Abstract—In previous years, wireless sensor networks (WSNs) have fascinated lot of consideration from the scientific and technical society. The distributed characteristics and dynamic topology of sensor networks initiates very peculiar necessities in routing schemes that supposed to be met. The key feature of efficient routing protocol is energy expenditure and extension in lifetime of network. In past few years, various routing algorithms have been presented for WSNs. In this work, we focus on cluster based routing algorithms and propose a new algorithm for routing in WSNs. We perform the analysis of our new cluster based algorithms with existing algorithm on the basis of performance metrics. Simulation results shows that proposed algorithm outperform the other existing algorithms of his category.
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I. INTRODUCTION

New advancement in MEMS and wireless technologies allows the micro autonomous system comprised of small tiny devices known as sensors. These sensors can detect, compute and communicate via suitable sensor technology that gives birth to wireless sensor network [1-3]. Deployment ease and low cost sensors make wireless sensor network suitable for many applications like: health care, transportation, smart building, and environmental monitoring etc. Because of the limitations on the power, bandwidth and processing capability, efficient routing becomes a key issue in sensor network [2]. Routing methods in WSN are responsible for exploring and retaining energy efficient paths, to facilitate reliable and efficient communication [4,5]. The energy efficient routing protocols (EERP) are broadly categorized as: network structured, communication modelled, topology, and reliability based routing schemes [6,7]. The network structure based protocols can be broadly categorized as: flat structured and hierarchy based protocols. The communication model based protocols can be categorized as: negotiation or coherent/non-coherent or query based. Topology based schemes can be divided into location or mobile agent based. Reliable routing schemes can be categorized as: QoS or multipath based. Clustering is a process used to handle the energy usage effectively [8,9]. In this scheme, each and every group of sensors has a head node recognized as cluster head (CH) that perform data fusion and data forwarding toward the base station (BS) or sink node [10-12]. Numerous cluster based routing algorithms have been reported in text to extend the network life span. A clustered WSN is shown in Fig. 1. The LEACH is most popular routing protocol due to its simplicity and efficiency [13]. LEACH divides the complete network into a various clusters, and execution time of system is partitioned into several rounds. In every round, the member node contends to be CH in accordance with some specified criterion. However, this protocol does not guarantee that the preferred amount of CHs is selected in the network. Some enhancement on LEACH is reported in literature [14-16].

In this work, we proposed an improved clustering algorithm to increase network lifetime. The main initiative in our new algorithm is CH election with consideration of residual energy. The rotating epoch for every node is dissimilar in accordance with owned primary and remaining energy. The nodes that have higher opening and remaining energy value will be more probable to turn into CH per round per iteration. CHs gathers data from the other sensor nodes in its particular clusters then perform data aggregation and forward that to BS. The key idea of proposed algorithm is the election
of CH which can lessen the intra-cluster distance and optimize the network energy.

The rest of the paper is structured as. In section II, previous work on this topic is summarized. The proposed method is expressed in section III. Section IV illustrates the simulation results and analysis. At last, section V concludes the work.

II. RELATED WORK

In this section, we focus on the similar work reported in the literature. Routing is a process of selecting best path in the network. So, routing protocols are liable for discovering and managing efficient routes in the network. Energy efficiency is an essential issue in WSN routing. Hence, development of energy efficient routing protocols becomes a hot research issue in sensor networks. The energy efficient routing protocols (EERP) can be broadly classified based on four parameters as follows: network structure, computation model, topology, reliability [17]. The taxonomy of EERP is shown in Fig. 2. Here, we focus on the hierarchical or cluster based routing protocols. Several hierarchical routing protocols for WSNs are given by various researchers. LEACH is an eminent protocol of this category
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**Fig.2. Taxonomy of Energy Efficient Routing Protocols in WSNs**

LEACH is a hierarchical routing protocol that reduces the energy expenditure in the network. LEACH outperforms the classical routing algorithm since it uses adaptive clustering scheme. But when a CH dies in the LEACH that cluster is of no use since data gathered at CH will not reach the BS. LEACH suffers from the problem of CH rotation overhead in every round. LEACH elects the CH depend on the random number in the range (0,1]. If chosen number is fewer than threshold T(n) then node become CH for present round. Energy efficient hierarchical clustering algorithm (EEHCA) uses a novel process for CH election with the idea of backup CHs to get better efficiency of the network [18]. The distributed energy efficient clustering (DEEC) uses initial and remaining energy level of node for CH selection. It is developed for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks.

III. PROPOSED WORK

In this section, we illustrate the system model and optimal clustering used in our protocol and also gives detailed description of proposed algorithm.

A. System model

Here, we illustrate the network model and radio energy model used in proposed protocol.

1) Network model

Let us consider a network model alike to that utilized in LEACH with the following characteristics:

i. Every node carry out sensing tasks sporadically and all the time send data to BS.

ii. A fixed BS can be placed inside or outside the network area.

iii. The entire nodes are fixed and energy restrained.

iv. Each and every node is capable of working in CH mode as well as in sensing manner.

v. Data fusion is utilized to lessen the overall data.

2) Radio energy model

In this work, we employ radio energy model (REM) given in Fig. 3. In REM, transmitter depletes energy to operate radio as well as power amplifier, moreover the receiver uses up the energy to operate radio. The radios can carry out the power control and thus utilize minimum energy needed to get to the destined recipients. Because of attenuation, energy loss model is applied for small and long distances. Hence, to realize signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in broadcasting l-bit packet over distance d, the energy dissipated by radio is specified as:

$$E_{TX} (l,d) = \begin{cases} lE_{elec} + l\varepsilon_{fs}d^2, & \text{if } d < d_0 \\ lE_{elec} + l\varepsilon_{mp}d^4, & \text{if } d \geq d_0 \end{cases}$$

(1)

Wherever, $E_{elec}$ represents energy depleted per bit to operate the electronic circuitry, $\varepsilon_{fs}$ and $\varepsilon_{mp}$ depends on amp model, d denotes the distance among sender and receiver. The $d_0$ is the threshold transmission distance. The threshold distance $d_0$ is given by:

Equating the equation (1) for $d = d_0$

$$lE_{elec} + l\varepsilon_{fs}d_0^2 = lE_{elec} + l\varepsilon_{mp}d_0^4$$

$$d_0 = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\varepsilon_{fs}}{\varepsilon_{mp}}}$$

To obtain l-bit message, the radio uses

$$E_{RX} (l) = lE_{elec}$$

(2)
The objective of PSO is to discover the optimal number of clusters as:

\[ k_{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \left( \frac{m}{d_{BS}} \right) \]  

If distance of a considerable proportion of nodes to sink is larger than \( d_0 \) in that case optimal value of clusters is given as:

\[ k_{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{mp}}{d_{BS}} \right) \frac{m}{\epsilon_{mp}} \]  

The optimal probability of a node to turn out to be CH is computed as:

\[ p_{opt} = \frac{k_{opt}}{n} \]  

The optimal probability of a node to turn into CH is extremely essential. If clusters are not created in best possible manner, the total energy usage for each round is raised exponentially.

C. Particle swarm optimization

The PSO is an optimization scheme, modeled behind the societal behavior of a flock of birds or fishes [19, 20]. In PSO, a swarm specifies the no. of possible solutions to a complex problem, where every probable solution is known as a particle. The objective of PSO is to discover the particle location that outcomes the best assessment of a specified fitness function. During initialization phase of PSO, every particle set initial parameters in random fashion and is flown throughout the multidimensional search space. In every generation, every particle make use of the info about its earlier individual best position as
well as global best position to maximize the possibility of moving in the direction of a better answer space that will results in improved fitness and update its candidate solutions in accordance with the following equations:

\[\begin{align*}
V_d(t) &= \phi_1 \times V_d(t-1) + C_1 \times \Delta \times [P_d - X_d(t-1)] + C_2 \times \Delta \times [P_d - X_d(t-1)] \\
X_d(t) &= X_d(t-1) + V_d(t)
\end{align*}\]

(10)

(11)

Where, \( V \) and \( X \) represents particle velocity and position, \( t \) is time, \( C_1, C_2 \) are learning coefficients, \( \phi_1, \phi_2 \) are the numbers generated randomly among 0 & 1. \( P_d \) is particle’s best position individually and \( P_{gd} \) is particle’s best position globally.

D. Proposed algorithm

The general model of proposed energy efficient clustering algorithm is shown in Fig. 4. Starting with data collection it consists of the computation like figuring out the power of all sensor nodes and preliminary node clustering over the measurement space and the initialization. The initialization is for planning the position of every sensor in evaluation space and using PSO to get the initial position of the head of every cluster. Afterward regular operation mode is entered, it comprised of two phases those are subsequent.
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Like LEACH, our proposed algorithm has two phases adaptive clustering (set up phase) and data transfer (steady state phase). This is still running until the network becomes idle, either by the node deaths or by BS commands. During the adaptive clustering phase, BS broadcasts a message at a certain power containing its ID info. The BS decides the number and best possible size of clusters depending upon the network size and node density. The sink then sends control packet to every node informing about the protocol to be utilized. These control packets consists of all necessary info needed for steady state functioning of the procedure. In steady state phase, non head nodes gather data and forward to its CH. Similarly, each CH sends data to BS. After each round, a CH checks its remaining energy with respect to threshold rate for a CH transform. If so, it generate a signal and lets its members realize that CH is just going to alter. Thereafter, it behaves like a non-CH node. The CH rotation process continues till each node in a cluster gets a possibility to be a CH. Once the final node reached to the be the threshold rate, the algorithms starts over and run the identical CH schedule.

The LEACH is an iterative algorithm moreover iteration is known as round. A round is known to be the time interval where all member nodes transmit to CH. In set up phase of LEACH, every node generates number randomly among 0 &1. If chosen value is a less than a specific value, threshold \( T(s) \), then node turns out to be CH for that round. The \( T(s) \) is chosen as:

\[T(s) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
p_{opt} & \text{if } s \in G \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right.\]

(12)

Where \( p_{opt} \) is the preferred fraction of CH among the entire nodes, \( r \) denotes the present round no., \( G \) represents the node’s group that have not been selected CHs in previous \( (1/p_{opt}) \) rounds, and \( s \) represent the present CH node.

Most of the energy efficient techniques in the literature minimizing the average wasted energy [21-24]. Hence, they take into effect only residual energy. The network life span depends both on the average exhausted energy and average transmission energy. The final aim is to maximize the network life span so one needs to balance the both.

We propose the new threshold function to improve the threshold function used by LEACH be as:

\[T(s') = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
p_{opt} \times r \mod (1/p_{opt}) & \text{if } s' \in G \\
E_{MMRE} \times E_{Avg} & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right.\]

(13)

Where \( E_{MMRE} \) is the maximum of minimum residual energies, \( E_{Avg} \) is the average energy and \( s' \) is the present CH.

The average energy at \( r^{th} \) round is specified by:

\[E_{Avg}(r) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left( 1 - \frac{r}{R} \right)\]

(14)

Where \( R \) is the life span of network, which assume that each node consume same quantity of energy in every round. Considering that the entire nodes dead at the identical time. Thus, \( R \) denotes whole rounds in which network is alive. The \( R \) is given as:

\[R = \frac{E_{total}}{E_{round}}\]

(15)
IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The performance analysis of proposed algorithm is done with help of MATLAB 2015a. In the network, 100 nodes are organized in random fashion in 100mx100m area where BS is situated in the middle. The performance assessment of proposed work is done as per the certain parameters given as:

Energy dissipation: remaining energy with the nodes.
No. of alive nodes: quantity of nodes that have not so far exhausted their power.
No. of dead nodes: quantity of nodes that have used all of their power and are unable to perform any function.
Packet sent to BS: number of packet sends to BS.
No. of CHs: number of nodes that have chosen CHs over rounds.

The PEECA is evaluated with LEACH, DEEC, in term of dead and alive nodes over rounds, and energy expenditure. The total number of rounds used in experiment is 10,000. The simulation network parameters are summarized in Table 1. PSO specifications are revealed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_0$</td>
<td>0.5 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{elec}$</td>
<td>5 nJ/bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{TX}=E_{RX}$</td>
<td>50 nJ/bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{fs}$</td>
<td>10 pJ/bits/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{mp}$</td>
<td>0.0013 pJ/bits/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{DA}$</td>
<td>5 nJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet size</td>
<td>500 bytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particle size</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_1, C_2$</td>
<td>2.0, 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 shows the comparative analysis of remaining energy of the proposed algorithm with LEACH and DEEC and it is clear that proposed algorithm have better remaining energy as compared to LEACH and DEEC.

Fig. 6 illustrates the no. of dead nodes per round demonstrating stability of the network.

Fig. 7 illustrates the no. of alive nodes per round.

Fig. 8 shows the no. of packet sends to BS.

Fig. 9 shows the cluster head selection over rounds.
The proposed algorithm (PEECA) is more efficient in terms of extending the network life time and number of packet received at BS is high as compared to LEACH and DEEC. Table 3 shows the efficiency of energy optimization that is attained using PEECA of variable clustering of wireless sensor networks assessment with different algorithms.

Table 3. Energy Optimization of Different Schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Energy optimization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed (PEECA)</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEEC</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEACH</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Security is also an important issue in clustering routing protocols in WSNs [25].

V. CONCLUSION

This paper illustrates a PSO based clustering algorithm (PEECA) for wireless sensor networks. We presented an optimized clustering algorithm where the key focus is to improve the CH election process. CHs are chosen in every cluster based on remaining node energy and average energy of network. As of the simulation results, it is clear that stability time of system is improved as compared to LEACH and DEEC. The existing node energy in our proposed algorithm is better as compared to LEACH and DEEC. So, we state that the proposed algorithm has improved energy efficiency and useful in extending the network life span.
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