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Abstract

With the explosive increase in the data traffic of wireless communication systems and the scarcity of spectrum, terahertz (THz) frequency band is predicted as a hopeful contender to shore up ultra-broadband for the forthcoming beyond fifth generation (5G) communication system. THz frequency band is a bridge between millimeter wave (mmWave) and optical frequency bands. The contribution of this paper is to carry out an in-depth study of the THz channel impairments using mathematical models to evaluate the requirements for designing indoor THz communication systems at 300GHz. Atmospheric absorption loss, diffraction loss and free space path loss were investigated and modeled. Finally, we discuss several potential application scenarios of THz and the essential technical challenges that will be encountered in the future THz communications. Finally, the article finds that propagating in the THz spectrum is strongly dependent on antenna gain.
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1. Introduction

Machine-type communications such as the Virtual reality applications, Internet of Things, Internet of Everything, vehicles Ado networks, Artificial intelligence, etc are expected to play an important role in the future wireless networks [1,2]. Especially in industry requiring automation, communication, safety for increased productivity. Edholm notes, is that we may someday see the end of wire line [3] and Moore's law is the observation that the number of transistors in a dense integrated circuit doubles about every two year [4]. This implies bandwidth scarcity in future due to the escalating rate of interconnected devices. Also, the current long term evolution advance (LTE/LTE-A) cellular networks cannot handle such gigantic connections since user terminals at the cell boundary experience very high interference, and therefore perform badly [5]. Furthermore, orthodox cellular systems are designed mainly for human users [1]. The use of a wide range of spectrum in the forth coming fifth generation (5G) communication network including the millimeter wave (MmWave) with its ability to provide large bandwidth from hundreds of MHz to several GHz range is able to offer much more bandwidth and connectivity than the traditional microwave communication below the 6GHz band [2]. Although the data rate provided by mmWave band can attain several Gbps, it is still not adequate to meet the requirement of the increasing data traffics in future wireless communications such as the future wireless local area networks (WLAN) and the wireless personal area networks (WPAN) systems with data rate of 10X Gbps [6]. Also, the least data rate of virtual reality (VR) devices is in the range of 10 Gbps while the data rate of uncompressed ultra-high-definition videos and 3D videos will reach 24 Gbps and 100 Gbps, respectively [6] leading to the need for an urgent research for much higher frequency resources.

The THz spectrum as shown in Fig 1, also called sub-millimeter band covers the frequency range between 100 GHz and 10 THz and has a corresponding wavelengths between 3 mm and 30 µm [7,8]. This spectrum is the next frontier for high-bandwidth wireless communications because of its potential to provide up to Terabits per second (Tbps) link speed and to satisfy beyond fifth generation (5G) communication requirements such as high throughput and low latency. As such there is a strong interest from both academic and industrial sectors to develop efficient THz communication systems and related components [4]. THz band communication attracts such a great deal of attention due to its ability to achieve innovative applications for diverse scenarios, which has the ability to support the much higher speed data rates from tens of Gbps to several Tbips than mmWave band communication. Compared with wireless optical communication, the THz communication system is sensitive to the atmospheric effects in outdoor wireless communications. As for indoor wireless communications, it is much easier for THz frequency band to track the beam than optical frequency band, which will enormously affect the mobility of the wireless communication systems. Beyond that, there is another advantage that THz communication systems have the capability of utilizing the reflection paths to enhance the link gains in indoor applications [6]. As discussed above, a deeper research on THz communications is essential in the near future. THz communication indoor scenarios usually have many wireless blockers like furniture, hospital equipments, kitchen and other domestic apparatus. In other to design
for practical deployment of such systems, it is necessary therefore to provide adequate analysis and channel models that properly describe the environment of deployment. In this paper, we model the absorption, diffraction and the free space path losses of the THz band in the indoor environment in the range. The rest of the paper is arranged as follows, Section II deals with the related works while we considered the THz propagation losses modeling in section III. Section IV considered the methodology while the result analysis is treated in section V. We conclude in section VI.

2. RELATED WORKS

The literature on terahertz band channel models is sparse. In [7], a deterministic channel model for line of sight (LOS) and non line of sight (NLOS) propagation in the 0.1 to 1 THz frequency range was developed using ray tracing method and its channel capacity investigated. The authors of [9] [10] derived the impulse response for the THz band in the 0.1 to 10 THz using the transmittance method. In the work of [11], a novel three dimensional time-varying THz channel model was developed that captures the idiosyncrasies in propagation parameters of indoor THz communications system. The authors of [12] proposed a channel model in the 0.5 to 1.5 THz band to compute the path loss, propagation delay and noise for human tissues and body structures.

3. MODELING TERAHERTZ PROPAGATION LOSSES

(A) Modeling Absorption Loss

Various studies are being conducted to measure the absorption coefficient of THz waves using a mixture of technologies in photonics and electronics such as in [13,14]. In a few years’ time 5G will be saturated in the mm wave and it will be imperative for THz wave’s communication to be used. That is above 100 GHz. Atmospheric absorption as shown in Fig 2,however is a challenge that THz wireless transmission will have to contend with if we are to see the usefulness of it been deployed in practical usage [15]. Many atmospheric molecules like water and oxygen have been studies and known to absorb THz signals obviously due to their microscopic and sub-millimeter sizes of which THz tiny wavelengths cannot pass-over without being absorbed [15].

![Fig 2. Attenuation in dB/km of THz signal[22]](image)

According to Beer–Lambert law [14], the transmittance of material sample is related to its optical depth and to its absorbance A as
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\[ T = \frac{\phi_s}{\phi_r} = e^{-r} \]  

(1)

Where \( \phi_s \) is the radiant flux transmitted by that material sample and \( \phi_r \) is the radiant flux received by that material sample. Using the above to model our loss due to absorption in the Terahertz gap region we have transmittance as [14]

\[ T(f, d) = \frac{P_{rx}(f)}{P_{tx}(f)} = e^{-K_{\sigma,c} f d} \]  

(2)

Where \( T(f, d) \) is transmittance, \( P_{rx}(f) \) represents received power and \( P_{tx}(f) \) represents transmit power and \( K_{\sigma,c} \) is the absorption coefficient at frequency \( f \), which describes the absorption coefficient and determines how far into a material light of a particular wavelength can penetrate before it is absorbed [9]. In a material with a low absorption coefficient, light is only poorly absorbed, and if the material is thin enough, it will appear transparent to that wavelength. The absorption coefficient depends on the material and also on the wavelength of light which is being absorbed. In the THz range, water has high absorption; the absorption coefficient of water is about 250 cm\(^{-1} \) at 1 THz. Water particles as vapor is the main source of molecular absorption in the frequency range above 200GHz [15]. The linearity of the Beer-Lambert law is restricted by chemical and instrumental factors. These includes deviations in absorptivity coefficients at high concentrations (>0.01M) due to electrostatic interactions between molecules in close proximity, the scattering of light due to particulates in the sample as well as the fluorescence or phosphorescence of the sample. Therefore in the estimation of the absorption loss in the 275-400GHz range, we utilize the proposed simplified channel model starts from the assumption of the Lorentz line shape holding for the relative loss. This line shape gives the easiest method to produce a molecular absorption model that only depends on volume mixing ratio of water (humidity) and frequency. The absorption coefficient can be estimated as

\[ k_{\alpha,c} = y_1(f, \mu_w) + y_2(f, \mu_w) + g(f) \]  

(3)

Combining (2) and (3), pathloss due to absorption is

\[ L_{\text{abs}}(f, d, \mu_w) = g y_1(f, \mu_w) + y_2(f, \mu_w) + g(f). \]  

(4)

\( \mu_w \) is the water vapour volume mixing ratio which is given in terms of relative humidity \( \phi \). The \( \mu_w \) has to be computed and is computed as [16]

\[ \mu_w = \frac{\phi}{100} \frac{P_{\text{pv}}(T, p)}{p} \]  

(5)

where \( P_{\text{pv}} \) is the partial pressure of water vapor at the condition of the saturated water vapor partial pressure \( P_{\text{pv}} \) under pressure \( p \) and temperature \( T \). The \( P_{\text{pv}} \) can be computed by the buck equation. The vapor pressure of water is the pressure at which water vapor is in thermodynamic equilibrium with its condensed state. At higher pressures water would condense [16]. The water vapor pressure is the partial pressure of water vapor in any gas mixture in equilibrium with solid or liquid water. The water vapors volume mixing ratio is calculated with saturated water vapor partial pressure [17] as
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\[ P_{pw} = 0.61221e^{\left(\frac{18.678}{234.84} - \frac{T}{257.14 + T}\right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where \( P_{pw} \) is the saturation vapor pressure in hPa, \( \exp \) is the natural (i.e. base e) exponential function, \( T \) is the air temperature in degrees Celsius and the parameters in equation (4) are calculated based on [17] as;

\[ y_1(f, \mu_w) = \frac{y_e(p_w)}{y_e(\mu_w) + \left(\frac{f-c}{100c}\right)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

\[ y_2(f, \mu_w) = \frac{y_c(p_w)}{y_c(\mu_w) + \left(\frac{f-c}{100c}\right)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

And

\[ g(f) = p_1f^3 + p_2f^2 + p_3f + p_4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

This model accuracy high for link distances of up to 1 km in standard atmospheric conditions.

(B) Modeling Diffraction Loss

Diffraction is a non-line-of-sight propagation phenomenon and is the "Bending" of wave fronts around obstacles. Diffraction occurs with all propagating waves, including sound waves, waves on water waves in materials and electromagnetic waves [18]. The effect of diffraction is generally only noticeable for waves where the wavelength is similar to the size of the diffracting object. Environments where propagation of waves travel might surely have obstacles which obstruct LOS signal between Tx and Rx. Idealized models for the evaluation of loss of signals due to diffraction by such obstacles are available. The form factor and geometric shape of the obstacles considered in these models maybe too idealized for real-life applications, but nevertheless, these models can serve as a good reference. One of such is the single knife-edge diffraction model. The model depicted in Figure 3 considers two idealized cases where a sharp obstacle is placed between the transmitter and the receiver Utilizing the geometric parameters in the figure, the diffraction loss can be evaluated with the help of a single, dimension-less quantity called Fresnel-Krichoff diffraction parameter - \( \nu \). Based on the availability of information, any of equation (10) - (12) can be used to calculate this parameter as found in [19].

\[ \nu = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2}\right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[ \nu = \theta \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa(d_1 + d_2)}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)
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Where, $h$ is the height of the top of the obstacle above the straight line joining the two ends of the path. If the height is below this line, $h$ is negative. $d_1$ and $d_2$ are distances of the two ends of the path from the top of the obstacle $\theta$ is the angle of diffraction (radians) and its sign is the same as that of $h$. The angle $\theta$ is assumed to be less than about 0.2 radians, or roughly 12º while $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are angles in radians between the top of the obstacle and one end as seen from the other end.

In indoor scenario when THz wireless is used for indoor wireless communication, there are usually domestic objects that can serve as obstacles and blockages for THz beam. It is not visible to assume LOS condition alone and hence imperative to consider diffraction losses to be able to model realistically THz communication characteristic. The model considers to study diffraction is the single sharp knife edge model which considers scenario where two sharp objects is in between Tx and Rx, the diffraction loss can be calculated with the help of the Fresnel-kirchoff diffraction parameter $v$.

![Fig.3. Diffraction single knife-edge obstacle having (a) positive heights and (b) negative heights](image)

Once the fresnel-kirchoff diffraction parameter has been estimated from the geometry, the signal level due to the single knife–edge can be evaluated by integrating the contributions provided by the unhindered parts of the wavefronts. The diffraction loss is hence obtained as [19]

$$G(v) = 20\log_{10} \left[ 0.5 \sqrt{1 - C(v) - S(v)} + [C(v) - S(v)]^2 \right] dB$$

(13)

Where $C(v)$ and $S(v)$ are the real and imaginary part of the complex Fresnel integral $F(v)$ which is given as

$$F(v) = \frac{(1+j)}{2} \int_{v}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -\frac{j\pi v^2}{2} \right] dt$$

(14)

The diffraction gain/loss can be computed by employing numerical methods but simplified for the case where $v>-0.7$ the approximation in equation xx can be used

$$G(v) = 6.9 + 20\log_{10} \left( \sqrt{(v-0.1)^2 + 1} \right) + v - 0.1 dB$$

(15)

(C) Modeling FSPL
Free-space path loss is often defined as the loss incurred by a radio signal as it travels in a straight line through a vacuum with no absorption or reflection of energy from nearby objects [15]. Free-space path loss assumes ideal atmospheric conditions so that no electromagnetic energy is actually lost or dissipated, it merely spreads out as it propagates away from the source, resulting in lower relative power densities. A more appropriate term for the phenomena is spreading loss. Free Space Path Loss (or FSPL) represents the amount of energy that a given radio wave loses as it travels through the air away from its source. Understanding FSPL will help us understand how far a Wi-Fi signal can go. It is also widely used by Wi-Fi survey tools to predict Wi-Fi signal propagation. FSPL is also applicable to any other waves using other frequencies. This loss is relative to 2 main components which are the frequency and distance. It is something that we can calculate by applying this mathematical formula (d in km and f in GHz):

$$P_r(d)_{dBm} = P_t + G_t + G_r + 20 \log_{10}(4\pi d) - 10 \log_{10}(L)$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

4. METHODOLOGY

One major merit of the FSPL model is the probability of appending it with additional loss component so that other various propagation impairments can be included to create a more realistic scenario. These other losses gain coefficient can be multiplied by the estimated free space coefficient. Table 1 shows simulation parameters.

Table 1: Simulation parameters [20]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance of Tx to Obstacle</td>
<td>40 meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance of Rx to Obstacle</td>
<td>60 meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>300GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Height of obstacle</td>
<td>0.8 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature in K</td>
<td>302.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>101325.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Path loss exponent</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c_1</td>
<td>10.835 cm^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c_2</td>
<td>12.664 cm^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p_1</td>
<td>5.54x10^{-37} Hz^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p_2</td>
<td>-3.94x10-25 Hz^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p_3</td>
<td>9.06x10-14 Hz^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p_4</td>
<td>-9.36x10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_A</td>
<td>0.2205 (\mu_w^{0.0294})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_B</td>
<td>(0.4093 (\mu_w^{0.0925}))^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_C</td>
<td>2.014 (\mu_w^{0.1702}) (0.0303)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_D</td>
<td>(0.537 (\mu_w^{0.0956}))^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 4. Pathloss as a function of the distance

Fig. 5. Pathloss as a function of the distance

Fig. 6. Pathloss simulation [21]
5. RESULT ANALYSIS

The demonstration of the simulation behavior of the THz link in terms of Frequency, distance, Path gain and path loss with the combined effect of Absorption loss, diffraction and free space path loss is discussed in this section with various range of frequency from 100-300 GHz. For even though the model applied is proven to be valid between 275-400. The transmission distance, path lengths ranging from 1 to 100 m are considered, and graphs illustrates the path gain as a function of the frequency for different distances, assuming standard atmospheric conditions and \( Gt = Gr = 1 \). The results derived with the presented path gain model are matching with the results derived by the Beer-Lambert law; thus, verifying the accuracy of the presented model. Validation was performed using the University of Buffalo THz nano online simulator as shown in Fig 6 and comparison done as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Comprising with University of Buffalo THz simulator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUR MODEL</th>
<th>UNIVERSITY OF BUFFALO UB NANO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathloss at 100Ghz is</td>
<td>Pathloss at 100Ghz is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116DB and 108dB</td>
<td>130dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathloss at 300Ghz is</td>
<td>Pathloss at 300Ghz is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136DB and 121dB</td>
<td>140dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moreover, from Fig 4 and 5, it is apparent that for the transmission window under consideration, as the distance increases, the path gain decreases. We can see from the FSPL + Diffraction plot that from 30m we had 140dB and 55m it increased to 145dB and hence just a 20m difference introduced over 5dB path loss, while within a 90m difference we had a pathloss of over 50% increment. Steep pathloss value was observed between the first 10m.

When antenna gain was increased theoretically to 10dB as shown in Fig 7, the table tabulated below shows the effect of antenna gain on pathloss improvement. Table 3 shows the impact of increasing antenna gain to combat the severe path loss.

![Free Space Path Loss+Single knife edge diffraction+absorption loss](image)

**Fig.7. Pathloss simulation with improved antenna gain.**
Table 3: Impact of Increased Antenna Gain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna Gain = 1 dB at 90m (FSPL+DIF+ABSORPTION)</th>
<th>Antenna Gain 10 dB at 90m-FSPL+DIF+ (ABSORPTION)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150dB</td>
<td>130dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSION

In this research a channel system model was presented which included diffraction loss as well as free space path loss and absorption loss due to molecular interaction with THz waves. The model links used do not exceed 400 GHz and were utilized to evaluate the performance of THz link in the presence of these path loss parameters. Validation was done using the university of buffalo model which we carried it through real life measurement and hence it is imperative that more measurement campaign must be performed to develop the more robust and realistic modeling (Mutaz et all) and recommended that the THz in various geographical location. Also the importance of developing low power and High gain directional antenna is inevitable if the usefulness of the THz band will be applied to build wireless communication devices. Terahertz sources are another area that must constantly bee researched, with the applicability of graphene based sources and femto pulse lasers, the THz link is promising for future high speed applications.
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