (blue): $C=rR+gG+bB$ [20], where $C$ denotes some kind of color; $r, g, b$ denote proportional coefficients. An ideal algorithm of digital color image processing should be in the basic device oriented RGB color space, without the complex color space transformation. Furthermore, the processed results should be shown in discrete devices, so another transformation should be saved.

The RGB color space in normalized Cartesian coordinate system is shown in Figure 2. In this system, the black point is on the origin and the white point is on the farthest point $(1,1,1)$. It is clear that each color is equivalent to a point in the cube, and the colors can be represented with the vectors of these points.

![Figure 2. The RGB color space in Cartesian coordinate system.](Image 369x557 to 476x665)

2.2 Color vector similarity coefficient

In color image processing, the color difference measurement is essentially the color similarity measurement, which is the premise of the color image recognition. Distances such as Euler and Mahalanobis distance are often used to measure the color similarity. But the algorithm is not suitable in RGB color space, because RGB is not a uniform linear color space, that is to say the same distance comes with no same color difference. In this section a new algorithm to measure the color similarity applied in RGB is deduced.

A pixel in RGB can be expressed by a 3-dimension vector in Cartesian coordinate system as discussed in Section 2.1. Color $C$ is equivalent to the color vector $C(r,g,b)$, where $r, g, b$ are the components of the color vector. Assumed $C_0$ as a known typical bleeding pixel, the equivalent color vector is $C_d(r_0,g_0,b_0)$. The color similarity measurement between $C$ and $C_0$ is equivalent to the color similarity measurement between the color vectors $C(r,g,b)$ and $C_d(r_0,g_0,b_0)$. Each color vector is uniquely determined by the amplitude and the direction, so the color vector similarity can be judged by the amplitude similarity and the direction similarity, namely the gray intensity similarity and the chroma similarity.

The amplitude of color vector is scalar which can be easily measured. The amplitude similarity between $C(r,g,b)$ and $C_d(r_0,g_0,b_0)$ can be measured by the absolute value of their difference $|C|-|C_0|$. Normalizing the difference, the amplitude similarity coefficient namely the gray intensity similarity $n_{C,C_0}$ is gotten:

$$n_{C,C_0} = 1 - ||C - |C_0|| / |C_0|$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $||C|| = \sqrt{r^2 + g^2 + b^2}$ and $|C_0| = \sqrt{r_0^2 + g_0^2 + b_0^2}$ are the amplitudes of the color vectors $C(r,g,b)$ and $C_d(r_0,g_0,b_0)$ respectively. Formula (1) shows that: bigger the gray intensity similarity coefficient $n_{C,C_0}$ is, more similar the two color vectors are; on the
contrary, smaller \( n_{c.C_{Co}} \) is, less similar they are; when \( |C| \) equals to \( |C_0| \), \( n_{c.C_{Co}} \) equals 1, the max value.

The direction similarity can be measured by the included angle between the two color vectors. As shown in Figure 3, \( \alpha \) is the included angle between the color vectors \( C(r,g,b) \) and \( C_0(r_0,g_0,b_0) \). Consider \( \alpha \) to be invariant, the locus of \( C(r,g,b) \) is a cone whose axis is \( C_0(r_0,g_0,b_0) \), and whose apex is on the origin.

![Figure 3. The color vector similarity measurement.](image)

Figure 3 indicates that smaller \( \alpha \) is, more similar the directions of the two color vectors are; on the contrary, bigger \( \alpha \) is, less similar the directions are. Therefore the value of \( \alpha \) scales the similarity degree of the chroma. According to Vectors’ Inner-Product, \( \cos \alpha \) can be formulized as the following formula:

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{C \cdot C_0}{|C||C_0|} = \frac{r_0r + g_0g + b_0b}{\sqrt{r^2 + g^2 + b^2}\sqrt{r_0^2 + g_0^2 + b_0^2}} \tag{2}
\]

Where, \( C \cdot C_0 = r_0r + g_0g + b_0b \) is the inner product of the two vectors. Formula (2) indicates that bigger the included angle \( \alpha \) is, smaller the function value of \( \cos \alpha \) is, and less similar the directions of the two color vectors are; on the contrary, smaller \( \alpha \) is, bigger \( \cos \alpha \) is, and so more similar the directions are. Therefore, the value of \( \cos \alpha \) scales the similarity degree of the directions, and define it as the direction similarity coefficient, namely the chroma similarity. When \( C \) is proportional to \( C_0 \), namely \( C = kC_0 \) (\( k \) denotes a proportional factor), \( C \) coincides with \( C_0 \) and the directions are exactly same, then:

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{C \cdot C_0}{|C||C_0|} = \frac{r_0r + g_0g + b_0b}{\sqrt{r^2 + g^2 + b^2}\sqrt{r_0^2 + g_0^2 + b_0^2}} = 1 \tag{3}
\]

Obviously the direction similarity coefficient \( \cos \alpha \) gets its max value in this case.

Taken together the measurement principle of the similarity coefficients is that: the similarity measurement of color, which is same to the similarity measurement of color vector, can be judged by the gray intensity similarity and the chroma similarity. The gray intensity similarity is measured by the gray intensity similarity coefficient \( n_{c.C_{Co}} \). Smaller \( n_{c.C_{Co}} \) is, less similar the gray intensity of the two colors are; on the contrary, bigger \( n_{c.C_{Co}} \) is, more similar the colors are; when the gray intensities of the two colors are equivalent, \( n_{c.C_{Co}} \) takes its maximum value 1. The chroma similarity coefficient \( \cos \alpha \) is the cosine value of the included angle between the two color vectors. Smaller \( \cos \alpha \) is, less similar the chroma are; on the contrary, bigger \( \cos \alpha \) is, more similar the chroma are; when the two chroma are exactly same, \( \cos \alpha \) takes its maximum value 1.

### III. BLEEDING DETECTION

#### 3.1 Bleeding pattern feature extraction

A frame of typical bleeding WCE image of the GI tract is shown in Figure 4. The most salient feature of the bleeding region is the color difference. Red as the whole image is, the saturation of the bleeding region looks higher comparing to the non-bleeding region.

![Figure 4. The typical bleeding WCE image and the bleeding region.](image)

The images in Figure 5 is the gray image of the typical bleeding WCE image and the according histogram. The image shows clearly that the gray intensity in the bleeding region is obviously lower than that in the non-bleeding region. The reason is that the saturation in bleeding region is high, namely the color is mixed with little white light, the gray intensity is lower of course; while the saturation in non-bleeding region is low, namely the color is mixed with much white light, the gray intensity is higher. The distributions of bleeding and non-bleeding pixels in the typical bleeding WCE image indicates that there is a certain color range distinguishing bleeding pixels from non-bleeding pixels[21].

![Figure 5. The gray image of bleeding WCE image and the according histogram image.](image)

Comparing the pixels in WCE images with a typical bleeding pixel to measure the color similarity, if the color similarity is low, the two pixels are not similar, therefore the pixel is non-bleeding pixel; if the color similarity is high, the two pixels are similar, and therefore the pixel can be primarily recognized as bleeding pixel. We select a sample group of 181,480 bleeding pixels from 10 frames of WCE images to count the typical bleeding pixel. The mode (the most frequently occurring value) of the sample group is taken as the cluster center \( C_0(r_0,g_0,b_0) \) of
the bleeding pattern. Based on the Traversal algorithm, the mode is calculated as $C_d(109,37,13)$. Taking the cluster center of bleeding pattern $C_d(109,37,13)$ as the typical bleeding pixel, and then the difference between the bleeding pixels and non-bleeding pixels is that the bleeding pixels are similar to the cluster center $C_d(109,37,13)$, while the non-bleeding pixels are not similar to it.

### 3.2 Classifier of pattern based on the similarity coefficient

In the sample group of 181,480 bleeding pixels, comparing all the pixels with the cluster center $C_d(r_0,g_0,b_0)$ to calculate these gray intensity similarity coefficients, $n_{\text{min}}=0.754$ is the minimum one. So let $n_{\text{min}}=0.754$ be the threshold value of the gray intensity similarity coefficient classifying function, and then the classifying function is:

$$d_{\text{I}}(X) = n_{X,C_d} - n_{\text{min}} = n_{X,C_d} - 0.754$$

(4)

Where $X$ is the pixel to be recognized. If $d_{\text{I}}(X)$ is nonnegative, the pixel $X$ is recognized as bleeding pattern; otherwise, the pixel $X$ is recognized as non-bleeding pattern. Consider $B_{\text{I}}(X)$ to be the gray intensity similarity coefficient classifier, and $B_{\text{I}}(X)=1$ is defined as bleeding pattern, $B_{\text{I}}(X)=0$ is defined as non-bleeding pattern. Upon that, the gray intensity similarity coefficient classifier can be formulized as the following function:

$$B_{\text{I}}(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & d_{\text{I}}(X) \geq 0 \\ 0 & d_{\text{I}}(X) < 0 \end{cases}$$

(5)

By the same token, in the sample group of 181,480 bleeding pixels, comparing all these bleeding pixels with the cluster center $C_d(r_0,g_0,b_0)$ to calculate these chroma similarity coefficients, $\cos\alpha_{\text{min}}=0.96$ is the minimum one. So let $\cos\alpha_{\text{min}}=0.96$ be the threshold value of the chroma classifying function, and then the classifying function is:

$$d_{\text{C}}(X) = \cos X_{C_0} - \cos \alpha_{\text{min}} = \cos X_{C_0} - 0.96$$

(6)

Where $<X,C_0>$ denotes the included angle between $X$ and $C_0$. If $d_{\text{C}}(X)$ is nonnegative, the pixel $X$ is recognized as bleeding pattern; otherwise, the pixel $X$ is recognized as non-bleeding pattern. Consider $B_{\text{C}}(X)$ to be the chroma similarity coefficient classifier, and define $B_{\text{C}}(X)=1$ as bleeding pattern, defined $B_{\text{C}}(X)=0$ as non-bleeding pattern, then the chroma similarity coefficient classifier can be formulized as the following function:

$$B_{\text{C}}(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & d_{\text{C}}(X) \geq 0 \\ 0 & d_{\text{C}}(X) < 0 \end{cases}$$

(7)

The contents of WCE images of the GI tract are complex, and many pixels are on the edge between the bleeding pattern and the non-bleeding pattern. So it is hard to simply classify all the pixels into bleeding pattern and non-bleeding pattern. To address this problem, the pixels on the edge are classified into suspicious bleeding pattern. And then each pixel to be recognized can be classified into three patterns: bleeding pattern, non-bleeding pattern and suspicious bleeding pattern. When the output values of $B_{\text{I}}(X)$ and $B_{\text{C}}(X)$ are all 1, that means the gray intensity and chroma of the pixel to be recognized are all similar to the typical bleeding pixel, consequently the pixel is recognized as bleeding pattern; when the output values of the two classifiers are all 0, that means neither the gray intensity nor the chroma is similar to the typical bleeding pixel, consequently the pixel is recognized as non-bleeding pattern; when one output value is 0 and another is 1, the pixel is considered to be on the edge, consequently it is recognized as suspicious bleeding pattern. Consider $d_{\text{out}}(X)$ to be the output pattern classifier, and define the output value B, NB and SB as bleeding pattern, non-bleeding pattern and suspicious bleeding pattern respectively, the output pattern classifier can be formulized as the following function:

$$d_{\text{out}}(X) = \begin{cases} B & B_{\text{I}}(X) \& \& B_{\text{C}}(X) = 1 \\ \text{NB} & B_{\text{I}}(X) \| \ B_{\text{C}}(X) = 0 \\ \text{SB} & \text{others} \end{cases}$$

(8)

Where $\&$ and $\|$ represents the logical AND operation and OR operation.

### 3.3 Bleeding detection algorithm and implementation

Based on the classifier in Formula (8), the bleeding detection algorithm is implemented as the following steps, which is illustrated in Figure 6: (a) Read the WCE image to be detected, remove the black margin and too dark regions, because too dark pixels are hardly recognized even for human, maybe they are unsuccessfully imaged or caused by signal interference; (b) Scan the WCE image pixel by pixel, measuring the gray intensity similarity and chroma similarity between the pixel and bleeding pattern cluster center $C_d(r_0,g_0,b_0)$, then put the results of the two similarity measurements into the classifier $d_{\text{out}}(X)$ to judge. Go on measuring and judging pixel by pixel until the first bleeding pixel in the WCE image is gotten; (c) Take this bleeding pixel as the first seed, and take the output of the classifier $d_{\text{out}}(X)$ as the growing law to go on the seeded-region-growing algorithm. After a new bleeding pattern pixel is gotten, take it as a new seed and go on the seeded-region-growing steps until the whole WCE image is finished; (d) The pixels are classified into bleeding pattern, non-bleeding pattern and suspicious bleeding pattern; (e) If a bleeding area is too small, this bleeding region is not really bleeding pattern because it is impossible for bleeding pixel emerges insularly or only few number.

![Figure 6. The frameworks of the bleeding detection algorithm.](image)

After these steps, the WCE image will be included into the bleeding images if there are bleeding regions, otherwise it will be included into the non-bleeding images.
According to the bleeding detection algorithm and the implementation steps, the bleeding detection application software is programmed, and the WCE images shown in Figure 7 are detected. The images in Figure 7 are the confirmed bleeding WCE images, and the detection results are shown in Figure 8. In the results, red green and yellow regions are the bleeding region, non-bleeding region and suspicious bleeding region respectively. After being filtered out, the small area bleeding regions are marked as the suspicious bleeding regions which are shown as yellow. The detection results show that the bleeding and non-bleeding regions are clearly and correctly marked out and the suspicious bleeding regions are also marked out as yellow.

### IV. Experiments and Analyses

Medical diagnostic applications are often perceived by physicians as providing absolute answers. The absolute answer of the bleeding detection is bleeding image or non-bleeding image. As a consequence, the bleeding images can be possibly recognized as non-bleeding images which are called false non-bleeding ($Fnb$) recognition, and the non-bleeding images can be possibly recognized as bleeding images which are called false bleeding ($FB$) recognition. Others cases are true recognitions and the true bleeding recognition is presented by $TB$, and the true non-bleeding recognition is presented by $Tnb$. To assess the capability of the bleeding detection algorithm, namely the performance of the classifier, sensitivity $\eta_w$ and specificity $\eta_sp$ are adequate which are estimated as: [22]

\[
\eta_w = \frac{\sum Tb}{\sum Tb + \sum Fnb}
\]

\[
\eta_sp = \frac{\sum Tnb}{\sum Tnb + \sum Fb}
\]

To assess the performance of the bleeding detection algorithm, we test the bleeding detection software on the pixel level and the frame level.

#### 4.1 Pixel level test

Totally 20 frames of confirmed bleeding WCE image are chosen for the test on pixel level. Pick the bleeding pixels and the non-bleeding pixels to compose the sample group, which contains 339,850 bleeding pixels and 590,725 non-bleeding pixels. Run the bleeding detection software on the sample group, and the result is shown in Tab 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>detected as bleeding</th>
<th>detected as non-bleeding</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>actually bleeding</td>
<td>312,662</td>
<td>27,188</td>
<td>339,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actually non-bleeding</td>
<td>70,887</td>
<td>519,838</td>
<td>590,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>383,549</td>
<td>547,026</td>
<td>930,575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows the sensitivity and specificity of this algorithm is 92% and 88% respectively. In the test, the suspicious bleeding pixels are considered as non-bleeding pixels for convenience. The most misclassified pixels are those bright-less pixels whose chrominance feature is unobvious, and they are even cannot be detected by human. They are classified into the bleeding sample group just because they are in bleeding region and are recognized by morphological characters. From the bleeding detection steps (shown in Figure 6) we know if there are bleeding regions, the WCE image will be recognized as bleeding image. So misclassification on some pixels won’t affect the results of the detection from the view of physician. Therefore the test on pixel level is not as important as the test on image level.

#### 4.2 Frame level test

For the test on image level, we obtain 150 full length video clips consisting of 14,630 frames of WCE image which are available on the endoscopy organization website. There are 3,172 bleeding images and 11,458 non-bleeding images detected manually. We run the bleeding detection software on these full length video clips and the detection result is shown in the Tab 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>detected as bleeding</th>
<th>detected as non-bleeding</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>actually bleeding</td>
<td>3,087</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actually non-bleeding</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>10,304</td>
<td>11,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>4,241</td>
<td>10,389</td>
<td>14,630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result in Tab 2 shows that the sensitivity of this algorithm is 97% and the specificity is almost 90%. Comparing with Tab 1, the sensitivity on frame level is obviously higher than that on pixel level. The reason is that the most misclassifications of pixels are mostly on the edge of bleeding pattern and non-bleeding pattern, but this kind of misclassification doesn’t affect the image classification. The most image misclassifications mostly occur when the old hemorrhage is present in the WCE images so the bleeding regions are too dark. If set the thresholds of the classifier function more undemanding, the sensitivity will be some higher, while the specificity will be draw down.

### V. Conclusions

WCE is a relatively new technology to examine the entire GI trace non-invasively, painlessly and without a dead zone. But during one examination course of a patient WCE will generate large amount of images. With
the development of this technology, much more high definition images will be generated, then it is even impossible for the physician to analyses the full length video footage. Therefore it is necessary and urgent to develop computer aided intelligent algorithm to inspect the WCE images with low computation complexity.

After studying the color vector similarity measurement deeply, this paper proposes a new algorithm to measure the color similarity using the gray intensity similarity coefficient $n_{C,G}$, and chroma similarity coefficient $cos\alpha$.

Bigger the values of the similarity coefficients $n_{C,G}$ and $cos\alpha$ are, more similar the two color vectors are; on the contrary, smaller the similarity coefficients are, less similar the color vectors are; when the two color vectors are exactly same, the two similarity coefficients both equal 1, their maximum values. Based on the similarity coefficients, the color similarity coefficient classifiers are built which are applied in the RGB color space; combining the classifier with the seeded-region-growing, a novel algorithm of bleeding intelligent detection in WCE image is implemented. The experiments show that the sensitivity and the specificity of this method are 97% and 90% respectively. Compared with existing bleeding detection algorithms, this algorithm is featured with clear concept, low computation complexity and practicability, consequently is more suitable for the video WCE images processing.
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