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Abstract — Advanced heterogeneous vehicular network (AHVN) is a promising architecture for providing vehicular services in the next generation of vehicular networks. AHVN is an integrated architecture between vehicular ad hoc networks and existing cellular wireless networks. In this work, we propose a Multihop vehicular connectivity model in V2V system, which depends on the physical characteristics of the roadways and false hop initiation connectivity. Then, we determine the failure probability of vehicular connectivity in V2V system. Based on interoperability utility, we employ the failure connectivity probability as a handover criterion to communicate with V2R networks. Subsequently, we propose an efficient medium access control (MAC) method based on collaborative codes for resource management in AHVN. As a result, we determine the failure access probability by employing a Markov chain model. The analysis of the proposed MAC in terms of transmission capacity, delay and access failure probability is driven. The numerical and simulation results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed framework.

Index Terms — Failure Connectivity Probability; Failure Access Probability; MAC; V2V; V2R; AHVN.

I. INTRODUCTION

Vehicle to vehicle (V2V) and vehicle to roadside infrastructure (V2R) communications are usually integrated to design a ubiquitous, cooperative communication system, based on the coverage area. V2V communications involve vehicular nodes on the road that form a vehicular ad hoc network (VANET). However, V2R involves vehicular nodes, roadside base stations and access points connected with Internet. On the other hand, intelligent transportation systems (ITSs) are poised to play a fundamental rule in introducing the updated and most suitable solutions for safety applications, while non-safety services engage communication in with the commercial wireless networks (GSM, UMTS/HSPA and WiMAX/LTE).

In order to support various safety and non-safety services with the desired quality of service (QoS), vehicles in vehicular networks should not only follow specific protocols, but also cooperate with each other, to enhance the overall network performance and efficiently utilize resources [1] and [2]. Therefore, the idea of combining V2V based systems with the current cellular systems is a feasible solution not only for improving the sustainability of the ITS (particularly for safety related applications), but also for increasing the throughput. The vehicular communications have recently envisioned interests from both academia and industry. The main important cooperation-related parameter between V2R and V2V is calculating the parameter of the communication path known as dedicated short range communications (DSRC) cost.

However, DSRC technology will only be effective when it is ubiquitously deployed but this will not happen due to the restrictions of DSRC deployment in passenger’s vehicles. On the other hand, IEEE 802.11p standards have issued the wireless access in vehicular environment (WAVE) to support vehicular services [3] and [4]. Most studies in the area of vehicular networks only provide a single type of service in such networks and are only based on a non-cooperative radio access networks. Therefore, the integration of existing wireless access technologies with ITS enables a plethora of services, such as accidents avoidance, emergency calls, traffic congestion control, vehicle entertainment, Internet access and mobile business.

The advanced heterogeneous vehicular network (AHVN) is a promising candidate network for next generation vehicular networks [5]. AHVN deploys multiple radios and multiple access technologies in a cooperative communication’s manner and thereby vehicular services can be supported through V2V and V2R integration. V2R communications involve vehicular nodes and road side base stations. In sequel, each vehicle can send or receive data to/from base station (BS). However, if a vehicle could not directly send its data to BS, it can relay its data to other vehicle until the data reach the BS using Multihop path transmission. In addition, by deploying AHVN, the
vehicles are able to request social services with different requirements in terms of delay, bandwidth, error rate, coverage area, etc. at any time and any place. Current access technologies such as wireless LANs (WLAN IEEE 802.11a/b/g/n/p standards), WiMAX (IEEE 802.16 a/e standards), ultra wideband (UWB IEEE 802.15.3a standard), 3G and 4G cellular mobile networks are designed for specific service requirements [5].

Without loss of generality, we deploy a 4G wireless technology (backward compatibility with 2G, 3G) for vehicular services that provides a very broad coverage, supports high-mobility vehicles and smoother handover. However, the capacity of BS may be diminished when the code collision happens. Subsequently, the management of the wireless access in AHVN is also a challenge issue. In this study, we firstly propose a Multihop connectivity model in order to determine the failure probability of vehicular connectivity \( P_f \) in V2V system, \( P_f \) depends on the probability of false hop connectivity, headway distance and received signal strength (RSS). Secondly, based on the interoperability utility, we employ the failure connectivity probability as a handover criterion to communicate with V2R. Next, we propose an efficient medium access control (MAC) collaborative-based codes scheme for resource management in AHVN. Finally, we developed a Markov chain model in order to determine the probability of failure access to V2R system.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The related work is discussed in Section II. A vehicular communications framework in AHVN which includes the interoperability mechanism between V2V and V2R system is presented in Section III. In Section IV, we present the numerical and simulation results that evaluate the performance of the proposed framework. Finally, a brief conclusion of this work is given in Section V. The mathematical notations and their physical meaning that will be used in this work are illustrated in Table 1.

**II. RELATED WORK**

Intelligent transportation systems (ITS) have issued the standards for vehicular communications, according to inter-vehicle communications (IVC) technology. This type of communication is dynamic by nature due to the vehicle mobility and network topology, i.e. called vehicular ad hoc network (VANET) or vehicle to vehicle V2V, enabling the deployment of Vehicular Social Networks. The connectivity probability in V2V has been studied through many research works by analytical and simulation models [6] and [18]. However, emerging wireless services such as social services in vehicular networks make the medium access of V2V system not suitable to achieve the QoS requirements of such services. Therefore, the integration architecture of V2V and the existing wireless access technology would contribute to better access services and to achieve the QoS requirements. In [5], the authors presented different architectures of advanced heterogeneous vehicular network (AHVN) for vehicular telematics services. They lightened the major challenges and approaches for designing the AHVN such as wireless access strategies, network selection, MAC protocols, etc.

The authors in [7], proposed the basic guidelines design for network integration architecture of V2V and 3G networks. The main purpose of this pivotal research is to select only a minimum number of vehicles to communicate with 3G network as gateways. In sequel, the authors in [8] introduced integration architecture between V2V and 3G networks by deploying clustering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 Mathematical notations and physical meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mathematical notations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L, W )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_c, V_o, V_n )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I, d )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda, m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_c )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{false} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_f )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi, \delta, \phi_{min} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_l )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I(P) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta ) and ( g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{ac} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Although the scenario is both feasible and successful, the presence of trusted super-entity, centralizing audit mechanisms, is essential. In [22], a scheduling policy is introduced and analyzed to effectively integrate the unremitting issues of social needs into lower network modules. The authors examine the Centrality Degree i.e. an entity is more central than others if it is more visible /prominent to other entities. Moreover, the proposed scheduling solution encompasses two additional factors: the level of service request from each node and the separate service types that request is comprised of to derive a scheduling decision.

To the best of our knowledge, no research discussed the failure connectivity probability (Pi) in V2V system that is caused by different parameters such as the physical characteristics of the roadways, vehicular traffic density, broadcast signaling delay, false hop initiation connectivity. Moreover, the interoperability mechanism for employing the failure connectivity probability as handover criterion for establishing a communication link to V2R is proposed.

III. FRAMEWORK FOR VEHICULAR CONNECTIVITY IN AHVN

The framework for vehicular connectivity in AHVN is mainly based on the interoperability between V2V and V2R networks. A simplified architecture for the AHVN is illustrated in Fig.1.

![Figure 1: A simplified architecture of AHVN](image)

The basic components of the network infrastructure are V2V system based-Multihop strategy, BS, core mobile networks and Internet. The deployment of AHVN enables vehicles to get access to BS outside their transmission range via transmission strategies, single hop or Multihop transmission, which improves the network coverage [5]. Further, cooperative use of the multiple radios enhances the performance of the networks by allowing more parallel transmissions in different frequencies. The connectivity over V2V networks depends on inter-vehicle connectivity (IVC) basis and Multihop transmission strategy. In addition, the vehicle can directly connect through a single hop path as long as its location inside the coverage area of the serving BS. On the other hand, the vehicle can use a
V2V Multihop path connectivity to communicate with BS during its movement from one cell segment to other [15].

A. Interoperability utility between V2V and V2R systems

In order to provide interoperability communication between V2V with V2R systems, two important concerns need to be effectively addressed. This functionality could be accomplished with the ability of vehicle to select the appropriate access network during its roaming from one cell to the other. Firstly, the vehicle may establish a Multihop connectivity link by using DSRC technology in V2V network according to physical characteristics of roadway such as headway distance, vehicular traffic density, hop false initiation connectivity and received signal strength (RSS).

However, this connectivity may fail due to unavailability of physical link in V2V network; hence the probability of failure connectivity (Pf) in V2V network should be estimated. The next claim, the received signal strength (RSS) at vehicle will be deteriorated; thereby we make use of the interoperability utility and the probability of failure connectivity (Pf) in order to access collaborative codes in roadside infrastructure (V2R) to get the vehicular services or Internet services. Therefore, we compute the successful transmission probability in V2R network taking into account the probability of failure connectivity (Pf) in V2V network. The algorithm of interoperability communications between V2V and V2R systems can be concluded as follows:

$$f_{v}(v_s) = \frac{1}{v_{max} - v_{min}}, \quad v_{max} < v_s < v_{min} \quad (1)$$

B. V2V system

1) Mobility model

In inter-vehicle connectivity (IVC) systems, vehicles equipped with wireless communication devices along roadways establish V2V system [16]. The feasible connectivity depends on the vehicular traffic density on the roadway. The probability of whether or not a vehicle equipped with wireless communication devices is random with the probability of market penetration rate, denoted by $\mu$ or (1- $\mu$) respectively. We consider the roadway, segmented into microcells with $n$ up and $n$ down lanes, and we assume each lane with length $L$ and width $W$, as shown in Fig.2. The movement of the vehicles in each lane is considered to be in the same direction. We consider the scenario in which a vehicle (Vi) is currently connected by old vehicle (Vo). Vi is moving with a space mean velocity vs. Let vs be uniformly distributed in [$v_{min}, v_{max}$], therefore the probability density function (pdf) of vs can be expressed as follows:

$$f_{v}(v_s) = \frac{1}{v_{max} - v_{min}}, \quad v_{max} < v_s < v_{min} \quad (1)$$

There is always a relationship between the mobility of vehicles and the network connectivity of the ad hoc system. For example, during its course of movement in a typical lane, vehicle Vi is connected with old vehicle Vo and hops a new communication path with new vehicle Vn

Distance headway ($h_i$) is a key parameter in calculating the overall capacity of vehicular ad hoc network [17]. Therefore, distance headway is related to vehicular traffic density ($Dv$) as follows $1/Dv$. The relationship between the volume of vehicular traffic $Qv$ and density is given by $Qv= Dv× vfs$, where $vs$ is a function of the vehicular velocity through the following relation, $vs= vf \times [1- Dv/Djam]$, where $vf$ is the mean velocity for free flow conditions and $Djam$ is the density under jam traffic.

Under free flow, all vehicles are able to move at the velocity characteristics of the roadway, as determined by its physical features, velocity limits, traffic lights, etc. When vehicle Vi reaches the point $P$ at distance ($d$) of the distance headway ($h_i$) then it probably hops with the new Vn, during the desirable distance headway before being out of transmission range. When Vi is located at point $P$, we assume that it can

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 1 - Failure Connectivity in V2V Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 For each vehicle $V_i$ that asks a connectivity link in a particular cell of roadway with length $L$ &amp; width $W$, do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Measure the market penetration rate ($\mu$), vehicular traffic density $D_v$, distance headway ($d_v$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 According to $V_i$ moving direction ($\theta$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Estimate vehicular velocity $v_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Using DSRC technology, maximum transmission range ($R_o$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Determine the probability of connectivity ($P_C$), otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 If no connectivity availability in V2V network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Measure false hop initiation probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Then using the previous measurements to find optimal headway distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Measure the received signal strength (RSS), $\phi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 If $(\phi &gt; \phi_o)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Successful connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Else</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Failure connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Compute the failure probability of vehicular connectivity ($P_f$) which will be used in V2R system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 2 Access Failure in V2R Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 If $V_i$ fails to have a connectivity link in V2V network, with $P_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 If $P_f$ is the interoperability function based on RSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 In V2R network, licensed vehicles, $m$, can access collaborative codes, $C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Apply random method for code selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Collision may occur if another vehicle sends data by using the same code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Deploy Markov chain to represent the wireless channel states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Determine the probability of successful transmission, i.e. the probability of licensed vehicle selecting a unique code from the available codes $C$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Compute the access failure probability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: A segment of highway microcells structure.
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move in any direction with equal probability, therefore the pdf of $V_t$’s direction of motion $\theta$ can be expressed as follows:

$$f_\theta(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}, \quad -\pi < \theta < \pi$$

(2)

Without loss of generality, we consider the direction and velocity of $V_t$ remaining the same from point $P$ till it moves out of the coverage area of new vehicle $V_n$. As the distance headway to new vehicle is small, this assumption is realistic. For example, for a vehicle moving at 100 km/hr considering the broadcast signaling delay time ($\tau$) is 2 sec, $d$ will be 50m. Hence during high vehicular traffic density, the velocity and also the broadcast signaling delay will be decreased; therefore $d$ will be much smaller.

2) Vehicular connectivity

In a free-flow traffic highway, we are interested in those vehicles that fall in the interval $[0, L]$ as illustrated in Fig.2, the number of vehicles at time $t$ is a random variable. Let $M_v(t)$ denote the number of vehicles in $[0, L]$ at time $t$, and $K(t)$ denote the total number of vehicles that arrive at the time interval $[0, t]$. Let the vehicle arrival rate be $\lambda$, then we have:

$$P(K(t) = k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}$$

(3)

The distance headway between two consecutive vehicles is exponentially distributed, i.e., $L_v$ is exponentially distributed with the following cumulative distribution function as follows [17]:

$$F_{cdf}(l_v) = 1 - e^{-l_v/D_v} = 1 - e^{-\lambda_v l_v/(l_v/L)}$$

(4)

The distribution probability of $M_v(t)$ can be derived as follows:

$$P(M_v(t) = m_v) = \frac{(L_v)^{m_v}}{m_v!} e^{-L_v/v_s}$$

(5)

Thereby, $M_v(t)$ is Poisson distributed with mean $\lambda = L \lambda_v/v_s$. This is very important for the system designer in order to expect the connectivity probability according to vehicle distribution as well as transmission range. Accordingly, assuming an ad hoc network with $m$ vehicles Poisson distributed over a segment with length $L$ and maximum transmissions range of each vehicle $R_0$. The hop path between two vehicles can be established if distance headway is equal to or less than $R_0$. Then the probability that all $m$ vehicles are connected can be expressed as follows [17]:

$$P_c = \Pr\{l_1 \leq R_0, l_2 \leq R_0, \ldots, l_{m-1} \leq R_0\}$$

(6)

In reality the distance headway $l_i$ is an independent and identically distributed (iid) random variable, therefore from (4) and (6), $P_c$ is given by

$$P_c = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \Pr\{l_i \leq R_0\} = (1 - e^{-D_v R_0})^{m-1}$$

(7)

3) The failure probability of vehicular connectivity

A successful communication between vehicles is established when a broadcast signaling for initiation connectivity is received before a complete connectivity is executed. As shown in Fig.2, it is illustrated that the initiation of hop communication to the new vehicle ($V_n$) arises only if $V_t$’s direction of motion from $P$ within the range $[-\theta_1, \theta_1]$, where $\theta_1 = \arctan(W/2d)$. Otherwise, the false hop initiation probability is a false one. Therefore, by using (2), the false hop initiation probability can be expressed as follows:

$$P_{false} = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_1} f_\theta(\theta) d\theta = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{W}{2d}\right)$$

(8)

The moving direction of $V_t$ from $P$ is $\beta \in [-\theta_1, \theta_1]$. The time $t$ it takes to move out of the coverage area of the old vehicle ($V_0$), therefore, the pdf of $t$ can be derived as follows:

$$f_t(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{\theta \sqrt{\left(V_s t\right)^2 + d^2}}, & \frac{d}{V_s} < t < \frac{d}{\theta \sqrt{\left(V_s t\right)^2 + d^2}} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(9)

The failure probability of vehicular connectivity, $P_f$ is expressed as:

$$P_f = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{d}{V_s} \leq \tau \\ \frac{d}{V_s} < \tau < \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{d}{V_s}\right)^2 + d^2}}{V_s}, & \frac{d}{\sqrt{\left(V_s t\right)^2 + d^2}} \\ 0, & \frac{d}{\sqrt{\left(V_s t\right)^2 + d^2}} \geq \tau \end{cases}$$

(10)

where $\tau$ is the time delay of signalling broadcast for connectivity and $p(t<\tau)$ is the probability that $t<\tau$ when $\frac{d}{V_s} < \tau < \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{d}{V_s}\right)^2 + d^2}}{V_s}$, using (9) in order to obtain $p(t<\tau)$ as follows:

$$p(t < \tau) = \int_{\frac{d}{V_s}}^{\tau} \frac{d}{\pi t \sqrt{\left(V_s t\right)^2 - d^2}} dt \approx \frac{1}{\theta} \arccos\left(\frac{d}{V_s \tau}\right)$$

(11)

Now using (10) and (11), $P_f$ is given by
4) Outage probability

The message hops for one vehicle to next vehicle within the same driving direction [17]. According to the number of the vehicles equipped with wireless device, that is the market penetration rate (μ), therefore if there is a receiver vehicle in the broadcast range of sending vehicle, a message hopping is possible. For successful message hopping, the distance headway must be smaller than the maximum transmission range; otherwise, the message hopping fails. The probability of finding a receiver vehicle for message hopping is based on the maximum transmission range (R0) is given by

\[ P_f = \begin{cases} 
L - \arccos\left(\frac{d}{v_s\tau}\right), & d < \sqrt{(\frac{VR_s^2}{W}) + \frac{d^2}{v_s^2}} \\
0, & d \geq \tau
\end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Therefore, the connectivity probability over a distance (for example, r =1km) in one directional roadway is based on the successful probability of message hopping in IVC systems and it is given by [10]:

\[ p(l_r < R_0) = \int_0^{R_0} e^{-\lambda_i(\mu.D_{i})}dl_r = 1 - e^{-R_0(\mu.D_i)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where \[ R_0 \] is the highest integer smaller than or equal to \( r/R_0 \). Therefore, the outage connectivity probability (Poutage) can be defined as the event that there is at least one vehicle disconnected from the remaining of the Multihop vehicles in the network. Hence Poutage can be expressed as follows:

\[ P_{\text{outage}}(r) = 1 - P_r(r) \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

5) Optimal headway distance

The hop initiation for connectivity with the new vehicle Vn is based on the received signal strength (φ). In sequel φ depends on the optimal distance for successful connectivity. Let the threshold of received signal strength is φth and the minimum value of received signal strength is φmin, required for successful connectivity between Vi and Vn. The optimal value of d can be estimated for a desired value of Pf. Equation (12) is a nonlinear equation of d. A closed form expression may not be always possible. However, an approximate value of d can be estimated using:

\[ P_f \equiv \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{v_s\tau}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{d}{2d^2 + W^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Numerical methods can be used to determine d. We employ the Bisection numerical method to solve for d. Hence, calculation of d does not have much computational complexity and can be easily implemented in the vehicle’s radio software. Fig.3 shows the numerical result for solution Eq.(16), the relationship between the optimal headway distance and the failure probability of connectivity at different values of τ when velocity is v=80km/hr. For example, as illustrated in the Fig.3 at τ =3sec and a threshold value of Pf =0.4, the optimal headway distance for successful connectivity in V2V is approximately less than or equal to 60m.

Once the optimal distance (d0) is determined, the corresponding value of RSS is calculated using the path loss model as follows [19]:

\[ P_r(l) = P_r(d_0) \cdot \left(\frac{d_0}{d}\right)^\alpha + \sigma \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where l is the distance between Vi & Vn and Pr(d0) is the received power at a known reference distance d0, it can be obtained for line of sight (LOS) or non-line of sight (NLOS) vehicular environment. α is the path loss exponent, its typical value ranges from 2 to 8 in a vehicular environment [19]. Finally σ is zero mean Gaussian random variable. The typical value of σ is 8dB. The value of σ depends on the lane size (W). Therefore, received signal strength (φ) value when Vi at a d distance from Vn is given by

\[ \phi = 10\log_{10}[P_r(W - d)] \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

![Figure 3: Optimal headway distance versus Pf at different values of τ.](image-url)
C. Vehicular to roadside infrastructure (V2R) model

1) Collaborative codes

In each BS, we assume that there are C collaborative codes for exclusively use for mv licensed vehicles (where C < mv). We consider mv vehicles using the same coding technique but at different time slots. When the failure probability (Pf) of vehicular connectivity in V2V increased above a threshold value, a licensed vehicle is able to communicate with the BS for code access by using Multihop transmission strategy. A licensed vehicle may randomly select one of the available collaborative codes (C) and sends its data traffic. A maximum of C vehicles can utilize the channel simultaneously, if they have used different codes, otherwise collision may occur.

2) MAC based collaborative codes

In vehicular to roadside infrastructure (V2R) communications, MAC protocol defines how the vehicles and the base station (BS) share common radio codes for vehicular communications. On the other hand, in V2V communication, MAC protocol defines how the vehicular nodes share collaborative codes to transmit data among them [5]. However, due to the vehicle’s mobility frequent handover and the high variant of topology along the road; an efficient MAC protocol is a challenging issue. Therefore, the integrated architecture of AHVN enables vehicles to get access to the cellular system (BS) outside their transmission range via Multihop transmission strategy. In this section, we propose a medium access scheme based-collaborative code for the resource management in AHVN. This scheme favors the vehicles that failed to have a communication link in V2V; they will have the ability to access the V2R network.

We consider all licensed vehicles to have equal priority and they are able to transmit packets with equal length at any time they want. We assume that the slot duration of packet transmission is denoted by a constant value of T. The probability that a vehicle sends a packet in one slot is. In any cell segment, the probability of j licensed vehicles, being active at a given time slot can be expressed as follows:

\[ p_j = \binom{m_v}{j} \phi^j [1-\phi]^{m_v-j} \]  

(19)

When licensed vehicles are active, the probability of successful transmission \( s(j) \) is the probability of each vehicle selecting a unique code from the available code C, is given by

\[ s(j) = \begin{cases} 
1, & j = 1 \\
\frac{C!}{(C-j)!} \times \gamma^j, & 1 < j \leq C \\
0, & j \geq C 
\end{cases} \]  

(20)

where \( C \) is the probability that an active vehicle selects a certain code. The probability of successful transmission, \( P_s \) for any number of active vehicles is then given by:

\[ P_s = \sum_{j=0}^{C} s(j) \cdot p_j \]  

(21)

Based on the above assumptions, the wireless channel can be in one of three states: VANET fail, collided or sending. In our analysis, we utilize Markov chain to represent the wireless channel states of vehicles as shown in Fig.4. State 1 represents the wireless channel status with failure connectivity probability \( (P_f) \) in V2V system, with probability \( I(P) \). State 2 describes the collision status with probability \( [1-P_s-I(P)] \). Finally, state 3 represents the sending mode with probability \( P_s \).

According to the Markov chain illustrated in Fig.4, we can obtain the state transition matrix as

\[ P = \begin{bmatrix} 
I(P) & I(P) & I(P) \\
1-I(P)-P_s & 1-I(P)-P_s & 1-I(P)-P_s \\
P_s & P_s & P_s 
\end{bmatrix} \]  

(22)

where \( I(P) \) denotes to the interoperability utility for the event of failure probability \( (P_f) \) of vehicular connectivity in V2V system, i.e. \( I(P) \) is a function of received signal strength \( (\phi) \), it is given by:

\[ I(P) = \begin{cases} 
P_f & \phi < \phi_{th} \\
P_0 & \phi \geq \phi_{th} 
\end{cases} \]  

(23)

where \( P_0 \) is the state probability of idle channel and the equilibrium distribution vector \( (\Delta) \) can be derived as follows:

\[ \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} 
I(P) \\
1-I(P)-P_s \\
P_s 
\end{bmatrix} \]  

(24)

Figure 4: Markov chain of vehicular wireless code states

The transmission capacity \( (\eta) \) of the V2R network is given by...
\[\eta = (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3) \sum_{j=1}^{C} j \cdot s(j) \cdot p_j \]  

(25)

Additionally, the gain (g) and access failure probability (PAF) in V2R network respectively can be measured by taking a SALOHA as reference basis, in the following manner:

\[g = 20\log_{10}\left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_{SALOHA}}\right)\]  

(26)

\[P_{AF} = 1 - \frac{\eta}{C \cdot V}\]  

(27)

where \(\frac{\eta}{C \cdot V}\) is the probability of a successful transmission for a given licensed vehicle. On the other hand, the efficiency of the MAC (\(\epsilon\)) can be determined as follows:

\[\epsilon = \frac{\eta}{\text{average input traffic}} = \frac{\eta}{m \cdot \nu}\]  

(28)

Also, we consider the average duration of the random wait period to be \(\tau_p\), hence the average delay for successful packet transmission can be expressed as follows:

\[D = \frac{\tau_p \times m \cdot \nu}{\eta}\]  

(29)

IV. NUMERICAL AND SIMULATION RESULTS

The performance evaluation of the proposed framework is evaluated for a highway as a case study. Thereby, we firstly study the performance of the V2V system, then, according to the interoperability algorithm, we can obtain the behavior of Pf to study the performance of the V2R system.

A. Performance Evaluation in V2V system

In this section, we study the performance of the V2V system model in terms of false initiation probability, connectivity probability and failure connectivity probability. The characteristics of vehicular environment such as \(D_v\), \(\mu\), vs and R0 are set to default parameters. A vehicular traffic density in a cell segment (L=1km) of highway is given by (\(D_v = mv/L\)). The numerical results of the V2V system model are shown in the following figures.

Fig.5 illustrates the probability of Multihop connectivity for increasing \(D_v\) when \(\mu\) set to 10 per cent at different values of \(R_0\). As expected, the connectivity probabilities at high transmission range are higher than the connectivity at low transmission range. The importance of this curve lies in the fact that the designer of Multihop connectivity in vehicular networks has the capability to precisely pre-assess the number of vehicles per highway segment length for a desired level of connectivity.

![Figure 5: Multi-hop connectivity probability versus vehicular traffic density](image)

Due to the physical characteristics of the highway, the association between probability of false hop initiation connectivity and the portion of distance headway (\(d\)) for different lane sizes, \(W\) is shown in Fig.6. As we can see, \(P_{false}\) increases when the distance headway increases at different values of lane sizes. In addition for each particular value of \(W\), \(P_{false}\) is significantly reduced at large values of \(W\). This stems from the fact that the number of vehicles, occupying those lanes has probably elevated. Notably, it shows that the problem of false initiation connectivity becomes more and more severe when lanes size decreases.

Fig.7 shows a correlation between the failure connectivity probability (\(P_f\)) and mean space vehicular velocity (\(vs\)) for different values of \(d\) when \(\tau = 3\)sec. We can see that as \(vs\) increases, \(P_f\) significantly increases. This figure also shows that for a large value of \(d\) (i.e., distance headway is small), \(P_f\) decreased. In other words, when the vehicular traffic density is high, then the distance headway is small; in sequel \(P_f\) is significantly reduced. Obviously, the higher the number of equipped vehicles V2V on the highway sector is, the higher the occurrence of having a Multihop connected V2V networks.
The successful message hopping probability between the equipped vehicles through IVCs is not only based on the maximum transmission range but also on the vehicular traffic density. The outage connectivity probability versus number of vehicles in a sector \( L=1 \text{km} \) with \( \mu \) set to 25 per cent, at different values of \( R_0 \) is illustrated at Fig.8. As we can see in this figure, the outage connectivity probability is significantly diminished by increasing the number of vehicles in the highway sector. Furthermore, the outage connectivity probability becomes more and more reduced at high value of maximum transmission range.

B. Performance Evaluation in V2V system

At BS, we assume that the number of collaborative codes (C) is 2, 3, and 5 codes for exclusive use by licensed vehicles. In addition, based on the interoperability algorithm, the handover criterion to V2R is introduced. In the following numerical results, we adopt the failure probability of connectivity in V2V system set to 0.4 as threshold value for handover criterion to V2R system.

Further, we develop a simulation model according to the main parameters of ns-2 simulator for vehicular networks [20]. The simulation scenario is used to keep the tractability of the analytical model. We considered a continuous highway of length 10 km with 10 cells of length 1km. Vehicles are generated following a Poisson process with arrival rate 0.3 and 0.5 veh/sec and the vehicle speed is uniformly distributed with \{20, 120\}km/hr. We assume that the number of vehicles per cell (\( m_v \)) is 50.

In order to simplify the studying of the performance MAC scheme, we apply a real-time social traffic flows such as voice chat with the following assumptions:

1. The system supports \( m_v=50 \) vehicles.
2. Voice data rate is 64kbps during activity period.
3. The payload of voice packet is 160 bits/packet; hence voice packet rate is 400packet/sec.
4. The total packet size is 200 bits including the header, FEC encoding, and payload.
5. The wireless code transmission rate is 10Mbps, thus packet transmission delay is 0.02ms.
6. Maximum threshold packet delay is 3 msec.
Therefore, from the above assumptions, we consider a system with time slot $T=0.02\text{ms}$, $t_p$ is equal to one time slot and the average input traffic is expressed by $m_{\text{v}}$.

We now present a snapshot of our simulation model supported by numerical results in order to evaluate the proposed framework in AHVN.

Fig. 9 shows the transmission capacity ($\eta$) performance as the average input traffic increases. We can see in this plot, we can observe that the curve of the transmission capacity is getting better when the number of collaborative codes increases; because when the number of the collaborative codes increased, the vehicle's successful transmission probability increases. Furthermore, it is clear that our analysis based Markov chain can evaluate precisely the performance of the proposed MAC. The behavior of the curve indicates that the transmission capacity is steadily increased from low to medium traffic load and the transmission capacity goes down at high traffic load.

Fig. 10 shows also the performance of average packet delay at different values of $C$ by increasing the average input traffic. As we can see, the average packet delay is significantly reduced when the number of the collaborative codes is high. Subsequently, the higher the number of $C$, the higher the gain of system is, as shown in Fig. 11.

C. Performance comparison

In this section, we introduce the validation of the proposed scheme. We compare the performance of the proposed scheme with adaptive distributed cooperative medium access control (ADM-MAC) [14] and the IEEE 802.11 protocol [18]. ADM-MAC is accomplished through adaptively selecting the most suitable relay or helper and the transmission mode in accordance with the code quality and location of the relay vehicle. In addition, ADM-MAC is also compatible with the standard IEEE 802.11 protocol that depends on the Distributed Coordination Function (DCF) protocol. We adopt our system model to perform the system analysis of the ADM-MAC and IEEE 802.11 protocols.

We observe that by increasing the input average traffic in our system model results in packet size expansion in ADM-MAC scheme and IEEE 802.11 protocol. Fig.12 shows the transmission capacity performance comparison between our scheme and ADM-MAC / IEEE 802.11 schemes. As shown in this figure, it is straightforward that the behavior of the proposed scheme is comparable with both ADM-MAC and IEEE 802.11 protocols. In addition, the transmission capacity of the proposed scheme outperforms both ADM-MAC and IEEE 802.11 schemes.
To summarize, our framework analysis shows that, the vehicular connectivity can be improved by simple integration architecture between V2V and V2R networks as a simplified form of AHVN. As shown in the outcomes, the AHVN network transmission capacity is increased by increasing the number of collaborative code in V2R. Subsequently, access failure and average delay are significantly decreased.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We presented a framework for emerging vehicular service in advanced heterogeneous vehicular network (AHVN). AHVN is a combining architecture between V2V and V2R networks. The interoperability between V2V and V2R networks is considered. Then, we developed a Markov chain model in order to determine the MAC of AHVN based-collaborative. Both theoretical analysis and simulation experiments show that the proposed AHVN strengthen cooperative vehicular services and exploit physical roadway characteristics. Supporting a cooperative secure beaconing messages communication and developing an efficient packet scheduler in AHVN is our future work.
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